

**Max-Planck-Institut
für Mathematik
in den Naturwissenschaften
Leipzig**

**Connections on locally trivial quantum
principal fibre bundles**

by

Dirk Calow and Rainer Matthes

Preprint no.: 22

2000



Connections on locally trivial quantum principal fibre bundles

Dirk Calow^{1*} and Rainer Matthes^{1,2 †}

¹Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität Leipzig
Augustusplatz 10/11, D-04109 Leipzig, Germany

²Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in den Naturwissenschaften
Inselstraße 22-26, D-04103 Leipzig, Germany

Abstract

Budzyński and Kondracki ([3]) have introduced a notion of locally trivial quantum principal fibre bundle making use of an algebraic notion of covering, which allows a reconstruction of the bundle from local pieces. Following this approach, we construct covariant differential algebras and connections on locally trivial quantum principal fibre bundles by gluing together such locally given geometric objects. We also consider covariant derivatives, connection forms, curvatures and curvature forms and explore the relations between these notions. As an example, a $U(1)$ quantum principal bundle over a glued quantum sphere as well as a connection in this bundle is constructed. The connection may be considered as a q -deformed Dirac monopole.

2000 MSC: 81R50, 46L87

Keywords: quantum principal bundle, differential structure, covariant derivative, connection, q -monopole

1 Introduction

Since the appearance of quantum groups there has been a hope that it should be possible to use them instead of the classical symmetry groups of physical theories, in particular for quantum field theories. It was expected that the greater variety of group-like structures should lead, perhaps, to greater flexibility in the formulation of physical theories, thereby paving the way to a better understanding of fundamental problems of quantum theory and gravitation.

In (Lagrangian) quantum field theory, symmetry groups can be considered to appear in a very natural geometrical scheme: They are structure groups of principal fibre bundles. Moreover, on the classical level, all fields are geometrical objects living on the principal bundle or on associated fibre bundles. Thus, it is natural to ask for a generalization of the notion of principal bundle to a noncommutative situation. Thereby, in order to avoid unnecessary restrictions, one should replace not only the structure group by a quantum group, but also the base manifold (space-time) by a noncommutative space, which may even be necessary for physical reasons (see [9], [10], [13] and [15]).

In recent years, there have been several attempts to define such quantum principal bundles and the usual geometric objects that are needed to formulate gauge field theories on them, see [2], [3], [11], [14], [19], [21], [23] and [24]. Roughly following the same idea (“reversing the

*supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, e-mail Dirk.Calow@itp.uni-leipzig.de

†supported by Sächsisches Staatsministerium für Wissenschaft und Kunst,
e-mail Rainer.Matthes@itp.uni-leipzig.de or rmatthes@mis.mpg.de

arrows”), the approaches differ in the details of the definitions. Closest to the classical idea that a locally trivial bundle should be imagined as being glued together from trivial pieces is the definition given in [3]. There, one starts with the notion of a covering of a quantum space. Being in the context of C^* -algebras, a covering is defined to be a (finite) family of closed ideals with zero intersection, which is easily seen to correspond to finite coverings by closed sets in the commutative case. C^* -algebras which have such a covering can be reconstructed from their “restriction” to the elements of the covering by a gluing procedure. Such a reconstruction is not always possible for general (not C^* -)algebras, as was noticed in [5]. The aim of [5] was to introduce differential calculi over algebras with covering. Leaving the C^* -category, one is confronted with the above difficulty, called “noncompleteness of a covering”. Nevertheless, making use of “covering completions”, if necessary, a general scheme for differential calculi on quantum spaces with covering was developed, and the example of the gluing of two quantum discs, being homeomorphic to the quantum sphere $S_{\mu c}^2$, $c > 0$, including the gluing of suitable differential calculi on the discs, was described in detail.

In [3], a locally trivial quantum principal fibre bundle having as base B such a quantum space with covering, and as fibre a compact quantum group H , is defined as a right H -comodule algebra with a covering adapted to the covering of the base. “Adapted” means that the ideals defining the covering appear as kernels of “locally trivializing” homomorphisms such that the intersections of these kernels with the embedded base are just the embeddings of the ideals defining the covering of B . Given such a locally trivial principal fibre bundle, one can define analogues of the classical transition functions which have the usual cocycle properties. Reversely, given such a cocycle one can reconstruct the bundle. The transition functions are algebra homomorphisms $H \rightarrow B_{ij}$, where B_{ij} is the algebra corresponding to the “overlap” of two elements of the covering of B . It turns out that they must have values in the center of B_{ij} , which is related to the fact that principal bundles with structure group H are determined by bundles which have as structure group the classical subgroup of H , see [3].

The aim of the present paper is to introduce notions of differential geometry on locally trivial bundles in the sense of [3] in such a way that all objects can be glued together from local pieces.

Let us describe the contents of the paper: In Section 2, locally trivial principal bundles are defined slightly different from [3]. Not assuming C^* -algebras, we add to the definition of [3] the assumption that the “base” algebra is embedded as the algebra of right invariants into the “total space” algebra. This assumption has to be made in order to come back to the usual notion in the classical case, as is shown by an example. We prove a technical proposition about the kernels of the local trivializing homomorphisms which in turn makes it possible to prove a reconstruction theorem for locally trivial principal bundles in terms of transition functions in the context of general algebras.

The aim of Section 3 is to introduce differential calculi on locally trivial quantum principal bundles. They are defined in such a way that they are uniquely determined by giving differential calculi on the “local pieces” of the base and a right covariant differential calculus on the Hopf algebra (assuming that the calculi on the trivializations are graded tensor products). Uniqueness follows from the assumption that the local trivializing homomorphisms should be differentiable and that the kernels of their differential extensions should form a covering of the differential calculus on the total space, i. e. the differential calculus is “adapted” in the sense of [5]. This covering need not be complete. Thus, in order to have reconstructability, one has to use the covering completion, which in general is only a differential algebra.

Section 4 is the central part of the paper. Whereas in the classical situation there is a canonically given vertical part in the tangent space of a bundle, in the dual algebraic situation there is a canonically given horizontal subbimodule in the bimodule of forms of first degree on the bundle space. We start with the definition of left (right) covariant derivatives, which involves a Leibniz rule, a covariance condition, invariance of the submodule of horizontal forms,

and a locality condition. Covariant derivatives can be characterized by families of linear maps $A_i : H \longrightarrow \Gamma(B_i)$ satisfying $A_i(1) = 0$ and a compatibility condition being analogous to the classical relation between local connection forms. At this point a bigger differential algebra on the basis B appears, which is maximal among all the (LC) differential algebras being embeddable into the differential structure of the total space. Next we define left (right) connections as a choice of a projection of the left (right) \mathcal{P} -module of one-forms onto the submodule of horizontal forms being covariant under the right coaction and satisfying a locality condition. This is equivalent to the choice of a vertical complement to the submodule of horizontal forms. Left and right connections are equivalent. With this definition it is possible to reconstruct a connection from connections on the local pieces of the bundle. The corresponding linear maps $A_i : H \rightarrow \Gamma(B_i)$ satisfy the conditions for the A_i of covariant derivatives, and in addition $R \subset \ker A_i$ ($S^{-1}(R) \subset \ker A_i$), where R is the right ideal in H defining the right covariant differential calculus there. Thus, connections are special cases of covariant derivatives. There is a corresponding notion of connection form as well as a corresponding notion of an exterior covariant derivative. The curvature can be defined as the square of the exterior covariant derivative, and is nicely related to a curvature form being defined by analogues of the structure equation. The local components of the curvature are related to the local connection forms in a nice way, and they are related among themselves by a homogeneous formula analogous to the classical one.

Finally, in Section 5, we give an example of a locally trivial principal bundle with a connection. The basis of the bundle, constructed in [5], is a C^* -algebra glued together from two copies of a quantum disc. The structure group is the classical group $U(1)$, and the bundle is defined by giving one transition function, which is sufficient because the covering of the basis has only two elements. Since all other coverings appearing in the example then have also two elements, there are no problems with noncomplete coverings. The differential calculus on the total space is determined by differential ideals in the universal differential calculi over the two copies of the quantum disc and the structure group. For the group, the ideal is chosen in nonclassical way. Then, a connection is defined by giving explicitly the two local connection forms on the generator of $P(U(1))$ and extending them using the properties a local connection form should have. The curvature of this connection is nonzero.

In the appendix, the relevant facts about coverings and gluings of algebras and differential algebras are collected, for the convenience of the reader. Details can be found in [5]. Moreover, we recall there some well-known facts about covariant differential calculi on quantum groups.

In the following, algebras are always assumed to be over \mathbb{C} , associative and unital. Ideals are assumed to be two-sided, up to some occasions, where their properties are explicitly specified.

2 Locally trivial quantum principal fibre bundles

Following the ideas of [3] we introduce in this section the definition of a locally trivial quantum principal fibre bundle and prove propositions about the existence of trivial subbundles and about the reconstruction of the bundle. Essentially, this is contained in [3], up to some modifications: We do not assume C^* -algebras, and we add to the axioms the condition that the embedded base algebra coincides with the subalgebra of coinvariants. As structure group we take a general Hopf algebra.

In the sequel we use the results of [5], see in the appendix. We recall here that for an algebra B with a covering $(J_i)_{i \in I}$, there are canonical mappings $\pi_i : B \longrightarrow B_i := B/J_i$, $\pi_j^i : B_i \longrightarrow B_{ij} := B/(J_i + J_j)$, $\pi_{ij} : B \longrightarrow B_{ij}$.

Definition 1 *A locally trivial quantum principal fibre bundle (QPFB) is a tuple*

$$(\mathcal{P}, \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}, H, B, \iota, (\chi_i, J_i)_{i \in I}) \tag{1}$$

where B is an algebra, H is a Hopf algebra, \mathcal{P} is a right H comodule algebra with coaction $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$, $(J_i)_{i \in I}$ is a complete covering of B , and χ_i and ι are homomorphisms with the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_i : \mathcal{P} &\longrightarrow B_i \otimes H \quad \text{surjective,} \\ \iota : B &\longrightarrow \mathcal{P} \quad \text{injective,} \\ (id \otimes \Delta) \circ \chi_i &= (\chi_i \otimes id) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}, \\ \chi_i \circ \iota(a) &= \pi_i(a) \otimes 1 \quad a \in B, \\ (ker \chi_i)_{i \in I} &\quad \text{complete covering of } \mathcal{P}, \\ \iota(B) &= \{f \in \mathcal{P} \mid \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}(f) = f \otimes I\}. \end{aligned}$$

Such a tuple we often denote simply by \mathcal{P} . Occasionally, \mathcal{P} , B and H are called total space, base space and structure group of the bundle.

The last assumption in Definition 1 does not appear in the definition of QPFB given in [3]. It is however used by other authors ([1], [11], [21]). Already in the classical case this condition is needed to guarantee the transitive action of the structure group on the fibres, as shows the following example.

Example: Let M be a compact topological space covered by two closed subsets U_1 and U_2 being the closure of two open subsets covering M . Define $M_0 = U_1 \sqcup U_2$ (disjoint union). M is obtained from M_0 identifying all corresponding points of U_1 and U_2 . There is a natural projection $M_0 \longrightarrow M$. Let us consider the algebras of continuous functions $C(M)$ and $C(M_0)$ over M and M_0 respectively. There exists an injective homomorphism $\kappa : C(M) \longrightarrow C(M_0)$ being the pull back of the natural projection $M_0 \longrightarrow M$. Suppose we have constructed a principal fibre bundle P over M_0 with structure group G , which is trivial on each of the disjoint components. Then we have an injective homomorphism $\iota_0 : C(M_0) \longrightarrow C(P)$ and two trivialisations $\chi_{1,2} : C(P) \longrightarrow C(U_{1,2}) \otimes C(G)$ with the properties assumed in Definition 1. The injective homomorphism $\iota : C(M) \longrightarrow C(P)$, $\iota := \iota_0 \circ \kappa$, fullfills all the assumptions in Definition 1 up to the last one, and one obtains a fibration P over the base manifold M which is not a principal fibre bundle.

Proposition 1 *Let \mathcal{P}_c be the covering completion of \mathcal{P} with respect to the complete covering $(ker \chi_i)_{i \in I}$. Let $K : \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_c$ be the corresponding isomorphism. The tuple*

$$(\mathcal{P}_c, \Delta_{\mathcal{P}_c}, H, B, \iota_c, (\chi_{i_c}, J_i)_{i \in I}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathcal{P}_c} &= (K \otimes id) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}} \circ K^{-1}, \\ \chi_{i_c} &= \chi_i \circ K^{-1}, \\ \iota_c &= K \circ \iota, \end{aligned}$$

is a locally trivial QPFB.

The proof is trivial (transport of the structure using K).

Definition 2 *A locally trivial QPFB \mathcal{P} is called trivial if there exists an isomorphism $\chi : \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow B \otimes H$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi \circ \iota &= id \otimes 1, \\ (\chi \otimes id) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}} &= (id \otimes \Delta) \circ \chi. \end{aligned}$$

Remark: A locally trivial QPFB with $\text{card}I = 1$, i.e. with trivial covering of B , is trivial. Triviality of the covering means that it consists of only one ideal $J = 0$. Moreover, there is only one trivializing epimorphism $\chi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow B \otimes H$ which necessarily fulfills $\ker\chi = 0$.

There are several trivial QPFB related to a locally trivial QPFB. Define $\mathcal{P}_i := \mathcal{P}/\ker\chi_i$. Then $\tilde{\chi}_i : \mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow B_i \otimes H$ defined by

$$\tilde{\chi}_i(f + \ker\chi_i) := \chi_i(f) \quad (2)$$

is a well defined isomorphism. $\iota_i : B_i \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_i$ defined by

$$\iota_i(b) := \tilde{\chi}_i^{-1}(b \otimes 1)$$

is injective and fulfills $\tilde{\chi}_i \circ \iota_i = \text{id} \otimes 1$. Moreover $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}_i} : \mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_i \otimes H$ is well defined by

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{P}_i}(f + \ker\chi_i) := \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}(f) + \ker\chi_i \otimes H,$$

because from $(\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \chi_i = (\chi_i \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ follows $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}(\ker\chi_i) \subset \ker\chi_i \otimes H$. Obviously, $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}_i}$ is a right coaction. Moreover, $(\tilde{\chi}_i \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}_i} = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \tilde{\chi}_i$, and $\iota_i(B_i) = \{f \in \mathcal{P}_i \mid \Delta_{\mathcal{P}_i}(f) = f \otimes 1\}$. Thus $(\mathcal{P}_i, \Delta_{\mathcal{P}_i}, H, B_i, \iota_i, (\tilde{\chi}_i, 0))$ is a trivial QPFB.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{ij} := \mathcal{P}/(\ker\chi_i + \ker\chi_j)$. Then there is an isomorphism $\tilde{\chi}_{ij}^i : \mathcal{P}_{ij} \rightarrow (B_i \otimes H)/\chi_i(\ker\chi_j)$ given by

$$\tilde{\chi}_{ij}^i(f + \ker\chi_i + \ker\chi_j) := \chi_i(f) + \chi_i(\ker\chi_j). \quad (3)$$

It is natural to expect that \mathcal{P}_{ij} should be a trivial bundle isomorphic to $B_{ij} \otimes H$. In fact, we will show that there is a natural isomorphism $(B_i \otimes H)/\chi_i(\ker\chi_j) \simeq B_{ij} \otimes H$, leading to trivialization maps $\chi_{ij}^i : \mathcal{P}_{ij} \rightarrow B_{ij} \otimes H$. Let us introduce the natural projections $\pi_{i\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_i$, $\pi_{ij\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{ij}$ and $\pi_{j\mathcal{P}}^i : \mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{ij}$. Obviously, $\tilde{\chi}_i \circ \pi_{i\mathcal{P}} = \chi_i$, $\pi_{i\mathcal{P}} = \tilde{\chi}_i^{-1} \circ \chi_i$ and $\pi_{ij\mathcal{P}} = \pi_{j\mathcal{P}}^i \circ \pi_{i\mathcal{P}}$. We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 1 *Let B be an algebra and H be a Hopf algebra. Let $J \subset B \otimes H$ be an ideal with the property*

$$(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)J \subset J \otimes H.$$

Then there exists an ideal $I \subset B$ such that $J = I \otimes H$. This ideal is uniquely determined and equals $(\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)(J)$.

