

Max-Planck-Institut  
für Mathematik  
in den Naturwissenschaften  
Leipzig

Differentiability of convex envelopes

by

*Bernd Kirchheim and Jan Kristensen*

Preprint no.: 68

1999



# Differentiability of convex envelopes

Bernd Kirchheim and Jan Kristensen

December 1, 1999

For an extended real-valued function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  we denote by  $f^c$  its convex envelope defined as

$$f^c(x) = \sup\{g(x) : g \leq f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\} \text{ convex}\}.$$

We give a surprisingly simple proof of the following theorem, whose first part was previously established under linear growth conditions from below, see [1]. The second part improves similar results for superlinear growth from [2].

**Theorem** *Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be continuous, let it be differentiable on its effective domain  $\text{dom}_e(f) = \{x : f(x) < +\infty\}$  and assume*

$$f(x) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (1)$$

*Then  $f^c$  is a  $C^1$  function on the (open) set  $\text{dom}_e(f^c)$ . Moreover, if for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  the function  $f$  is  $C_{\text{loc}}^{1,\alpha}$  on  $\text{dom}_e(f)$ , then the same holds true for  $f^c$  on  $\text{dom}_e(f^c)$ .*

The proof uses the following three elementary facts about convex functions.

(I) The representation formula for the convex envelope:

$$f^c(x) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i f(x_i) : \lambda_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i = 1 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i x_i = x \right\},$$

has a proof similar to that of Carathéodory's theorem, see e.g. Corol. 17.1.5 in [3].

(II) The (local) Lipschitz constant can be estimated in terms of the oscillation: If  $g : B(x, 2r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex, then

$$\text{lip}(g, B(x, r)) \leq \text{osc}(g, B(x, 2r))/r.$$

To prove this assume that the right-hand side is finite and fix any  $y, z \in B(x, r)$ . Suppose  $g(z) \geq g(y)$  and choose  $u$  to be the intersection of  $\partial B(x, 2r)$  with the ray from  $y$  through  $z$ . Then  $z \in \text{conv}\{y, u\}$  and  $|y - u| > r$ . Now the desired estimate follows, since convexity implies that

$$(g(z) - g(y))/|z - y| \leq (g(u) - g(y))/|u - y| \leq \text{osc}(g, B(x, 2r))/r.$$

(III) Criterion for differentiability: If  $g$  is convex,  $f$  differentiable in  $x$ ,  $g \leq f$  and  $g(x) = f(x)$ , then  $g$  is differentiable at  $x$  and  $\nabla f(x) = \nabla g(x)$ . The proof is straightforward and is left to the interested reader.

*Proof of the Theorem:* The effective domain  $\text{dom}_e(f^c)$  is open, since by Fact (I) and the continuity of  $f$ ,  $f^c$  is upper semicontinuous (and in fact could be shown to be continuous). To show that  $f^c$  is  $C^1$  on  $\text{dom}_e(f^c)$  suppose that  $\text{dom}_e(f^c) \neq \emptyset$  and note that if  $f^c$  is differentiable on  $\text{dom}_e(f^c)$ , then it is continuously differentiable there. Indeed, fix any point  $x \in \text{dom}_e(f^c)$ . Consider the function  $h(y) = f^c(y) - f^c(x) - \langle \nabla f^c(x), y - x \rangle$ ; it is convex and  $\nabla f^c$  is continuous at  $x$  if  $\nabla h(y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $y \rightarrow x$ . This, however, is a consequence of Fact (II) and the differentiability of  $f^c$  at  $x$ . We

---

B.K. was supported by DFG Research Fellowship Ki 696/1-1 and the UCL Students' Union  
J.K. was supported by EPSRC through grant GR/L90262 and the UCL Students' Union

are left to show that  $f^c$  is differentiable at each point  $x$  where it is finite. Referring to Fact (I) we take a minimizing sequence  $\{(\lambda_i^{(k)}, x_i^{(k)})_{1 \leq i \leq n+1}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ , such that  $\lambda_1^{(k)} \geq \lambda_2^{(k)} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n+1}^{(k)} \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i^{(k)} = 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i^{(k)} x_i^{(k)} = x$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i^{(k)} f(x_i^{(k)}) \rightarrow f^c(x) \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2)$$