Proof: Let $m_H : H \otimes H \rightarrow H$ be the algebra product in H . It is not difficult to verify that $I := (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)(J)$ is an ideal in B . We will show $J = I \otimes H$. First we prove $J \subset I \otimes H$. Because of $(\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) = \text{id}$ and $(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)J \subset J \otimes H$ we have $(\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)J = J \subset I \otimes H$. $I \otimes H \subset J$ is a consequence of $I \otimes 1 \subset J$, which is proved as follows: A general element of I has the form $\sum_k a_k \varepsilon(h_k)$ where $\sum_k a_k \otimes h_k \in J$. Because of

$$\sum_k a_k \varepsilon(h_k) \otimes 1 = \sum_k \sum_l (a_k \otimes h_{k_1})(1 \otimes S(h_{k_2}))$$

and

$$(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\left(\sum_k a_k \otimes h_k\right) = \sum_k a_k \otimes h_{k_1} \otimes h_{k_2} \in J \otimes H,$$

$\sum_k a_k \varepsilon(h_k) \otimes 1$ is an element of J . □

Proposition 2 \mathcal{P}_{ij} is a trivial QPFB, i.e. there exist

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{ij}^i : \mathcal{P}_{ij} &\rightarrow B_{ij} \otimes H, \\ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}_{ij}} : \mathcal{P}_{ij} &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{ij} \otimes H, \\ \iota_{ij} : B_{ij} &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

such that the conditions of Definitions 1 and 2 are satisfied.

Remark: \mathcal{P}_{ij} is a trivial QPFB in two ways by choosing χ_{ij}^i or χ_{ij}^j . The composition of these maps just gives the transition functions.

Proof: Applying $\chi_i \otimes id$ to $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}(ker\chi_i) \subset ker\chi_i \otimes H$ and using $(id \otimes \Delta) \circ \chi_i = (\chi_i \otimes id) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ it follows that $(id \otimes \Delta) \circ \chi_i(ker\chi_j) \subset \chi_i(ker\chi_j) \otimes H$. By Lemma 1, there exist ideals $\tilde{K}_j^i \subset B_i$ such that $\chi_i(ker\chi_j) = \tilde{K}_j^i \otimes H$. $K_j^i := \pi_j^i(\tilde{K}_j^i)$ is an ideal in B_{ij} .

We show now $\pi_i(J_j) \subset \tilde{K}_j^i$: According to Lemma 1, we have $\tilde{K}_j^i = (id \otimes \varepsilon)(\chi_i(ker\chi_j))$. We have to show that for a $b \in J_j$ there exists $\tilde{b} \in ker\chi_j$ with $(id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \chi_i(\tilde{b}) = \pi_i(b)$. It is obvious that we can take $\tilde{b} = \iota(b)$.

Using this inclusion, one finds that there is a canonical isomorphism $(B_i \otimes H)/\chi_i(ker\chi_j) \simeq (B_{ij}/K_j^i) \otimes H$ given by $b \otimes h + \chi_i(ker\chi_j) \rightarrow (\pi_i(b) + K_j^i) \otimes h$. Composing with $\tilde{\chi}_{ij}^i$ (see (3)), there results an isomorphism $\chi_{ij}^i : \mathcal{P}_{ij} \rightarrow B_{ij}/K_j^i \otimes H$ given by

$$\chi_{ij}^i(f + ker\chi_i + ker\chi_j) := (\pi_j^i \otimes id) \circ \chi_i(f) + K_j^i \otimes H.$$

Our goal is now to show $K_j^i = \pi_j^i(\tilde{K}_j^i) = 0$, so that χ_{ij}^i will become the isomorphism $\mathcal{P}_{ij} \rightarrow B_{ij} \otimes H$ wanted in the proposition. As a first step we will prove $K_j^i = K_i^j$. To this end, we note that

$$\tilde{\phi}_{ji} := \chi_{ij}^j \circ \pi_{j\mathcal{P}}^i \circ \tilde{\chi}_i^{-1}$$

is a homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}_{ji} : B_i \otimes H \rightarrow B_{ij}/K_i^j \otimes H$ with $ker\tilde{\phi}_{ji} = \tilde{K}_j^i \otimes H$. In terms of this homomorphism we define a homomorphism $\psi_{ji} : B_{ij} \rightarrow B_{ij}/K_i^j$ by

$$\psi_{ji}(a + J_i + J_j) := (id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{ji}((a + J_i) \otimes 1).$$

It is easy to show that $ker\psi_{ji} = K_i^j$. On the other hand one shows that $\psi_{ji} : B_{ij} \rightarrow B_{ij}/K_i^j$ is the natural projection, and therefore $K_j^i = K_i^j$:

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{ji}(a + J_i + J_j) &= (id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{ji}((a + J_i) \otimes 1) \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \chi_{ij}^j \circ \pi_{j\mathcal{P}}^i \circ \tilde{\chi}_i^{-1}((a + J_i) \otimes 1) \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \chi_{ij}^j \circ \pi_{ij\mathcal{P}}(\iota(a)) \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \chi_{ij}^j(\iota(a) + ker\chi_i + ker\chi_j) \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon)((\pi_i^j \otimes id) \circ \chi_j(\iota(a) + K_i^j \otimes H) \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon)((\pi_i^j \otimes id)(\pi_j(a) \otimes 1) + K_i^j \otimes H) \\ &= \pi_{ij}(a) + K_i^j. \end{aligned}$$

For showing $K_j^i = 0$ we use the completeness of the covering $(ker\chi_i)_{i \in I}$. The covering completion of \mathcal{P} is by definition

$$\mathcal{P}_c = \{(f_i)_{i \in I} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{P} / ker\chi_i \mid \pi_{j\mathcal{P}}^i(f_i) = \pi_{i\mathcal{P}}^j(f_j)\}.$$

We introduce a locally trivial QPFB $\check{\mathcal{P}} \simeq \mathcal{P}_c$ such that a comparison of $\check{\mathcal{P}}^{coH} = \{f \in \check{\mathcal{P}} \mid \Delta_{\check{\mathcal{P}}}(f) = f \otimes 1\}$ with $B \simeq B_c$ allows to read off $ker\psi_{ij} = K_j^i = 0$. Let $\phi_{ij} : B_{ij}/K_j^i \otimes H \rightarrow B_{ij}/K_j^i \otimes H$ be the isomorphisms defined by

$$\phi_{ij} := \chi_{ij}^i \circ \chi_{ij}^j^{-1}.$$

Using the identities

$$\chi_{ij}^i \circ \pi_{j\mathcal{P}}^i \circ \tilde{\chi}_i^{-1} = (\psi_{ij} \otimes id) \circ (\pi_j^i \otimes id)$$

it is easy to verify that the algebra \mathcal{P}_c is isomorphic to the algebra

$$\check{\mathcal{P}} = \{(g_i)_{i \in I} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} (B_i \otimes H) \mid (\psi_{ji} \otimes id) \circ (\pi_j^i \otimes id)(g_i) = \phi_{ij} \circ (\psi_{ji} \otimes id) \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id)(g_j)\}$$

(cf. Lemma 1 in [5]), and the corresponding isomorphism $\chi : \mathcal{P}_c \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{P}}$ is defined by $\chi((f_i)_{i \in I}) := (\tilde{\chi}_i(f_i))_{i \in I}$. Transporting the homomorphisms $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}_c}$, χ_{i_c} and ι_c to $\Delta_{\check{\mathcal{P}}} := (\chi \otimes id) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}_c} \circ \chi^{-1}$, $\check{\chi}_i := \chi_{i_c} \circ \chi^{-1}$ and $\check{\iota} := \chi \circ \iota_c$ respectively, one obtains a locally trivial QPFB again. Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\check{\mathcal{P}}}((g_i)_{i \in I}) &= ((id \otimes \Delta)(g_i))_{i \in I}, \\ \check{\chi}_i((g_k)_{k \in I}) &= g_i, \\ \check{\iota}(a) &= (\pi_i(a) \otimes 1)_{i \in I}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that the isomorphisms ϕ_{ij} fulfill

$$(id \otimes \Delta) \circ \phi_{ij} = (\phi_{ij} \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes \Delta), \quad (4)$$

$$\phi_{ij}(a \otimes 1) = a \otimes 1, \quad a \in B_{ij}/K_j^i. \quad (5)$$

Using (4) and (5) it follows that the subalgebra $\check{\mathcal{P}}^{coH} = \{f \in \check{\mathcal{P}} \mid \Delta_{\check{\mathcal{P}}}(f) = f \otimes 1\}$ is isomorphic to

$$\check{\mathcal{P}}^{coH} = \{(a_i \otimes 1)_{i \in I} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} B_i \otimes 1 \mid \psi_{ji} \circ \pi_j^i(a_i) \otimes 1 = \psi_{ji} \circ \pi_i^j(a_j) \otimes 1\}.$$

This algebra is by Definition 1 isomorphic to

$$B \simeq B_c = \{(a_i)_{i \in I} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} B_i \mid \pi_j^i(a_i) = \pi_i^j(a_j)\}$$

(see [5] and the appendix). It follows that the ψ_{ij} have to be isomorphisms, i.e. $\ker \psi_{ij} = K_j^i = 0$, which means in fact $\psi_{ij} = id$. Thus, the $\chi_{ij}^i : \mathcal{P}_{ij} \rightarrow B_{ij} \otimes H$ are isomorphisms.

Further define $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}_{ij}} : \mathcal{P}_{ij} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{ij} \otimes H$ by

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{P}_{ij}}(f + \ker \chi_i + \ker \chi_j) := \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}(f) + (\ker \chi_i + \ker \chi_j) \otimes H$$

and $\iota_{ij} : B_{ij} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{ij}$ by

$$\iota_{ij}(\pi_{ij}(a)) := \iota(a) + \ker \chi_i + \ker \chi_j.$$

It is easy to verify that all the conditions of Definition 2 are satisfied. \square

Notice that due to $K_j^i = 0$, we have $\tilde{K}_j^i = \pi_i(J_j)$. This means

$$\chi_i(\ker \chi_j) = \pi_i(J_j) \otimes H. \quad (6)$$

The isomorphisms χ_{ij}^i satisfy

$$\chi_{ij}^i \circ \pi_{ij\mathcal{P}} = (\pi_j^i \otimes id) \circ \chi_i, \quad (7)$$

and the ϕ_{ij} defined above are isomorphisms $B_{ij} \otimes H \rightarrow B_{ij} \otimes H$ fulfilling (4), (5) and $\phi_{ij} \circ \phi_{ji} = id$.

Proposition 3 (cf. [3]) *Locally trivial QPFB's over a basis B with complete covering $(J_i)_{i \in I}$ and with structure group H are in one-to-one correspondence with families of homomorphisms*

$$\tau_{ij} : H \longrightarrow B_{ij},$$

called transition functions, satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{ii}(h) &= 1\varepsilon(h) \quad \forall h \in H, \\ \tau_{ji}(S(h)) &= \tau_{ij}(h) \quad \forall h \in H, \\ \tau_{ij}(h)a &= a\tau_{ij}(h) \quad \forall a \in B_{ij} \quad h \in H, \\ \pi_k^{ij} \circ \tau_{ij}(h) &= m_{B_{ijk}} \circ (\pi_j^{ik} \circ \tau_{ik} \otimes \pi_i^{jk} \circ \tau_{kj}) \circ \Delta(h) \quad \forall h \in H. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Let a bundle \mathcal{P} be given and let the $\phi_{ij} : B_{ij} \otimes H \longrightarrow B_{ij} \otimes H$ be defined as above. Define homomorphisms $\tau_{ij} : H \longrightarrow B_{ij}$ by

$$\tau_{ji}(h) := (id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \phi_{ij}(1 \otimes h). \quad (8)$$

(There is another possible choice, $\tau_{ij}(h) := (id \otimes \varepsilon)\phi_{ij}(1 \otimes h)$, which correspond to another form of the cocycle condition.) One shows that this is equivalent to

$$\phi_{ij}(a \otimes h) = \sum a\tau_{ji}(h_1) \otimes h_2 : \quad (9)$$

Using (4), (5) and $(\varepsilon \otimes id) \circ \Delta = id$ it follows from (8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum a\tau_{ji}(h_1) \otimes h_2 &= \sum (a \otimes 1)((id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \phi_{ij}(1 \otimes h_1) \otimes h_2), \\ &= (a \otimes 1)(id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id) \circ (\phi_{ij} \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes \Delta)(1 \otimes h), \\ &= (a \otimes 1)(id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes \Delta) \circ \phi_{ij}(1 \otimes h), \\ &= (a \otimes 1)\phi_{ij}(1 \otimes h), \\ &= \phi_{ij}(a \otimes h). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if (9) is satisfied, the choice $a = 1$ gives (8). $\tau_{ii}(h) = \varepsilon(h)1$ follows from $\phi_{ii} = id$. Every homomorphism $\tau_{ij} : H \longrightarrow B$ is convolution invertible with convolution inverse $\tau_{ij}^{-1} = \tau_{ij} \circ S$. On the other hand from $\phi_{ij} \circ \phi_{ji} = id$ easily follows $\tau_{ij}^{-1} = \tau_{ji}$:

$$\phi_{ij} \circ \phi_{ji}(1 \otimes h) = \phi_{ij}(\sum \tau_{ij}(h_1) \otimes h_2) = \sum \tau_{ij}(h_1)\tau_{ji}(h_2) \otimes h_3 = 1 \otimes h.$$

Therefore $\sum \tau_{ij}(h_1)\tau_{ji}(h_2) = \varepsilon(h)1$, i.e. $\tau_{ji} = \tau_{ij} \circ S$. τ_{ij} has values in the center of B_{ij} :

$$\begin{aligned} a\tau_{ij}(h) - \tau_{ij}(h)a &= a(id \otimes \varepsilon)\phi_{ji}(1 \otimes h) - (id \otimes \varepsilon)\phi_{ji}(1 \otimes h)a \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon)((a \otimes 1)\phi_{ji}(1 \otimes h) - \phi_{ji}(1 \otimes h)(a \otimes 1)) \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon)(\phi_{ji}((a \otimes h) - (a \otimes h))) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the last relation of the proposition, define isomorphisms $\phi_{ij}^k : B_{ijk} \otimes H \longrightarrow B_{ijk} \otimes H$ by

$$\phi_{ij}^k((a \otimes h) + \pi_{ij}(J_k) \otimes H) := \phi_{ij}(a \otimes h) + \pi_{ij}(J_k) \otimes H$$

(using $B_{ijk} \simeq B_{ij}/\pi_{ij}(J_k)$). ϕ_{ij}^k are well defined because of $\phi_{ij}(a \otimes 1) = a \otimes 1$. Now, a lengthy but simple computation leads to

$$\phi_{ij}^k = \phi_{ik}^j \circ \phi_{kj}^i.$$

The idea of this computation is to consider the isomorphism $\chi_i^{ijk} : \mathcal{P}/(\ker\chi_i + \ker\chi_j + \ker\chi_k) \longrightarrow B_{ijk} \otimes H$ induced by χ_i and to prove $\phi_{ij}^k = \chi_i^{ijk} \circ \chi_j^{ijk^{-1}}$. Combining the definition of ϕ_{ij}^k with (9), one obtains

$$\phi_{ij}^k(a \otimes h) = \sum a \pi_k^{ij} \circ \tau_{ji}(h_1) \otimes h_2.$$

Therefore,

$$\pi_k^{ij} \circ \tau_{ji}(h) = (id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \phi_{ij}^k(1 \otimes h).$$

Inserting here $\phi_{ij}^k(1 \otimes h) = \phi_{ik}^j \circ \phi_{kj}^i(1 \otimes h)$ one obtains

$$\pi_k^{ij} \circ \tau_{ji}(h) = \sum \pi_i^{jk} \circ \tau_{jk}(h_1) \pi_j^{ik} \circ \tau_{ki}(h_2).$$

This ends the proof of one direction of the proposition.

We will not give the details of reconstruction of the bundle from the transition functions. We only remark, that, for a given family of transition functions τ_{ij} , we define the isomorphisms ϕ_{ij} by formula (9), which gives rise to the gluing

$$\check{\mathcal{P}} = \{(f_i)_{i \in I} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} (B_i \otimes H) \mid (\pi_j^i \otimes id)(f_i) = \phi_{ij} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id)(f_j)\}. \quad (10)$$

One verifies that the formulas

$$\Delta_{\check{\mathcal{P}}}((f_i)_{i \in I}) = (id \otimes \Delta(f_i))_{i \in I}, \quad \forall (f_i)_{i \in I} \in \check{\mathcal{P}}, \quad (11)$$

$$\check{\chi}_k((f_i)_{i \in I}) = f_k, \quad \forall (f_i)_{i \in I} \in \check{\mathcal{P}}, \quad (12)$$

$$\check{\iota}(a) = (\pi_i(a) \otimes 1)_{i \in I}, \quad \forall a \in B \quad (13)$$

define a locally trivial QPFB $(\check{\mathcal{P}}, \Delta_{\check{\mathcal{P}}}, H, B, \check{\iota}, (\check{\chi}_i, J_i)_{i \in I})$. If the τ_{ij} stem from a given locally trivial QPFB \mathcal{P} , applying the isomorphism χ^{-1} defined as above (proof of proposition 2) leads to $\mathcal{P}_c \simeq \check{\mathcal{P}}$. \square

3 Adapted covariant differential structures on locally trivial QPFB

In the sequel we will use the skew tensor product of differential calculi. Let $\Gamma(A)$ and $\Gamma(B)$ be two differential calculi. We define the differential calculus $\Gamma(A) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(B)$ as the vector space $\Gamma(A) \otimes \Gamma(B)$ equipped with the product

$$(\gamma \hat{\otimes} \rho)(\omega \hat{\otimes} \tau) = (-1)^{mn} (\gamma \omega \hat{\otimes} \rho \tau), \quad \omega \in \Gamma^n(A), \rho \in \Gamma^m(B), \gamma \in \Gamma(A), \tau \in \Gamma(B) \quad (14)$$

and the differential

$$d(\gamma \hat{\otimes} \rho) = (d\gamma \hat{\otimes} \rho) + (-1)^n (\gamma \hat{\otimes} d\rho), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma^n(A), \rho \in \Gamma(B). \quad (15)$$

Proposition 4 *Let $\Gamma(A)$ and $\Gamma(B)$ be two differential calculi and let $J(A) \subset \Omega(A)$ and $J(B) \subset \Omega(B)$ be the corresponding differential ideals respectively. Let $id \otimes 1 : A \longrightarrow A \otimes B$ and $1 \otimes id : B \longrightarrow A \otimes B$ be the embedding homomorphisms. The differential ideal $J(A \otimes B) \subset \Omega(A \otimes B)$ corresponding to $\Gamma(A) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(B)$ if it is generated by the sets*

$$(id \otimes 1)_\Omega(J(A)); (1 \otimes id)_\Omega(J(B)) \\ \{(a \otimes 1)d(1 \otimes b) - (d(1 \otimes b))(a \otimes 1) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}. \quad (16)$$

Proof: First we define a homomorphism $\tilde{\psi} : \Omega(A \otimes B) \longrightarrow \Gamma(A) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(B)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\psi}\left(\sum_k (a_k^0 \otimes 1)d(a_k^1 \otimes 1)\right) &= \sum_k a_k^0 da_k^1 \hat{\otimes} 1, \\ \tilde{\psi}\left(\sum_k (1 \otimes b_k^0)d(1 \otimes b_k^1)\right) &= \sum_k 1 \hat{\otimes} b_k^0 db_k^1.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that the differential ideal $\tilde{J}(A \otimes B)$ generated by the sets (16) satisfies $\tilde{J}(A \otimes B) \subset \ker \tilde{\psi}$. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}(A \otimes B) = \Omega(A \otimes B) / \tilde{J}(A \otimes B)$. Note that there are the following relations in $\tilde{\Gamma}(A \otimes B)$.

$$\begin{aligned}(d(a \otimes 1))(1 \otimes b) &= (1 \otimes b)d(a \otimes 1), \\ d(a \otimes 1)d(1 \otimes b) &= -d(1 \otimes b)d(a \otimes 1)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, an element $\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}(A \otimes B)$ has the general form

$$\gamma = \sum_k \sum_l (a_k^0 \otimes 1)d(a_k^1 \otimes 1) \dots d(a_k^n \otimes 1)(1 \otimes b_l^0)d(1 \otimes b_l^1) \dots d(1 \otimes b_l^m).$$

There exist homomorphisms $\Upsilon_A : \Gamma(A) \longrightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}(A \otimes B)$ and $\Upsilon_B : \Gamma(B) \longrightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}(A \otimes B)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\Upsilon_A(a_0 da_1 \dots da_n) &:= (a_0 \otimes 1)d(a_1 \otimes 1) \dots d(a_n \otimes 1), \\ \Upsilon_B(b_0 db_1 \dots db_n) &:= (1 \otimes b_0)d(1 \otimes b_1) \dots d(1 \otimes b_n).\end{aligned}$$

Because of $\tilde{J}(A \otimes B) \subset \ker \tilde{\psi}$ the homomorphism $\psi : \tilde{\Gamma}(A \otimes B) \longrightarrow \Gamma(A) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(B)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(d(a \otimes 1)) &= da \hat{\otimes} 1, \\ \psi(d(1 \otimes b)) &= 1 \hat{\otimes} db,\end{aligned}$$

exists. Since $\Gamma(A) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(B)$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma(A) \otimes \Gamma(B)$ as vector space, we can define a linear map $\psi^{-1} : \Gamma(A) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(B) \longrightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}(A \otimes B)$,

$$\psi^{-1}(\alpha \hat{\otimes} \beta) := \Upsilon_A(\alpha) \Upsilon_B(\beta).$$

Since this linear map is a homomorphism and fulfills

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{-1} \circ d &= d \circ \psi^{-1}, \\ \psi^{-1} \circ \psi &= id, \\ \psi \circ \psi^{-1} &= id,\end{aligned}$$

ψ is an isomorphism, and $\tilde{J}(A \otimes B) = \ker \tilde{\psi}$. Therefore, $\Gamma(A) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(B) \simeq \Omega(A \otimes B) / \tilde{J}(A \otimes B) \simeq \Omega(A \otimes B) / J(A \otimes B)$ and the proposition follows from uniqueness of the differential ideal corresponding to a differential calculus. \square

Remark: If we are in the converse situation, i.e. if a differential calculus $\Gamma(A \otimes B)$ with corresponding differential ideal $J(A \otimes B)$ is given, there exist differential ideals $J(A) := J(A \otimes B) \cap \Omega(A \otimes 1)$ and $J(B) := J(A \otimes B) \cap \Omega(1 \otimes B)$. By Proposition 4, the differential calculus is isomorphic to an algebra of the form $\Gamma(A) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(B)$ if and only if $J(A \otimes B)$ is generated by the sets (16).

In the sequel we always identify $\mathcal{P} / \ker \chi_i$ with $B_i \otimes H$, by means of the isomorphisms $\tilde{\chi}_i$ (see (2)).

Our goal is now to define differential structures on \mathcal{P} . By Proposition (19), a family of differential calculi $\Gamma(B_i)$ and right covariant differential calculus $\Gamma(H)$ determine unique differential calculi $\Gamma(B)$ and $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ such that $(\Gamma(B), (\Gamma(B_i))_{i \in I})$ and $(\Gamma(\mathcal{P}), (\Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H))_{i \in I})$ are adapted to $(B, (J_i)_{i \in I})$ and $(\mathcal{P}, (\ker \chi_i)_{i \in I})$ respectively. $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ and $\Gamma(B)$ are given in the following way: One has the extensions $\chi_{i_{\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}} : \Omega(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H)$ and $\pi_{i_{\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}} : \Omega(B) \rightarrow \Gamma(B_i)$ of the χ_i and π_i respectively. These extensions form differential ideals $\ker \chi_{i_{\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}} \subset \Omega(\mathcal{P})$ and $\ker \pi_{i_{\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}} \subset \Omega(B)$, thus $J(\mathcal{P}) := \cap_{i \in I} \ker \chi_{i_{\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}}$ and $J(B) := \cap_{i \in I} \ker \pi_{i_{\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}}$ are differential ideals. By construction, $\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) := \Omega(\mathcal{P})/J(\mathcal{P})$ and $\Gamma(B) := \Omega(B)/J(B)$ are adapted, i.e. the extensions $\chi_{i_{\Gamma}} : \Gamma(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H)$ and $\pi_{i_{\Gamma}} : \Gamma(B) \rightarrow \Gamma(B_i)$ of the χ_i and π_i exist and fulfill $\cap_{i \in I} \ker \chi_{i_{\Gamma}} = 0$ and $\cap_{i \in I} \ker \pi_{i_{\Gamma}} = 0$ respectively.

Definition 3 A differential structure on a locally trivial QPFB is a differential calculus $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ defined by a family of differential calculi $\Gamma(B_i)$ and a right covariant differential calculus $\Gamma(H)$, as described above.

Proposition 5 Let $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ be a differential structure on \mathcal{P} , and let $\Gamma(B)$ be determined by the corresponding $\Gamma(B_i)$ as above. $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ is covariant. The $\chi_{i_{\Gamma}}$ satisfy

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^{\Gamma}(\ker \chi_{i_{\Gamma}}) \subset \ker \chi_{i_{\Gamma}} \otimes H \quad \forall i \in I. \quad (17)$$

The extension $\iota_{\Gamma} : \Gamma(B) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ of ι exists, fulfills

$$\chi_{i_{\Gamma}} \circ \iota_{\Gamma}(\gamma) = \pi_{i_{\Gamma}}(\gamma) \hat{\otimes} 1, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma(B),$$

and is injective.

Proof: As explained before definition 3, the differential ideal corresponding to $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ is $J(\mathcal{P}) = \cap_i \ker \chi_{i_{\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}} \subset \Omega(\mathcal{P})$. Using the right covariance of $\Gamma(H)$ and Definition 14 one finds that the extensions $\chi_{i_{\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}}$ fulfill

$$(\chi_{i_{\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}} \otimes id) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^{\Omega} = (id \otimes \Delta^{\Gamma}) \circ \chi_{i_{\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}},$$

where Δ^{Γ} is the right coaction of $\Gamma(H)$. Due to this formula the differential ideals $\ker \chi_{i_{\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}}$ are covariant under the coaction of H , i.e. $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^{\Omega}(\ker \chi_{i_{\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}}) \subset \ker \chi_{i_{\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}} \otimes H$, thus, the differential ideal $J(\mathcal{P}) := \cap_{i \in I} \ker \chi_{i_{\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}}$ corresponding to $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ is covariant and it follows that $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ is covariant. This also gives (17).

The differential ideal corresponding to $\Gamma(B)$ is $J(B) = \cap_i \ker \pi_{i_{\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}} \subset \Omega(B)$. It is easy to see that $\iota_{\Omega}(J(B)) \subset J(\mathcal{P})$, thus the extension ι_{Γ} of ι with respect to $\Gamma(B)$ and $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ exists. Clearly ι_{Γ} satisfies

$$\chi_{i_{\Gamma}} \circ \iota_{\Gamma}(\gamma) = \pi_{i_{\Gamma}}(\gamma) \hat{\otimes} 1, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma(B).$$

Because of this formula and $\cap_i \ker \pi_{i_{\Gamma}} = 0$, ι_{Γ} is injective. \square

The differential structure on a locally trivial QPFB determines the covering completion $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ of $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ with respect to the covering $(\ker \chi_{i_{\Gamma}})_{i \in I}$ (see appendix). $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ is an LC differential algebra (see appendix) with local differential calculi $\Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H)$. It will be shown that $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ is a right H -comodule algebra and that the covering completion $\Gamma_c(B)$ of $\Gamma(B)$ is embedded in $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$. But first we need some facts about differential calculi over $B_{ij} \otimes H$ appearing in our context. For the moment we can even assume that we have a general differential calculus $\Gamma(B_i \otimes H)$. Over the algebras $B_{ij} \otimes H$ there exist two isomorphic differential calculi $\Gamma^i(B_{ij} \otimes H) = \Gamma(B_i \otimes H)/\chi_{i_{\Gamma}}(\ker \chi_{j_{\Gamma}})$ and $\Gamma^j(B_{ij} \otimes H) = \Gamma(B_j \otimes H)/\chi_{j_{\Gamma}}(\ker \chi_{i_{\Gamma}})$, and two corresponding differential ideals $J^i(B_{ij} \otimes H) \subset \Omega(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ and $J^j(B_{ij} \otimes H) \subset \Omega(B_{ij} \otimes H)$.