Observe that  $\lambda_1^{(k)} \geq 1/(n+1)$ . Due to continuity and (1),  $f$  is bounded from below, say by 0. Hence, by (2) and (1) both  $\{f(x_1^{(k)})\}_k$  and  $\{x_1^{(k)}\}_k$  are bounded (at least from a certain step  $k \geq k_0$ ). Therefore, we can extract a subsequence (for convenience not relabelled), such that  $\lambda_1^{(k)} \rightarrow \lambda_1$  and  $x_1^{(k)} \rightarrow x_1$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Again by continuity and since  $f \geq 0$  we see that  $f(x_1) \leq (n+1)f^c(x)$ ; consequently, by assumption,  $f$  is differentiable at  $x_1$ . Next we observe that for  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and each  $k$ ,

$$x + h = \lambda_1^{(k)}(x_1^{(k)} + (h/\lambda_1^{(k)})) + \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \lambda_i^{(k)} x_i^{(k)}.$$

Thus, by convexity we have for  $k$  sufficiently large

$$f^c(x+h) - f^c(x) \leq \lambda_1^{(k)}(f(x_1^{(k)} + (h/\lambda_1^{(k)})) - f(x_1^{(k)})) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i^{(k)} f(x_i^{(k)}) - f^c(x)\right)$$

and passing to the limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain

$$f^c(x+h) - f^c(x) \leq \lambda_1(f(x_1 + (h/\lambda_1)) - f(x_1)) \text{ for all } h \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (3)$$

Since the left hand side is a convex function, Fact (III) implies as required that  $f^c$  is differentiable at  $x$  with  $\nabla f^c(x) = \nabla f(x_1)$ .

As concerns Hölder continuity of the derivatives let  $O$  be an open bounded set with closure contained in  $\text{dom}_e(f^c)$ . Observe that (3) together with (1), the upper bound,  $f(x_1) \leq (n+1)f^c(x)$ , and the Hölder continuity of  $\nabla f$  on compact subsets of  $\text{dom}_e(f)$ , imply that for some  $c = c(O) < +\infty$ ,  $0 \leq f^c(x+h) - f^c(x) - \langle \nabla f^c(x), h \rangle \leq c|h|^{1+\alpha}$ , whenever  $x, x+h \in O$ . Using Fact (II), we conclude that  $\nabla f^c \in C_{\text{loc}}^\alpha(\text{dom}_e(f^c))$  and our proof is finished.

Finally, we would like to mention that for the  $C^1$ -regularity, as well as for the  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -regularity, it is sufficient to assume the existence of a ‘superdifferential’  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e. it suffices that the positive part of  $f(x+h) - f(x) - \langle a, h \rangle$  vanishes in a prescribed way as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . We also like to remark that even without the assumption (1) our method proves smoothness in all points  $x$  satisfying  $f^c(x) < \liminf_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} f(y)$ . The Example 4.1 in [1], i.e. the function  $(x, y) \rightarrow \sqrt{x^2 + \exp(-y^2)}$ , shows that this growth condition is the weakest possible (of this general kind).

## References

- [1] J. Benoist, J.-B. Hiriart-Urruty. *What is the Subdifferential of the Closed Convex Hull of a Function?* SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis **27**(6): pp. 1661-1679, 1996.
- [2] A. Griewank, P.J. Rabier. On the smoothness of convex envelopes. Trans. A.M.S. **322**:691-709, 1990.
- [3] T. Rockafellar. *Convex Analysis*. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton NJ 1970.

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK IN DEN NATURWISSENSCHAFTEN, LEIPZIG, GERMANY  
E-mail: bk@mis.mpg.de

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, ENGLAND  
E-mail: kristens@maths.ox.ac.uk