Proposition 6 *The differential ideals $J^i(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ and $J^j(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ have the following form:*

$$J^i(B_{ij} \otimes H) = (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Omega(J(B_i \otimes H)) + \phi_{ij\Omega} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_\Omega(J(B_j \otimes H)) \quad (18)$$

$$J^j(B_{ij} \otimes H) = (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_\Omega(J(B_j \otimes H)) + \phi_{ji\Omega} \circ (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Omega(J(B_i \otimes H)), \quad (19)$$

where $\phi_{ij\Omega}$ are the extensions of the isomorphisms ϕ_{ij} corresponding to the transition functions τ_{ji} .

For the proof we need

Lemma 2

$$(\pi_j^i \otimes id) \circ \chi_i = \phi_{ij} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id) \circ \chi_j$$

Proof of the lemma: Using the identities $\phi_{ij} = \chi_{ij}^i \circ \chi_{ij}^j{}^{-1}$ and $\chi_{ij}^i \circ \pi_{ij\mathcal{P}} = (\pi_j^i \otimes id) \circ \chi_i$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_j^i \otimes id) \circ \chi_i &= \chi_{ij}^i \circ \pi_{ij\mathcal{P}} \\ &= \phi_{ij} \circ \chi_{ij}^j \circ \pi_{ij\mathcal{P}} \\ &= \phi_{ij} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id) \circ \chi_j. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of the proposition: The differential calculus $\Gamma^i(B_{ij} \otimes H) = \Gamma(B_i \otimes H)/\chi_{i\Gamma}(ker\chi_{j\Gamma})$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})/(ker\chi_{i\Gamma} + ker\chi_{j\Gamma})$, which in turn is isomorphic to $\Omega(\mathcal{P})/(ker\chi_{i\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma} + ker\chi_{j\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma})$. Thus the differential calculi $\Gamma^i(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ and $\Gamma^j(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ can be identified with $\Omega(B_i \otimes H)/\chi_{i\Omega}(ker\chi_{i\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma} + ker\chi_{j\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma})$ and $\Omega(B_j \otimes H)/\chi_{j\Omega}(ker\chi_{i\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma} + ker\chi_{j\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma})$ respectively, and one obtains the differential ideals

$$\begin{aligned} J^i(B_{ij} \otimes H) &= (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Omega \circ \chi_{i\Omega}(ker\chi_{i\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma} + ker\chi_{j\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}), \\ J^j(B_{ij} \otimes H) &= (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_\Omega \circ \chi_{j\Omega}(ker\chi_{i\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma} + ker\chi_{j\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, $\chi_{i\Omega}(ker\chi_{i\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}) = J(B_i \otimes H)$ and $\chi_{j\Omega}(ker\chi_{j\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}) = J(B_j \otimes H)$ yields

$$J^i(B_{ij} \otimes H) = (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Omega(J(B_i \otimes H) + (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_\Omega \circ \chi_{i\Omega}(\chi_{j\Omega}^{-1}(J(B_j \otimes H)))). \quad (20)$$

Due to Lemma 2 the two homomorphisms $(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Omega \circ \chi_{i\Omega} : \Omega(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \Omega(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ and $(\pi_i^j \otimes id)_\Omega \circ \chi_{j\Omega} : \Omega(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \Omega(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ are connected by

$$(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Omega \circ \chi_{i\Omega} = \phi_{ij\Omega} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_\Omega \circ \chi_{j\Omega},$$

thus

$$(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Omega \circ \chi_{i\Omega}(\chi_{j\Omega}^{-1}(J(B_j \otimes H))) = \phi_{ij\Omega} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_\Omega(J(B_j \otimes H)).$$

Inserting this formula in (20) gives (18). (19) results by exchanging i, j . □

Due to $J^i(B_{ij} \otimes H) = \phi_{ij\Omega}(J^j(B_{ij} \otimes H))$ (immediate from Proposition 6) the isomorphism ϕ_{ij} is differentiable with respect to $\Gamma^j(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ and $\Gamma^i(B_{ij} \otimes H)$.

From now on we consider the case $\Gamma(B_i \otimes H) = \Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H)$.

Denoting by $(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_{\Gamma^i} : \Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H) \rightarrow \Gamma^i(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ the natural projection, $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ has the following explicit form:

$$\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}) = \{(\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H) \mid (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_{\Gamma^i}(\gamma_i) = \phi_{ij\Gamma} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_{\Gamma^j}(\gamma_j)\}. \quad (21)$$

Remark: Later we will need

$$ker(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma = \chi_{i\Gamma}(ker\chi_{j\Gamma}) = \chi_{i\Gamma_c}(ker\chi_{j\Gamma_c}) \quad (22)$$

Proposition 7 *Let $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ be a differential structure on \mathcal{P} , let $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ be the covering completion of $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ and let $\Gamma_c(B)$ be the covering completion of $\Gamma(B)$. Let $\chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}}$ and $\pi_{i_{\Gamma_c}}$ be the restrictions of the respective i -th projections.*

Then there exist a unique right coaction $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^{\Gamma_c} : \Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}) \otimes H$ and a unique injective homomorphism $\iota_{\Gamma_c} : \Gamma_c(B) \longrightarrow \Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ such that

$$(\chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}} \otimes id) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^{\Gamma_c} = (id_i \otimes \Delta^{\Gamma}) \circ \chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}} \quad (23)$$

$$\chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}} \circ \iota_{\Gamma_c}(\gamma) = \pi_{i_{\Gamma_c}}(\gamma) \otimes 1, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_c(B). \quad (24)$$

Remark: Indeed, the $\chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}} : \Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H)$ and $\pi_{i_{\Gamma_c}} : \Gamma_c(B) \longrightarrow \Gamma(B_i)$ coincide with the differential extensions of χ_i and π_i .

Proof: The covariance of the ideals $\chi_{i_{\Gamma}}(ker \chi_{j_{\Gamma}})$ under the H -coaction $(id_i \otimes \Delta^{\Gamma})$ follows from the covariance of the ideals $ker \chi_{i_{\Gamma}}$ under the H -coaction $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^{\Gamma}$. Therefore there exist H -coactions $(id \otimes \Delta)^{\Gamma^i}$ on $\Gamma^i(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ satisfying

$$(id \otimes \Delta)^{\Gamma^i} \circ (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_{\Gamma^i} = ((\pi_j^i \otimes id)_{\Gamma^i}) \otimes id \circ (id \otimes \Delta^{\Gamma}), \quad (25)$$

$$(id \otimes \Delta)^{\Gamma^i} = (\phi_{i_{j_{\Gamma}}} \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes \Delta)^{\Gamma^j}. \quad (26)$$

Thus there exists a H -coaction $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^{\Gamma_c}$ on $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ defined by

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^{\Gamma_c}((\gamma_i)_{i \in I}) = ((id_i \otimes \Delta^{\Gamma})(\gamma_i))_{i \in I}, \quad \forall (\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}). \quad (27)$$

Further one defines an injective homomorphism $\iota_{\Gamma_c} : \Gamma_c(B) \longrightarrow \Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ by

$$\iota_{\Gamma_c}((\rho_i)_{i \in I}) = (\rho_i \hat{\otimes} 1)_{i \in I}, \quad \forall (\rho_i)_{i \in I} \in \Gamma_c(B). \quad (28)$$

Both homomorphisms are uniquely determined by the assumptions of the proposition. \square

In general the differential calculi $\Gamma^i(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ and $\Gamma^j(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ seem not to be isomorphic to differential calculi of the form $\Gamma(B_{ij}) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H)$. This is suggested by a look at the generators of the differential ideal $J^i(B_{ij} \otimes H)$:

Let $\iota_{i_{\Omega}} : \Omega(B_i) \longrightarrow \Omega(B_i \otimes H)$ be the extension of $\iota_i := id \otimes 1$ and let $\phi_{i_{\Omega}} : \Omega(H) \longrightarrow \Omega(B_i \otimes H)$ be the extension of $\phi_i := 1 \otimes id$. By Proposition 4 the differential ideal $J(B_i \otimes H)$ corresponding to $\Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H)$ is generated by the sets

$$\begin{aligned} & \iota_{i_{\Omega}}(J(B_i)), \quad \phi_{i_{\Omega}}(J(H)) \\ & \{(a \otimes 1)d(1 \otimes h) - (d(1 \otimes h))(a \otimes 1), \quad a \in B_i, \quad h \in H\}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where the differential ideals $J(B_i)$ and $J(H)$ correspond to the differential calculi $\Gamma(B_i)$ and $\Gamma(H)$. Assume that the differential ideal $J(H)$ is determined by a right ideal $R \subset ker \varepsilon \subset H$ in the sense that $J(H)$ is generated by the set $\{\sum S^{-1}(r_2)dr_1 \mid r \in R\}$ (see also the appendix). Using (9),(29) and (18) one obtains the following generators of $J^i(B_{ij} \otimes H)$:

$$(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_{\Omega} \circ \iota_{i_{\Omega}}(J(B_i)), \quad (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_{\Omega} \circ \iota_{j_{\Omega}}(J(B_j)), \quad (30)$$

$$\left\{ \sum (1 \otimes S^{-1}(r_2)d(1 \otimes r_1)) \mid r \in R \right\}, \quad (31)$$

$$\left\{ \sum (\tau_{ij}(r_4) \otimes S^{-1}(r_3)d(\tau_{ji}(r_1) \otimes r_2)) \mid r \in R \right\}, \quad (32)$$

$$\{(a \otimes 1)d(1 \otimes h) - (d(1 \otimes h))(a \otimes 1) \mid a \in B_{ij}, \quad h \in H\}, \quad (33)$$

$$\{(a \otimes 1)d(\sum \tau_{ji}(h_1) \otimes h_2) - (d(\sum \tau_{ji}(h_1) \otimes h_2))(a \otimes 1) \mid a \in B_{ij}, \quad h \in H\}. \quad (34)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum (\tau_{ij}(r_4) \otimes S^{-1}(r_3)d(\tau_{ji}(r_1) \otimes r_2) - \sum (\tau_{ij}(r_2) \otimes 1)d(\tau_{ji}(r_1) \otimes 1) \\ & - \sum (\tau_{ji}(S(r_4)r_1) \otimes S^{-1}(r_3))d(1 \otimes r_2) \in J^i(B_{ij} \otimes H); \quad r \in R, \end{aligned}$$

thus one can replace the generators (32) by

$$\sum (\tau_{ij}(r_2) \otimes 1)d(\tau_{ji}(r_1) \otimes 1) + \sum (\tau_{ji}(S(r_4)r_1) \otimes S^{-1}(r_3))d(1r_2) \in J^i(B_{ij} \otimes H); \quad r \in R. \quad (35)$$

Using the Leibniz rule, the fact that the image of τ_{ji} lies in the center of B_{ij} , and the generators (33), one can replace (34) by the set of generators

$$\{(a \otimes 1)d(\tau_{ji}(h) \otimes 1) - d(\tau_{ji}(h) \otimes 1)(a \otimes 1) \mid a \in B_{ij}, h \in H\}.$$

Proposition 8 *Let the differential calculus $\Gamma(H)$ be determined by a right ideal $R \subset \ker \varepsilon \subset H$ and let τ_{ji} be the transition function corresponding to the isomorphism ϕ_{ij} . Assume that the right ideal has the property*

$$\sum \tau_{ij}(S(r_1)r_3) \otimes r_2 \in B_{ij} \otimes R \quad \forall r \in R; \quad \forall i, j \in I. \quad (36)$$

Then there exist differential ideals $J_m(B_{ij}) \subset \Omega(B_{ij})$ such that

$$\Gamma^i(B_{ij} \otimes H) = \Gamma^j(B_{ij} \otimes H) \cong (\Omega(B_{ij})/J_m(B_{ij})) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H).$$

Proof: Because of (36) the second term of (35) lies already in the part of $J^i(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ generated by the set (31), thus $J^i(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ is generated by the sets

$$\begin{aligned} & (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_{\Omega} \circ \iota_{i\Omega}(J(B_i)), (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_{\Omega} \circ \iota_{j\Omega}(J(B_j)), \\ & \left\{ \sum (1 \otimes S^{-1}(r_2)d(1 \otimes r_1)) \mid r \in R \right\}, \\ & \left\{ \sum (\tau_{ij}(r_2) \otimes 1)d(\tau_{ji}(r_1) \otimes 1) \mid r \in R \right\}, \\ & \{(a \otimes 1)d(1 \otimes h) - (d(1 \otimes h))(a \otimes 1) \mid a \in B_{ij}, h \in H\}, \\ & \{(a \otimes 1)d(\tau_{ji}(h) \otimes 1) - (d(\tau_{ji}(h) \otimes 1))(a \otimes 1) \mid a \in B_{ij}, h \in H\}. \end{aligned}$$

One can see that the differential ideal $J^i(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ is of the form (16), where the differential ideal $J_m(B_{ij})$ corresponding to $\Omega(B_{ij})/J_m(B_{ij})$ is generated by the following sets:

$$\pi_{j\Omega}^i(J(B_i)), \pi_{i\Omega}^j(J(B_j)), \quad (37)$$

$$\left\{ \sum \tau_{ji}(r_1)d\tau_{ij}(r_2) \mid r \in R \right\}, \quad (38)$$

$$\{(d\tau_{ji}(h))a - ad\tau_{ji}(h) \mid a \in B_{ij}; h \in H\}. \quad (39)$$

Replacing τ_{ji} with τ_{ij} we get the same differential ideal $J_m(B_{ij})$. This is clear because of the relation $\tau_{ji}(S(h)) = \tau_{ij}(h)$ and the following calculation. From the identity

$$\sum \tau_{ij}(r_1)\tau_{ji}(r_2)d(\tau_{ij}(r_3)\tau_{ji}(r_4)) = 0; \quad r \in R$$

one obtains

$$\sum \tau_{ji}(S(r_1)r_4)\tau_{ji}(r_2)d\tau_{ij}(r_3) + \sum \tau_{ij}(r_1)d(\tau_{ji}(r_2)) \in J_m(B_{ij}).$$

Due to (36) the first term lies already in $J_m(B_{ij})$, thus $\left\{ \sum \tau_{ij}(r_1)d(\tau_{ji}(r_2)) \mid r \in R \right\} \subset J_m(B_{ij})$. \square

Remark: All right ideals R determining a bicovariant differential calculus $\Gamma(H)$ have the property (36), because such right ideals are Ad-invariant, i.e. $\sum S(r_1)r_3 \otimes r_2 \in H \otimes R; \forall r \in R$.

Observe that in the case described in the previous proposition the differential ideal $J_m(B_{ij})$ is in general larger than the differential ideal $J(B_{ij})$ (see (16)), thus the differential calculi $\Gamma_m(B_{ij}) := \Omega(B_{ij})/J_m(B_{ij})$ and $\Gamma(B)/(ker\pi_{i\Gamma} + ker\pi_{j\Gamma})$ are in general not isomorphic. This gives rise to the differential algebra

$$\Gamma_m(B) := \{(\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \in \bigoplus_i \Gamma(B_i) | \pi_{j\Gamma_m}^i(\gamma_i \hat{\otimes} 1) = \pi_{i\Gamma_m}^j(\gamma_j \hat{\otimes} 1)\},$$

where the homomorphism $\pi_{i\Gamma_m}^j : \Gamma(B_i) \longrightarrow \Gamma_m(B_{ij})$ are the composition of the map $\Gamma(B_{ij}) \longrightarrow \Gamma_m(B_{ij})$ induced by the embedding $J(B_{ij}) \subset J_m(B_{ij})$ and $\pi_{j\Gamma}^i$. Because of $J(B_{ij}) \subset J_m(B_{ij})$ the LC differential algebra $\Gamma_c(B)$ is a subalgebra of $\Gamma_m(B)$. Further, $\Gamma_m(B)$ is an LC-differential algebra naturally embedded in $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ by $(\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \longrightarrow (\gamma_i \otimes 1)_{i \in I}$. If (36) is fulfilled one has the identity

$$(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_{\Gamma^i} = \pi_{j\Gamma_m}^i \otimes id.$$

If the right ideal R determining $\Gamma(H)$ does not fulfill (36), one can nevertheless construct such a LC-differential algebra $\Gamma_m(B)$ with $\Gamma_c(B)$ as subalgebra, and this LC-differential algebra on B will play the role of a differential structure on B uniquely induced from the differential structure on \mathcal{P} . For an equivalent definition of this LC-differential algebra, we need the following remark about the differential calculus induced on a subalgebra:

Let C be an algebra and let $A \subset C$ be a subalgebra. From a differential calculus $\Gamma(C)$ one obtains a differential calculus $\Gamma(A)$ by

$$\Gamma^n(A) := \left\{ \sum_k a_0^k da_1^k \dots da_n^k \in \Gamma(C) | a_i^k \in A \right\}.$$

Let $J(C) \subset \Omega(C)$ be the differential ideal corresponding to the differential calculus $\Gamma(C)$. It is easy to verify that the differential ideal $J(A) \subset \Omega(A)$ corresponding to $\Gamma(A)$ is $J(C) \cap \Omega(A)$.

Now recall that there are differential calculi $\Gamma^i(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ and $\Gamma^j(B_{ij} \otimes H)$. Since $B_{ij} \otimes 1$ is a subalgebra of $B_{ij} \otimes H$ we obtain differential calculi $\Gamma^i(B_{ij})$ and $\Gamma^j(B_{ij})$, with corresponding differential ideals $J^i(B_{ij})$ and $J^j(B_{ij})$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} J^i(B_{ij}) &= J^i(B_{ij} \otimes H) \cap \Omega(B_{ij} \otimes 1), \\ J^j(B_{ij}) &= J^j(B_{ij} \otimes H) \cap \Omega(B_{ij} \otimes 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi_{ij\Omega}(J^j(B_{ij} \otimes H)) = J^i(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ one concludes the identity $\phi_{ij\Omega}(J^j(B_{ij})) = J^i(B_{ij})$, and because of $\phi_{ij}(a \otimes 1) = a \otimes 1$ it follows that $J^i(B_{ij}) = J^j(B_{ij})$, i.e. $\Gamma^i(B_{ij}) = \Gamma^j(B_{ij}) = \Gamma_m(B_{ij})$. There are injective homomorphisms $\iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^i : \Gamma_m(B_{ij}) \longrightarrow \Gamma^i(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ given by

$$\iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^i(a_0 da_1 da_2 \dots da_n) = (a_0 \otimes 1)d(a_1 \otimes 1)d(a_2 \otimes 1) \dots d(a_n \otimes 1). \quad (40)$$

One has the identity

$$\iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^i = \phi_{ij\Gamma} \circ \iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^j. \quad (41)$$

Let us define the projections $\pi_{j\Gamma_m}^i : \Gamma(B_i) \longrightarrow \Gamma_m(B_{ij})$ and $\pi_{i\Gamma_m}^j : \Gamma(B_j) \longrightarrow \Gamma_m(B_{ij})$ by

$$\iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^i \circ \pi_{j\Gamma_m}^i(\gamma_i) = (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_{\Gamma^i}(\gamma_i \hat{\otimes} 1), \quad \gamma_i \in \Gamma(B_i), \quad (42)$$

$$\iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^j \circ \pi_{i\Gamma_m}^j(\gamma_j) = (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_{\Gamma^j}(\gamma_j \hat{\otimes} 1), \quad \gamma_j \in \Gamma(B_j). \quad (43)$$

Obviously, these projections are extensions of π_j^i and π_i^j respectively. In terms of these projections the LC-differential algebra $\Gamma_m(B)$ is defined as

$$\Gamma_m(B) := \{(\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \Gamma(B_i) \mid \pi_{j\Gamma_m}^i(\gamma_i) = \pi_{i\Gamma_m}^j(\gamma_j)\}. \quad (44)$$

$\Gamma_c(B)$ is a subalgebra of $\Gamma_m(B)$, and there exists an injective homomorphism $\iota_{\Gamma_m} : \Gamma_m(B) \longrightarrow \Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ defined by

$$\iota_{\Gamma_m}((\gamma_i)_{i \in I}) = (\gamma_i \hat{\otimes} 1)_{i \in I}.$$

Example:

In this example we consider a $U(1)$ bundle over the sphere S^2 . Assume that the algebra of differentiable functions $C^\infty(U(1))$ over $U(1)$ is the closure in some Fréchet topology of the algebra generated by the elements α and α^* satisfying

$$\alpha\alpha^* = \alpha^*\alpha = 1.$$

With $\Delta(\alpha) = \alpha \otimes \alpha$, $\varepsilon(\alpha) = 1$ and $S(\alpha) = \alpha^*$, this is a Hopf algebra. Let U_N and U_S be the (closed) northern and the southern hemisphere respectively, $\{U_N, U_S\}$ is a covering of S^2 . We have a complete covering (I_N, I_S) of $C^\infty(S^2)$, $I_N \subset C^\infty(S^2)$ and $I_S \subset C^\infty(S^2)$ being the functions vanishing on the subsets U_N and U_S respectively. Elements of $C^\infty(U_N) = C^\infty(S^2)/I_N$ and $C^\infty(U_S) = C^\infty(S^2)/I_S$ can be identified with restrictions of elements of $C^\infty(S^2)$ to the subsets U_N and U_S respectively. Since $U_N \cap U_S = S^1$, a transition function $\tau_{NS} : C^\infty(U(1)) \longrightarrow C^\infty(S^1)$ defines a locally trivial QPFB \mathcal{P} . We choose

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{NS}(\alpha)(e^{i\phi}) &= e^{i\phi}, \\ \tau_{NS}(\alpha^*)(e^{i\phi}) &= e^{-i\phi} \end{aligned}$$

(Hopf bundle).

Now we construct a differential structure on this bundle by giving the differential calculi $\Gamma(C^\infty(U_N))$, $\Gamma(C^\infty(U_S))$ and $\Gamma(C^\infty(U(1)))$. $\Gamma(C^\infty(U_N))$ and $\Gamma(C^\infty(U_S))$ are taken to be the usual exterior differential calculi where the corresponding differential ideals are generated by all elements of the form $adb - dba$. For the right covariant differential calculus $\Gamma(C^\infty(U(1)))$ we assume a noncommutative form. We choose as the right ideal R determining $\Gamma(C^\infty(U(1)))$ the right ideal generated by the element

$$\alpha + \nu\alpha^* - (1 + \nu)1$$

where $0 < \nu \leq 1$. (One obtains the usual exterior differential calculus for $\nu = 1$.)

Now we are interested in the LC-differential algebra $\Gamma_m(C^\infty(S^2))$ coming from this differential structure on \mathcal{P} for $q < 1$.

It is easy to verify that the right ideal R has the property (36), thus the differential ideal $J_m(C^\infty(S^1))$ is generated by the sets (37)-(39). The sets of generators (37) and (39) give the usual exterior differential calculus on S^1 , but the set of generators (38) leads to $d\phi = qd\phi$, i.e. $d\phi = 0$ for $q < 1$. One obtains for the LC-differential algebra $\Gamma_m(C^\infty(S^2))$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_m^0(C^\infty(S^2)) &= C^\infty(S^2), \\ \Gamma_m^n(C^\infty(S^2)) &= \Gamma^n(C^\infty(U_N)) \bigoplus \Gamma^n(C^\infty(U_S)); \quad n > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The foregoing considerations suggest the following definition.

Definition 4 Let $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ be a differential structure on the the locally trivial QPFB \mathcal{P} . An LC-differential algebra $\Gamma_g(B)$ over B is called embeddable into $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ if the local differential calculi of $\Gamma_g(B)$ are $\Gamma(B_i)$ and if there exists the extension $\iota_{\Gamma_g} : \Gamma_g(B) \longrightarrow \Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ of ι such that

$$\chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}} \circ \iota_{\Gamma_g}(\gamma) = \pi_{i_{\Gamma_g}}(\gamma) \hat{\otimes} 1; \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_g(B) \quad (45)$$

($\pi_{i_{\Gamma_g}} : \Gamma_g(B) \longrightarrow \Gamma(B_i)$ is the extension of π_i).

Remark: From $\bigcap_{i \in I} \ker \pi_{i_{\Gamma_g}} = \{0\}$ follows immediately that ι_{Γ_g} is injective.

Proposition 9 The LC-differential algebra $\Gamma_m(B)$ defined above is the maximal embeddable LC-differential algebra, i.e every embeddable LC-differential algebra $\Gamma_g(B)$ is embedded in $\Gamma_m(B)$ as a subalgebra of the direct sum of the $\Gamma(B_i)$ by $\gamma \longrightarrow (\pi_{i_{\Gamma_g}}(\gamma))_{i \in I}$.

Proof: Let $\Gamma_g(B)$ be an embeddable LC-differential algebra. It is clear from $\bigcap_{i \in I} \ker \pi_{i_{\Gamma_g}} = \{0\}$ that the mapping is injective. To show that its image is in $\Gamma_m(B)$ one has to prove that for $\gamma \in \Gamma_g(B)$

$$\pi_{j_{\Gamma_m}}^i \circ \pi_{i_{\Gamma_g}}(\gamma) = \pi_{i_{\Gamma_m}}^j \circ \pi_{j_{\Gamma_g}}(\gamma) \quad (46)$$

(see (44)). By (45) ι_{Γ_g} has the form

$$\iota_{\Gamma_g}(\gamma) = (\pi_{i_{\Gamma_g}}(\gamma) \hat{\otimes} 1)_{i \in I}; \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_g(B).$$

By definition, the image of ι_{Γ_g} lies in $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$, i.e.

$$(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_{\Gamma}(\pi_{i_{\Gamma_g}}(\gamma) \hat{\otimes} 1) = \phi_{ij_{\Gamma}} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_{\Gamma}(\pi_{j_{\Gamma_g}}(\gamma) \hat{\otimes} 1).$$

Using (41), (42) and (43) one obtains (46). □

4 Covariant derivatives and connections on locally trivial QPFB

First we define covariant derivatives, which are more general objects then connections. This is done on the covering completion of the differential structure on \mathcal{P} , which is necessary to obtain a one to one correspondence between covariant derivatives on \mathcal{P} and certain families of covariant derivatives on the trivializations of \mathcal{P} .

Definition 5 Let $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ be the differential structure on \mathcal{P} and let $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ be the covering completion of $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$. Let $hor\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}) \subset \Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ be the subalgebra defined by

$$hor\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}) := \{\gamma \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}) \mid \chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}}(\gamma) \in \Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} H \quad \forall i \in I\}. \quad (47)$$

A linear map $D_{l,r} : hor\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow hor\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ ist called left (right) covariant derivative if it satisfies

$$D_{l,r}(hor\Gamma_c^n(\mathcal{P})) \subset hor\Gamma_c^{n+1}(\mathcal{P}), \quad (48)$$

$$D_{l,r}(1) = 0, \quad (49)$$

$$D_l(\iota_{\Gamma_c}(\gamma)\alpha) = (d(\iota_{\Gamma_c}\gamma))\alpha + (-1)^n \gamma D_l(\alpha); \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_c^n(B); \quad \alpha \in hor\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}), \quad (50)$$

$$D_r(\alpha \iota_{\Gamma_c}(\gamma)) = D_r(\alpha) \iota_{\Gamma_c}(\gamma) + (-1)^n \alpha (d\iota_{\Gamma_c}(\gamma)); \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_c(B); \quad \alpha \in hor\Gamma_c^n(\mathcal{P}), \quad (51)$$

$$(D_{l,r} \otimes id) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}\Gamma_c} = \Delta_{\mathcal{P}\Gamma_c} \circ D_{l,r}, \quad (52)$$

$$D_{l,r}(\ker \chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}} \mid_{hor\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})}) \subset \ker \chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}} \mid_{hor\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})}; \quad \forall i \in I. \quad (53)$$

In this definition the lower indices l or r indicate the left or the right case. The appearance l, r means that the corresponding condition is fulfilled for both the left and the right case. This convention will be used in the sequel permanently.

Remark: In the case of trivial bundles $B \otimes H$ with differential structure $\Gamma(B) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H)$, where $\text{hor}(\Gamma(B) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H)) = \Gamma(B) \hat{\otimes} H$, condition (53) is trivial. Condition (50) (respectively (51)) has the form :

$$\begin{aligned} D_l(\gamma \hat{\otimes} h) &= d\gamma \hat{\otimes} h + (-1)^n (\gamma \hat{\otimes} 1) D_l(1 \otimes h); \quad \gamma \in \Gamma^n(B), \\ D_r(\gamma \hat{\otimes} h) &= D_r(1 \otimes h) (\gamma \hat{\otimes} 1) + d\gamma \hat{\otimes} h. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 10 *Left (right) covariant derivatives are in bijective correspondence to families of linear maps $A_{l,r_i} : H \longrightarrow \Gamma^1(B_i)$ with the properties*

$$A_{l,r_i}(1) = 0, \tag{54}$$

$$\pi_{j\Gamma_m}^i(A_{l,r_i}(h)) = \sum \tau_{ij}(h_1) \pi_{i\Gamma_m}^j(A_{l,r_j}(h_2)) \tau_{ji}(h_3) + \sum \tau_{ij}(h_1) d\tau_{ji}(h_2). \tag{55}$$

Remark: Note that (55) is a condition in $\Gamma_m(B_{ij})$ (See the considerations at the end of the forgoing section.).

Proof: Because of (53) a given left covariant derivative on $\text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ determines a family of left covariant derivatives $D_{l_i} : \Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} H \longrightarrow \Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} H$ by

$$D_{l_i} \circ \chi_{i\Gamma_c} = \chi_{i\Gamma_c} \circ D_{l_i}. \tag{56}$$

It follows the identity $D_{l_i}((\gamma_i)_{i \in I}) = (D_{l_i}(\gamma_i))_{i \in I}$. Since $(D_{l_i}(\gamma_i))_{i \in I} \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$, the D_{l_i} satisfy

$$(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma \circ D_{l_i}(\gamma_i) = \phi_{ij\Gamma} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_\Gamma \circ D_{l_j}(\gamma_j), \quad (\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \in \text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}). \tag{57}$$

One obtains a family of linear maps $A_{l_i} : H \longrightarrow \Gamma^1(B_i)$ by

$$A_{l_i}(h) := -(id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ D_{l_i}(1 \otimes h).$$

Now we need:

Lemma 3

$$((id \otimes \varepsilon)_\Gamma \otimes id) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^\Gamma|_{\Gamma(B) \hat{\otimes} H} = id$$

Proof of the lemma: An element $\gamma \in \Gamma(B) \hat{\otimes} H$ has the general form

$$\gamma = \sum_k a_0^k da_1^k \hat{\otimes} h^k.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ((id \otimes \varepsilon)_\Gamma \otimes id) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^\Gamma(\gamma) &= ((id \otimes \varepsilon) \otimes id) \left(\sum_k \sum_k a_0^k da_1^k \hat{\otimes} h_1^k \otimes h_2^k \right) \\ &= \sum_k a_0^k da_1^k \hat{\otimes} h^k. \end{aligned}$$

□

By the foregoing lemma, (50) and (52) one computes the identity

$$D_{l_i}(\gamma \hat{\otimes} h) = d\gamma \hat{\otimes} h + (-1)^{n+1} \sum \gamma A_{l_i}(h_1) \hat{\otimes} h_2; \quad \gamma \in \Gamma^n(B_i); \quad h \in H. \tag{58}$$

Because of (49) the A_{l_i} fulfill (54). To prove the property (55) we need:

Lemma 4 *Let B be an algebra, H be a Hopf algebra, $\Gamma(B)$ be a differential calculus over B and $\Gamma(H)$ be a right covariant differential calculus over H . Let $D_l : \Gamma(B) \hat{\otimes} H \rightarrow \Gamma(B) \hat{\otimes} H$ be a left covariant derivative on the trivial bundle $B \otimes H$. Let $J \subset \Gamma(B) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H)$ be a differential ideal with the property $(id \otimes \Delta^\Gamma)(J) \subset J \otimes H$. Then one has*

$$D_l(J \cap (\Gamma(B) \hat{\otimes} H)) \subset J \cap (\Gamma(B) \hat{\otimes} H). \quad (59)$$

Proof of the Lemma: By Lemma 1 there is an ideal $\tilde{J} \subset \Gamma(B)$ such that

$$J \cap (\Gamma(B) \hat{\otimes} H) = \tilde{J} \hat{\otimes} H.$$

\tilde{J} is an differential ideal: Let $\sum_k \gamma_k \hat{\otimes} h_k \in \tilde{J} \hat{\otimes} H \subset J$. Since J is a differential ideal one obtains

$$\sum_k d\gamma_k \hat{\otimes} h_k + (-1)^n \sum_k \gamma_k \hat{\otimes} dh_k \in J; \quad \gamma_k \in \Gamma^n(B).$$

The second summand lies in $\tilde{J} \hat{\otimes} \Gamma^1(H) \subset J$. It follows that $\sum_k d\gamma_k \hat{\otimes} h_k \in d\tilde{J} \hat{\otimes} H \subset J \cap (\Gamma(B) \hat{\otimes} H)$ and one obtains $d\tilde{J} \subset \tilde{J}$, thus \tilde{J} is a differential ideal.

Applying D_l to $\sum_k \gamma_k \hat{\otimes} h_k \in \tilde{J} \hat{\otimes} H \subset J$ leads to

$$D_l\left(\sum_k \gamma_k \hat{\otimes} h_k\right) = \sum_k d\gamma_k \hat{\otimes} h_k + (-1)^{n+1} \sum_k \gamma_k D_l(1 \otimes h_k), \quad \gamma_k \in \Gamma^n(B).$$

Since the image of D_l lies in $\Gamma(B) \hat{\otimes} H$, the right hand side of this formula is an element of $\tilde{J} \hat{\otimes} H$. \square

Since the $\ker(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma \subset \Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H)$ are coinvariant differential ideals (see (25)), by the foregoing lemma follows $D_{l_i}(\ker(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma \cap (\Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} H)) \subset \ker(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma \cap (\Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} H)$. This allows to define linear maps $D_{l_i}^{ij}$ by

$$D_{l_i}^{ij} \circ (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma = (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma \circ D_{l_i}.$$

Applying $(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma$ to (58) one obtains

$$D_{l_i}^{ij}(a \otimes h) = (d(a \otimes 1))(1 \otimes h) - (a \otimes 1) \sum (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma(A_{l_i}(h_1) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes h_2). \quad (60)$$

Let $(\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \in \text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$, in particular

$$(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma(\gamma_i) = \phi_{ij_\Gamma} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_\Gamma(\gamma_j). \quad (61)$$

Since $D_l(\gamma_i)_{i \in I} = (D_{l_i}(\gamma_i))_{i \in I} \in \text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ it follows that

$$D_{l_i}^{ij} \circ (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma(\gamma_i) = \phi_{ij_\Gamma} \circ D_{l_j}^{ij} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_\Gamma(\gamma_j). \quad (62)$$

Combining (61) and (62), one obtains

$$D_{l_i}^{ij} = \phi_{ij_\Gamma} \circ D_{l_j}^{ij} \circ \phi_{ji_\Gamma}. \quad (63)$$

Taking advantage of (60), (63), (5), (41) and (9) one computes (see also (40))

$$\begin{aligned} D_{l_i}^{ij}(1 \otimes h) &= - \sum \iota_{ij_\Gamma_m}^i (\pi_{j_\Gamma_m}^i (A_{l_i}(h_1)))(1 \otimes h_2) \\ &= \phi_{ij_\Gamma} \circ D_{l_j}^{ij} \circ \phi_{ji_\Gamma}(1 \otimes h) \\ &= \phi_{ij_\Gamma} \circ D_{l_j}^{ij} \left(\sum \tau_{ij}(h_1) \otimes h_2 \right) \\ &= \phi_{ij_\Gamma} \left(\sum \iota_{ij_\Gamma_m}^j (d\tau_{ij}(h_1))(1 \otimes h_2) - \sum \iota_{ij_\Gamma_m}^j (\tau_{ij}(h_1) \pi_{i_\Gamma_m}^j (A_{l_j}(h_2)))(1 \otimes h_3) \right) \\ &= \sum \iota_{ij_\Gamma_m}^i ((d\tau_{ij}(h_1)) \tau_{ji}(h_2))(1 \otimes h_3) \\ &\quad - \sum \iota_{ij_\Gamma_m}^i (\tau_{ij}(h_1) (\pi_{i_\Gamma_m}^j (A_{l_j}(h_2)) \tau_{ji}(h_3)))(1 \otimes h_4). \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

Applying the Leibniz rule to the first term of the last row and using $\sum \tau_{ij}(h_1)\tau_{ji}(h_2) = \varepsilon(h)1$ one obtains the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \sum l_{ij\Gamma_m}^i (\pi_{j\Gamma_m}^i (A_{l_i}(h_1)))(1 \otimes h_2) &= \sum l_{ij\Gamma_m}^i (\tau_{ij}(h_1)\pi_{i\Gamma_m}^j (A_{l_j}(h_2))\tau_{ji}(h_3))(1 \otimes h_4) \\ &+ \sum l_{ij\Gamma_m}^j (\tau_{ij}(h_1)d(\tau_{ji}(h_2)))(1 \otimes h_3). \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

In order to arrive at (55) we need to kill the $1 \otimes h$ -factor. This is achieved by using a projection $P_{inv} : \Gamma^i(B_{ij} \otimes H) \rightarrow \{\gamma \in \Gamma^i(B_{ij} \otimes H) \mid (id \otimes \Delta)^{\Gamma^i}(\gamma) = \gamma \otimes 1\}$ on the elements of $\Gamma^i(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ being coinvariant under the right H coaction $(id \otimes \Delta)^{\Gamma^i} : \Gamma^i(B_{ij} \otimes H) \rightarrow \Gamma^i(B_{ij} \otimes H) \otimes H$ (see also (25) and (26)). P_{inv} is defined by

$$P_{inv}(\rho) = \sum \rho_0 S(\rho_1), \quad \rho \in \Gamma^i(B_{ij} \otimes H). \quad (66)$$

Applying P_{inv} to the identity (65) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} l_{ij\Gamma_m}^i (\pi_{j\Gamma_m}^i (A_{l_i}(h))) &= \sum l_{ij\Gamma_m}^i (\tau_{ij}(h_1)\pi_{i\Gamma_m}^j (A_{l_j}(h_2))\tau_{ji}(h_3)) \\ &+ \sum l_{ij\Gamma_m}^j (\tau_{ij}(h_1)d\tau_{ji}(h_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Due to the injectivity of $l_{ij\Gamma_m}^i$, this is identical to

$$\pi_{j\Gamma_m}^i (A_{l_i}(h)) = \sum \tau_{ij}(h_1)\pi_{i\Gamma_m}^j (A_{l_j}(h_2))\tau_{ji}(h_3) + \sum \tau_{ij}(h_1)d\tau_{ji}(h_2) \quad (67)$$

in $\Gamma_m(B_{ij})$.

Now we prove the converse assertion. Assume there is given a family of linear maps $A_{l_i} : H \rightarrow \Gamma(B_i)$ which fulfill (54) and (55). Every A_{l_i} defines by

$$D_{l_i}(\gamma \hat{\otimes} h) = d\gamma \hat{\otimes} h + (-1)^{n+1} \sum \gamma A_{l_i}(h_1) \hat{\otimes} h_2; \quad \gamma \in \Gamma^n(B_i); \quad h \in H$$

a left covariant derivative D_{l_i} on $\Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} H$. The properties (48)-(50) and (52) of D_{l_i} , are easily derived from the above formula. One has to show that $D_l((\gamma_i)_{i \in I}) := (D_{l_i}(\gamma_i))_{i \in I}$, $(\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \in \text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ is a covariant derivative on $\text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$. Because of (54), D_l fulfills (49). The conditions (50) and (52) follows from the corresponding properties of D_{l_i} . It remains to prove, that the image of D_l lies in $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$, because then it also lies in $\text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$. (This is due to the fact that all the images of the D_{l_i} obviously are in $\Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} H$.) Then it is also obvious from the fact that the $\chi_{i\Gamma_c}$ are the projections to the i -th components that condition (53) is fulfilled. The image of D_l lies in $\text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ if the family of the D_{l_i} fulfills

$$(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma \circ D_{l_i}(\gamma_i) = \phi_{ij\Gamma} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_\Gamma \circ D_{l_j}(\gamma_j), \quad \forall (\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \in \text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}). \quad (68)$$

By Lemma 4, the covariant derivatives D_{l_i} give rise to maps $D_{l_i}^{ij}$ defined by

$$D_{l_i}^{ij} \circ (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma = (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_\Gamma \circ D_{l_i}.$$

One has

$$D_{l_i}^{ij}(1 \otimes h) = - \sum (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma (A_{l_i}(h_1) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes h_2), \quad (69)$$

and we will show that (55) yields the identity

$$D_{l_i}^{ij} = \phi_{ij\Gamma} \circ D_{l_j}^{ij} \circ \phi_{ji\Gamma} :$$

One computes for $\gamma \in \Gamma_m^n(B_{ij})$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{l_i}^{ij}(\iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^i(\gamma)(1 \otimes h)) &= (d\gamma)(1 \otimes h) + (-1)^{n+1} \iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^i(\gamma) D_{l_i}^{ij}(1 \otimes h) \\
&= \iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^i(d\gamma)(1 \otimes h) + (-1)^{n+1} \sum \iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^i(\gamma \pi_{j\Gamma_m}^i(A_{l_i}(h_1)))(1 \otimes h_2) \\
&= \iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^i(d\gamma)(1 \otimes h) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{n+1} \sum \iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^i(\gamma \tau_{ij}(h_1) \pi_{i\Gamma_m}^j(A_{l_j}(h_2)) \tau_{ji}(h_3))(1 \otimes h_4) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{n+1} \sum \iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^i(\gamma (d\tau_{ij}(h_1)) \tau_{ji}(h_2))(1 \otimes h_3) \\
&= \phi_{ij\Gamma} \circ D_{l_j}^{ij} \circ \phi_{ji\Gamma}(\gamma(1 \otimes h)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, one obtains for $(\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \in \text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{l_i}^{ij} \circ (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma(\gamma_i) &= D_{l_i}^{ij} \circ \phi_{ij\Gamma} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_\Gamma(\gamma_j) \\
&= \phi_{ij\Gamma} \circ D_{l_j}^{ij} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_\Gamma(\gamma_j),
\end{aligned}$$

and (68) follows.

It is immediate from the construction (using Lemma 3) that the correspondence is bijective. The proof for right covariant derivatives is analogous. In this case one uses

$$D_{r_i}(\gamma \hat{\otimes} h) = d\gamma \hat{\otimes} h + (-1)^{n+1} \sum A_{r_i}(h_1) \gamma \hat{\otimes} h_2 \quad (70)$$

for $\gamma \in \Gamma^n(B_i)$ □

Remark: Obviously, a family of linear maps $A_i : H \longrightarrow \Gamma^1(B_i)$ fulfilling (54) and (55) determines at the same time a left and a right covariant derivative. Consequently, there is also a bijective correspondence between left and right covariant derivatives.

Proposition 11 *Let $D_{l,r} : \text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ be a left (right) covariant derivative and let $\Gamma_g(B)$ be embeddable into $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$. $D_{l,r}$ fulfills*

$$D_l(\iota_{\Gamma_g}(\gamma)\alpha) = (d(\iota_{\Gamma_g}(\gamma))\alpha + (-1)^n \iota_{\Gamma_g}(\gamma) D_l(\alpha)); \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_g^n(B); \quad \alpha \in \text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}), \quad (71)$$

$$D_r(\alpha \iota_{\Gamma_g}(\gamma)) = D_r(\alpha) \iota_{\Gamma_g}(\gamma) + (-1)^n \alpha (d\iota_{\Gamma_g}(\gamma)); \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_g(B); \quad \alpha \in \text{hor}\Gamma_c^n(\mathcal{P}). \quad (72)$$

Proof: Let $(\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \in \text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ and $\rho \in \Gamma_g^n(B)$. One has $\iota_{\Gamma_g}(\rho) = (\pi_{i\Gamma_g}(\rho) \hat{\otimes} 1)_{i \in I}$ and $D_l((\gamma_i)_{i \in I}) = (D_{l_i}(\gamma_i))_{i \in I}$. One calculates

$$\begin{aligned}
D_l(\iota_{\Gamma_g}(\rho)(\gamma_i)_{i \in I}) &= D_l(((\pi_{i\Gamma_g}(\rho) \hat{\otimes} 1) \gamma_i)_{i \in I}) \\
&= (D_{l_i}(\pi_{i\Gamma_g}(\rho) \hat{\otimes} 1) \gamma_i)_{i \in I} \\
&= ((d(\pi_{i\Gamma_g}(\rho) \hat{\otimes} 1) \gamma_i)_{i \in I} + (-1)^n ((\pi_{i\Gamma_g}(\rho) \hat{\otimes} 1) D_{l_i}(\gamma_i))_{i \in I}) \\
&= (d(\iota_{\Gamma_g}(\rho))(\gamma_i)_{i \in I} + (-1)^n \iota_{\Gamma_g}(\rho) D_l((\gamma_i)_{i \in I})).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof for right covariant derivatives is analog. □

Now we are going to define connections on locally trivial QPFB. It turns out that connections are special cases of covariant derivatives. We start with a definition dualizing the classical case in a certain sense.

Definition 6 *Let $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ be a differential structure on \mathcal{P} and let $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ be the covering completion of $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$. A left (right) connection is a surjective left (right) \mathcal{P} -module homomorphism $\text{hor}_{l,r} : \Gamma_c^1 \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \text{hor}\Gamma_c^1(\mathcal{P})$ such that:*

$$\text{hor}_{l,r}^2 = \text{hor}_{l,r}, \quad (73)$$

$$(hor_{l,r} \otimes id) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^{\Gamma_c} = \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^{\Gamma_c} \circ hor_{l,r} \quad (74)$$

and

$$hor_{l,r}(ker \chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}}) \subset ker \chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}}, \quad \forall i \in I. \quad (75)$$

Remark: Conditions (75) in this definition are needed to have the one-to-one correspondence between connections on \mathcal{P} and certain families of connections on the trivial bundles $B_i \otimes H$. On a trivial bundle $B \otimes H$ condition (75) is obsolete.

Remark: For a given left (right) connection there is a vertical left (right) \mathcal{P} -submodule $ver_{l,r}\Gamma_c^1(\mathcal{P})$ such that

$$\Gamma_c^1(\mathcal{P}) = ver_{l,r}\Gamma_c^1(\mathcal{P}) \oplus hor\Gamma_c^1(\mathcal{P}),$$

where the projection $ver_{l,r} : \Gamma_c^1(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow ver_{l,r}\Gamma_c^1(\mathcal{P})$ is defined by $ver_{l,r} := id - hor_{l,r}$.

On a trivial bundle $B \otimes H$ with differential structure $\Gamma(B) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H)$ exists always the canonical connection hor_c , which is at the same time left and right. The existence of hor_c comes from the decomposition

$$(\Gamma(B) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H))^1 = (\Gamma^1(B) \hat{\otimes} H) \oplus (B \hat{\otimes} \Gamma^1(H))$$

(direct sum of $(B \otimes H)$ -bimodules), which allows to define

$$\begin{aligned} hor_c(\gamma \hat{\otimes} h) &= \gamma \hat{\otimes} h; \quad \gamma \in \Gamma^1(B), \quad h \in H, \\ hor_c(a \hat{\otimes} \theta) &= 0; \quad a \in B, \quad \theta \in \Gamma^1(H). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5 For a given connection $hor_{l,r}$ on \mathcal{P} there exists a family of connections hor_{l,r_i} on the trivializations $B_i \otimes H$ such that

$$\chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}} \circ hor_{l,r} = hor_{l,r_i} \circ \chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}}. \quad (76)$$

Proof: The existence of linear map hor_{l,r_i} satisfying (76) follows from (75). The hor_{l,r_i} are connections on $B_i \otimes H$: Because of the surjectivity of the $\chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}}$ the hor_{l,r_i} map onto $\Gamma^1(B_i) \hat{\otimes} H$. To prove condition (73) one computes

$$\begin{aligned} hor_{l,r_i}^2 \circ \chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}} &= hor_{l,r_i} \circ \chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}} \circ hor_{l,r} \\ &= \chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}} \circ hor_{l,r}^2 \\ &= \chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}} \circ hor_{l,r} \\ &= hor_{l,r_i} \circ \chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}} \end{aligned}$$

The condition (74) is fulfilled because of (23). □

By Definition 6 and the foregoing lemma a connection $hor_{l,r}$ has the following form

$$hor_{l,r}((\gamma_i)_{i \in I}) = (hor_{l,r_i}(\gamma_i))_{i \in I}, \quad (\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \in hor\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}), \quad (77)$$

which also means that the family of linear maps hor_{l,r_i} satisfies

$$(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_{\Gamma} \circ hor_{l,r_i}(\gamma_i) = \phi_{ij_{\Gamma}} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_{\Gamma} \circ hor_{l,r_j}(\gamma_j) \quad (78)$$

for $(\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \in \Gamma_c^1(\mathcal{P})$.

Proposition 12 Let $R \subset H$ be the right ideal corresponding to the right covariant differential calculus $\Gamma(H)$. Left (right) connections on a locally trivial QPFB \mathcal{P} are in one-to-one correspondence to left (right) covariant derivatives with the following property: The corresponding linear maps A_{l,r_i} fulfill

$$R \subset ker A_{l_i}, \quad \forall i \in I \quad (79)$$

$$S^{-1}(R) \subset ker A_{r_i}, \quad \forall i \in I. \quad (80)$$

Remark: Thus left (right)connections are in one to one correspondence to linear maps A_{l,r_i} fulfilling (54), (55) and (79) (respectively (80)).

Proof: We prove the assertion only for left connections. The proof is fully analogous for right connections.

A left connection hor_l determines a family of linear maps $A_{l_i} : H \longrightarrow \Gamma^1(B_i)$ by

$$A_{l_i}(h) := -(id \otimes \varepsilon)hor_{l_i}(1 \hat{\otimes} dh).$$

From (74) and Lemma 3 one has the identity

$$hor_{l_i}(1 \otimes dh) = - \sum A_{l_i}(h_1) \hat{\otimes} h_2. \quad (81)$$

Therefore A_{l_i} have the property $R \subset ker A_{l_i}$:

$$0 = \sum hor_{l_i}(1 \hat{\otimes} S^{-1}(r_2) dr_1) = -A_{l_i}(r) \hat{\otimes} 1, \quad r \in R.$$

It remains to show that this family of linear maps fulfills (54) and (55).

(54) is fulfilled by definition ($A_{l_i}(1) := (id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ hor_{l_i}(1 \otimes d1) = 0$).

Because of (75), (76) and (22) one has $hor_{l_i}(ker(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma) \subset ker(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma$, and the linear maps $hor_{l_i}^{ij}$ defined by

$$hor_{l_i}^{ij} \circ (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma = (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma \circ hor_{l_i}$$

exist. It follows that

$$hor_{l_i}^{ij}(d(1 \otimes h)) = - \sum \pi_{j\Gamma_m}^i(A_{l_i}(h_1))(1 \otimes h_2).$$

On the other hand, by an analogue of the computation leading to (63) (using (78)), one obtains

$$hor_{l_i}^{ij} = \phi_{ij\Gamma} \circ hor_{l_j}^{ij} \circ \phi_{ji\Gamma}.$$

Now using the last two formulas, one can repeat the arguments written after formula (63) to obtain formula (55).

Now assume that there is given a left covariant derivative D_l , whose corresponding linear maps A_{l_i} satisfy $R \subset ker A_{l_i}$. There exist left connections $hor_{l_i} : (\Gamma^1(B_i) \hat{\otimes} H) \oplus (B_i \hat{\otimes} \Gamma^1(H)) \longrightarrow \Gamma^1(B_i) \hat{\otimes} H$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} hor_{l_i}(\gamma \hat{\otimes} h) &:= \gamma \hat{\otimes} h, \\ hor_{l_i}(a \hat{\otimes} h dk) &:= - \sum a A_{l_i}(k_1) \hat{\otimes} h k_2. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

To verify this assertion we define linear maps $hor_{l_i}^\Omega : (\Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} \Omega(H))^1 \longrightarrow \Gamma^1(B_i) \hat{\otimes} H$ by

$$\begin{aligned} hor_{l_i}^\Omega(a_0 da_1 \hat{\otimes} h) &= a_0 da_1 \hat{\otimes} h, \\ hor_{l_i}^\Omega(a \hat{\otimes} h^0 dk) &= - \sum a A_{l_i}(k_1) \hat{\otimes} h k_2. \end{aligned}$$

The $(B_i \otimes H)$ subbimodules $B_i \hat{\otimes} J^1(H)$ are generated by the sets $\{1 \hat{\otimes} \sum S^{-1}(r_2) dr_1 | r \in R\}$. One has

$$B_i \hat{\otimes} \Gamma^1(H) = (B_i \hat{\otimes} \Omega^1(H)) / (B_i \hat{\otimes} J^1(H)) = B_i \hat{\otimes} \Omega^1(H) / J^1(H).$$

Using $R \subset \ker A_i$ it is easy to verify that the linear maps $hor_{l_i}^\Omega$ sends $B_i \hat{\otimes} J^1(H)$ to zero, i.e. there exist corresponding the linear maps hor_{l_i} on $(\Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H))^1$. As a consequence of there definition these linear maps are connections. One easily verifies the identity

$$hor_{l_i} \circ d = D_{l_i}|_{B_i \otimes H}, \quad (83)$$

where the D_{l_i} the local left covariant derivatives defined by (56).

Now we define a linear map $hor_l : \Gamma_c^1(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \oplus_{i \in I} \Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} H$ by

$$hor_l((\gamma_i)_{i \in I}) := (hor_{l_i}(\gamma_i))_{i \in I}, \quad (\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \in \Gamma_c^1(\mathcal{P}).$$

It remains to prove that the image of hor_l lies in $\Gamma_c^1(\mathcal{P})$. Then it follows immediately from the properties of the local connections hor_{l_i} that hor_l is a connection.

To prove $hor_l(\Gamma_c^1(\mathcal{P}) \subset \Gamma_c^1(\mathcal{P})$ we need a lemma.

Lemma 6 $hor_{l_i}((\chi_{i_\Gamma}(\ker \chi_{j_\Gamma}))^1) \subset (\chi_{i_\Gamma}(\ker \chi_{j_\Gamma}))^1$

Proof of the Lemma: Using the form of the generators of $J^i(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ (30) - (34) one finds easily that the differential calculus $\Gamma^i(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ has the form $\Gamma^i(B_{ij} \otimes H) = (\Gamma(B_{ij}) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H))/J$ where the differential ideal J is generated by

$$\left\{ \sum \tau_{ij}(r_2) d\tau_{ji}(r_1) \hat{\otimes} 1 + \sum \tau_{ij}(r_4) \tau_{ji}(r_1) \hat{\otimes} S^{-1}(r_3) dr_2 \mid r \in R \right\}, \quad (84)$$

$$\left\{ \sum (ad\tau_{ji}(h) - (d\tau_{ji}(h))a) \hat{\otimes} 1 \mid h \in H, a \in B_i \right\}. \quad (85)$$

The identity $J = (\pi_{j_\Gamma}^i \otimes id)(\chi_{i_\Gamma}(\ker \chi_{j_\Gamma}))$ is evident.

The factorization map $id_{ij_\Gamma}^i : \Gamma(B_{ij}) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H) \longrightarrow \Gamma^i(B_{ij} \otimes H)$ fulfills

$$id_{ij_\Gamma}^i \circ (\pi_{j_\Gamma}^i \otimes id) = (\pi_{j_\Gamma}^i \otimes id)_\Gamma. \quad (86)$$

Since hor_{l_i} is a left modul homomorphism and $\ker(\pi_{j_\Gamma}^i \otimes id) = \ker \pi_{j_\Gamma}^i \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H)$ one has

$$hor_{l_i}((\ker(\pi_{j_\Gamma}^i \otimes id))^1) \subset (\ker(\pi_{j_\Gamma}^i \otimes id))^1, \quad (87)$$

thus hor_{l_i} defines a connection $hor_{l_i}^{ij} : (\Gamma(B_{ij}) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H))^1 \longrightarrow \Gamma^1(B_{ij}) \hat{\otimes} H$ by

$$hor_{l_i}^{ij} \circ (\pi_{j_\Gamma}^i \otimes id) = (\pi_{j_\Gamma}^i \otimes id) \circ hor_{l_i}. \quad (88)$$

Because of (22), (87) and

$$(\pi_{j_\Gamma}^i \otimes id)_\Gamma \circ hor_{l_i}(\chi_{i_\Gamma}(\ker \chi_{j_\Gamma})) = id_{ij_\Gamma}^i \circ hor_{l_i}^{ij}(J)$$

(which is immediate from (86) and (88)), to prove the assertion of the lemma we have to show that $id_{ij_\Gamma}^i \circ hor_{l_i}^{ij}(J) = 0$. Note that the part of J generated by (85) lies in the horizontal submodule $\Gamma^1(B_{ij}) \hat{\otimes} H$ and is therefore invariant under $hor_{l_i}^{ij}$. Now let us consider the part of J generated by (84). Since $hor_{l_i}^{ij}$ is a left module homomorphism, it is sufficient to consider the the product of the generators (84) with a general element $(a \otimes h) \in B_{ij} \otimes H$ on the right. Using $R \subset \ker \varepsilon$, such an element can be written

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum \tau_{ij}(r_2) d\tau_{ji}(r_1) a \hat{\otimes} h + \sum \tau_{ij}(r_4) \tau_{ji}(r_1) \hat{\otimes} S^{-1}(r_3) dr_2 (a \otimes h) \\ = & \sum \tau_{ij}(r_2) d\tau_{ji}(r_1) a \hat{\otimes} h + \sum \tau_{ij}(r_4) \tau_{ji}(r_1) a \hat{\otimes} S^{-1}(r_3) d(r_2 h), \quad r \in R, h \in H, a \in B_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (82), $R \subset \ker \varepsilon$, (55), $R \subset \ker A_l$, and (40) one calculates

$$\begin{aligned}
& id_{ij\Gamma}^i \circ hor_{l_i}^{ij} \left(\sum \tau_{ij}(r_2)(d\tau_{ji}(r_1))a \hat{\otimes} h \right. \\
& \left. + \sum \tau_{ij}(r_4)\tau_{ji}(r_1)a \hat{\otimes} S^{-1}(r_3)d(r_2h) \right) \\
= & \sum \iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^i (a\tau_{ij}(r_2)d\tau_{ji}(r_1))(1 \otimes h) \\
& - \sum \iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^i (a\tau_{ij}(r_3)\tau_{ji}(r_1)\pi_{i\Gamma_m}^i(A_{l_i}(r_2h_1)))(1 \otimes h_2) \\
= & \sum \iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^i (a\tau_{ij}(r_2)d\tau_{ji}(r_1))(1 \otimes h) \\
& - \sum \iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^i (a\tau_{ij}(r_5)\tau_{ji}(r_1)\tau_{ij}(r_2h_1)\tau_{ji}(r_4h_3)\pi_{i\Gamma_m}^i(A_{l_j}(r_3h_2)))(1 \otimes h_4) \\
& - \sum \iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^i (a\tau_{ij}(r_4)\tau_{ji}(r_1)\tau_{ij}(r_2h_1)d\tau_{ji}(r_3h_2))(1 \otimes h_3) \\
= & \sum \iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^i (a\tau_{ij}(r_2)d\tau_{ji}(r_1))(1 \otimes h) \\
& - \sum \iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^i (a\tau_{ij}(h_1)\tau_{ji}(h_3)\pi_{i\Gamma_m}^i(A_{l_j}(rh_2)))(1 \otimes h_4) \\
& - \sum \iota_{ij\Gamma_m}^i (a\tau_{ij}(r_2)d\tau_{ji}(r_1))(1 \otimes h) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The last identity comes from the fact that R is a right ideal. □

Let $(\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \in \Gamma_c^1(\mathcal{P})$. We have to prove that

$$(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma \circ hor_{l_i}(\gamma_i) = \phi_{ij\Gamma} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_\Gamma \circ hor_{l_j}(\gamma_j).$$

γ_i has the general form

$$\gamma_i = \sum_k \chi_i(f_k^0)d\chi_i(f_k^1); f_k^0, f_k^1 \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Using the compability condition of (21) and (22) one verifies that γ_j has the form

$$\gamma_j = \sum_k \chi_j(f_k^0)d\chi_j(f_k^1) + \rho, \quad \rho \in \chi_{j\Gamma}(\ker \chi_{i\Gamma}).$$

Now one obtains from Lemma 6, (57) and (83)

$$\begin{aligned}
(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma \circ hor_{l_i}(\gamma_i) &= (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma \circ hor_{l_i} \left(\sum_k \chi_i(f_k^0)d\chi_i(f_k^1) \right) \\
&= \sum_k (\pi_j^i \otimes id)_\Gamma (\chi_i(f_k^0)D_{l_i}(\chi_i(f_k^1))) \\
&= \phi_{ij\Gamma} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_\Gamma \circ \left(\sum_k \chi_j(f_k^0)D_{l_j}(\chi_j(f_k^1)) \right) \\
&= \sum_k \phi_{ij\Gamma} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_\Gamma \circ hor_{l_j}(\chi_j(f_k^0)d\chi_j(f_k^1) + \rho) \\
&= \sum_k \phi_{ij\Gamma} (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_\Gamma \circ hor_{l_j}(\gamma_j),
\end{aligned}$$

and the assertion is proved. □

Proposition 13 *There exists a bijection between left and right connections.*

Proof: A left connection corresponds to a family of linear maps $(A_{l_i})_{i \in I}$ satisfying (54), (55) and (79). The linear maps $A_{r_i} := -A_{l_i} \circ S$ fulfill (54) and (80), thus the A_{r_i} define right connections on the trivializations. One has to prove that the family $(A_{r_i})_{i \in I}$ satisfies (55). Using $\tau_{ij} \circ S = \tau_{ji}$ and $\sum d(\tau_{ij}(h_1)\tau_{ji}(h_2)) = 0$, one calculates

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{j\Gamma_m}^i(A_{r_i}(h)) &= -\pi_{j\Gamma_m}^i(A_{l_i}(S(h))) \\
&= -\sum \tau_{ij}(S(h_3))\pi_{i\Gamma_m}^j(A_{l_j}(S(h_2)))\tau_{ji}(S(h_1)) - \sum \tau_{ij}(S(h_2))d\tau_{ji}(S(h_1)) \\
&= -\sum \tau_{ji}(h_3)\pi_{i\Gamma_m}^j(A_{l_j}(S(h_2)))\tau_{ij}(h_1) - \sum \tau_{ji}(h_2)d\tau_{ij}(h_1) \\
&= -\sum \tau_{ij}(h_1)\pi_{i\Gamma_m}^j(A_{l_j}(S(h_2)))\tau_{ji}(h_3) + \sum \tau_{ij}(h_1)d\tau_{ji}(h_2) \\
&= \sum \tau_{ij}(h_1)\pi_{i\Gamma_m}^j(A_{r_j}(h_2))\tau_{ji}(h_3) + \sum \tau_{ij}(h_1)d\tau_{ji}(h_2).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark: A left (right) connection $hor_{l,r}$ and the corresponding left right covariant derivatives $D_{l,r}$ are connected by $hor_{l,r} \circ d = D_{l,r}|_{\mathcal{P}}$. Note that $hor_{l,r}$ can be extended to the submodule

$$\Pi(\mathcal{P}) := \{\gamma \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}) \mid \chi_{i\Gamma_c}(\gamma) \in (\Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} H) \oplus (\Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma^1(H))\}.$$

This means that the equation is valid on

$$hor_{l,r} \circ d = D_{l,r}$$

(equation on $hor\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$).

To discuss curvatures of covariant derivatives and connections we introduce the notion of left (right) pre-connection forms.

Definition 7 A left (right) pre-connection form $\omega_{l,r}$ is a linear map $\omega_{l,r} : H \rightarrow \Gamma_c^1(\mathcal{P})$ satisfying

$$\omega_{l,r}(1) = 0, \quad (89)$$

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^{\Gamma}(\omega_l(h)) = \sum \omega_l(h_2) \otimes S(h_1)h_3, \quad (90)$$

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^{\Gamma}(\omega_r(h)) = \sum \omega_r(h_2) \otimes h_3S^{-1}(h_1), \quad (91)$$

$$(1 - hor_c) \circ \chi_{i\Gamma_c}(\omega_l(h)) = -\sum 1 \hat{\otimes} S(h_1)dh_2, \quad \forall i \in I, \quad (92)$$

$$(1 - hor_c) \circ \chi_{i\Gamma_c}(\omega_r(h)) = -\sum 1 \hat{\otimes} (dh_2)S^{-1}(h_1) \quad \forall i \in I. \quad (93)$$

Proposition 14 Left (right) covariant derivatives are in bijective correspondence to left (right) pre-connection forms.

Proof: Let ω_l be a left pre-connection form. ω_l determines a family of linear maps A_{l_i} by

$$A_{l_i}(h) := -(id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ hor_c \circ \chi_{i\Gamma_c}(\omega_l(h)). \quad (94)$$

Because of (89) the A_{l_i} fulfill (54).

Using

$$(1 - hor_c) \circ \chi_{i\Gamma_c}(\omega_l(h)) + hor_c \circ \chi_{i\Gamma_c}(\omega_l(h)) = \chi_{i\Gamma_c}(\omega_l(h)),$$

(92), (90), Lemma 3 and (94) one verifies easily

$$\chi_{i\Gamma_c}(\omega_l(h)) = -\sum 1 \hat{\otimes} S(h_1)dh_2 - \sum A_{l_i}(h_2) \hat{\otimes} S(h_1)h_3. \quad (95)$$

Since

$$(\pi_j^i \otimes id) \circ \chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}}(\omega_l(h)) = \phi_{ij_{\Gamma}} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id) \circ \chi_{j_{\Gamma_c}}(\omega_l(h)),$$

an easy calculation (using (9) and the projection P_{inv} (66)) leads to (55).

We want to prove that the left covariant derivative D_l determined by the A_{l_i} is

$$D_l(\gamma) = d\gamma + (-1)^n \sum \gamma_0 \omega_l(\gamma_1), \quad \gamma \in hor\Gamma_c^n(\mathcal{P}). \quad (96)$$

It is sufficient to prove that for $\gamma \in hor\Gamma_c^n(\mathcal{P})$

$$\chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}}(d\gamma + (-1)^n \sum \gamma_0 \omega_l(\gamma_1)) = d\gamma \hat{\otimes} h + (-1)^{n+1} \gamma A_{l_i}(h_1) \hat{\otimes} h_2 = \chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}} \circ D_l(\gamma).$$

$\chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}}(\gamma)$ has the general form

$$\chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}}(\gamma) = \sum_k \gamma_i^k \hat{\otimes} h_i^k; \quad \gamma_i^k \in \Gamma^n(B_i), \quad h_i^k \in H.$$

Using (95) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}}(d\gamma + (-1)^n \sum \gamma_0 \omega_l(\gamma_1)) \\ &= \left(\sum_k d\gamma_i^k \hat{\otimes} h_i^k + (-1)^n \sum_k \gamma_i^k \hat{\otimes} dh_i^k + (-1)^n \sum_k \sum (\gamma_i^k \otimes h_{i1}^k) \chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}}(\omega_l(h_{i2}^k)) \right) \\ &= \sum_k d\gamma_i^k \hat{\otimes} h_i^k + (-1)^n \sum_k \gamma_i^k \hat{\otimes} dh_i^k - (-1)^n \sum_k \sum (\gamma_i^k \otimes h_{i1}^k) (1 \hat{\otimes} S(h_{i2}^k) dh_{i3}^k) \\ & \quad - (-1)^n \sum_k \sum (\gamma_i^k \otimes h_{i1}^k) (A_{l_i}(h_{i3}^k) \hat{\otimes} S(h_{i2}^k) dh_{i4}^k) \\ &= d\gamma \hat{\otimes} h + (-1)^{n+1} \gamma A_{l_i}(h_1) \hat{\otimes} h_2. \end{aligned}$$

Note the identity

$$D_{l_i}(\gamma \hat{\otimes} h) = d\gamma \hat{\otimes} h + (-1)^{n+1} \gamma A_{l_i}(h_1) \hat{\otimes} h_2 = d(\gamma \hat{\otimes} h) + (-1)^n \sum (\gamma \hat{\otimes} h_1) \chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}}(\omega_l(h_2)). \quad (97)$$

Assume now there is given a left covariant derivative D_l . In terms of the corresponding linear maps A_{l_i} one obtains a family of left pre-connection forms $\omega_{l_i} : H \rightarrow (\Gamma(B_i) \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(H))^1$ by

$$\omega_{l_i}(h) = - \sum 1 \hat{\otimes} S(h_1) dh_2 - \sum A_{l_i}(h_2) \hat{\otimes} S(h_1) h_3.$$

Using (55) one obtains

$$(\pi_j^i \otimes id)_{\Gamma}(\omega_{l_i}(h)) = \phi_{ij_{\Gamma}} \circ (\pi_i^j \otimes id)_{\Gamma}(\omega_{l_j}(h)),$$

thus one has by

$$\omega_l(h) = (\omega_{l_i}(h))_{i \in I}$$

a left pre-connection form $\omega_l : H \rightarrow \Gamma_c^1(\mathcal{P})$.

One easily verifies for $\gamma \hat{\otimes} h \in \Gamma^n(B_i) \hat{\otimes} H$

$$D_{l_i}(\gamma \hat{\otimes} h) = d\gamma \hat{\otimes} h + (-1)^{n+1} \gamma A_{l_i}(h_1) \hat{\otimes} h_2 = d(\gamma \hat{\otimes} h) + (-1)^n \sum (\gamma \hat{\otimes} h_1) \omega_{l_i}(h_2). \quad (98)$$

Using this formula it follows that

$$D_l(\gamma) = d\gamma + (-1)^n \sum \gamma_0 \omega_l(\gamma_1) \quad (99)$$

for $\gamma \in \text{hor}\Gamma_c^n(\mathcal{P})$. It is immediate from the formulas (97) and (98) and Proposition 10 that the correspondence is bijective.

For right covariant derivatives the proof is analogous. \square

Remark: Note that the foregoing proof also shows the bijectiv correspondence between left (right) pre-connection forms and families of linear maps $A_{l,r_i} : H \rightarrow \Gamma(B_i)$ fulfilling (54) and (55).

Definition 8 A left (right) pre-connection form $\omega_{l,r}$ is called left (right) connection form, if

$$R \subset \ker((id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \text{hor}_c \circ \chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}} \circ \omega_l), \quad \forall i \in I, \quad (100)$$

$$S^{-1}(R) \subset \ker((id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \text{hor}_c \circ \chi_{i_{\Gamma_c}} \circ \omega_r), \quad \forall i \in I \quad (101)$$

is satisfied.

Proposition 15 Left (right) connections are in bijective correspondence to left (right) connection forms.

Proof: The claim follows immediately from Proposition 12, Proposition 14 and (94). \square

Remark: Note that classical connection forms are related to the connection forms considered above as follows: Let a classical principal bundle be given, let X be a vector field on the total space Q , and let $h \in C^\infty(G)$ where G is the structure group. A classical connection form is a Lie algebra valued 1-form $\tilde{\omega}$ of type Ad on Q . Then the formula

$$\omega_l(h)(X) = -\tilde{\omega}(X)(h)$$

defines a left connection form ω_l in the above sense. Condition (100) with $R = (\ker \varepsilon)^2$ means that $\tilde{\omega}$ can be interpreted as a Lie algebra valued form. In this case (90) and (92) replace the usual conditions (type Ad, condition for fundamental vectors) for connection forms.

Definition 9 The left (right) curvature of a given left (right) covariant derivative is the linear map $D_{l,r}^2 : \text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$.

Definition 10 Let $\omega_{l,r}$ be a left(right) pre-connection form of a left (right) covariant derivative $D_{l,r}$. The linear maps $\Omega_{l,r} : H \rightarrow \Gamma_c^2(\mathcal{P})$ defined by

$$\Omega_l(h) := d\omega_l(h) - \sum \omega_l(h_1)\omega_l(h_2), \quad (102)$$

$$\Omega_r(h) := d\omega_r(h) + \sum \omega_r(h_2)\omega_r(h_1) \quad (103)$$

are called the left (right) curvature form of a given left (right) covariant derivative.

Remark: In other words we take an analogue of the structure equation as definition of the curvature form.

Proposition 16 The left (right) curvature of a given left (right) covariant derivative is related to the left (right) curvature form by the identity

$$D_l^2(\gamma) = \sum \gamma_0 \Omega_l(\gamma_1), \quad \gamma \in \text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}), \quad (104)$$

$$D_r^2(\gamma) = \sum \Omega_r(\gamma_1)\gamma_0, \quad \gamma \in \text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}). \quad (105)$$

Proof: Because of the one-to-one correspondence between covariant derivatives on \mathcal{P} and certain families of covariant derivatives on the trivilizations $B_i \otimes H$ it is sufficient to prove this assertion on a trivial bundle $B \otimes H$. In this case the linear map ω_l belonging to a left covariant derivative has the form

$$\omega_l(h) = -(1 \otimes \sum S(h_1)dh_2) - \sum (A_l(h_2) \hat{\otimes} S(h_1)h_3).$$

Therefore, one obtains for Ω_l

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_l(h) - \sum \omega_l(h_1)\omega_l(h_2) &= -1 \hat{\otimes} \sum dS(h_1)dh_2 - \sum dA_l(h_2) \hat{\otimes} S(h_1)h_3 \\ &\quad + \sum A_l(h_2) \hat{\otimes} (dS(h_1))h_3 + \sum A_l(h_2) \hat{\otimes} S(h_1)dh_3 \\ &\quad - 1 \hat{\otimes} \sum S(h_1)(dh_2)S(h_3)dh_4 - \sum A_l(h_2) \hat{\otimes} S(h_1)h_3S(h_4)dh_5 \\ &\quad + \sum A_l(h_4) \hat{\otimes} S(h_1)(dh_2)S(h_3)h_5 - \sum A_l(h_2)A_l(h_5) \hat{\otimes} S(h_1)h_3S(h_4)h_6 \\ &= - \sum dA_l(h_2) \hat{\otimes} S(h_1)h_3 - \sum A_l(h_2)A_l(h_3) \hat{\otimes} S(h_1)h_4, \end{aligned}$$

which leads for $\gamma \in \Gamma^n(B)$ to

$$\sum (\gamma \hat{\otimes} h_1)\Omega_l(h_2) = - \sum \gamma dA_l(h_1) \hat{\otimes} h_2 - \sum \gamma A_l(h_1)A_l(h_2) \hat{\otimes} h_3.$$

On the other hand the left hand side of (104) is

$$\begin{aligned} (D_l)^2(\gamma \otimes h) &= D_l(d\gamma \hat{\otimes} h - \sum (-1)^n \gamma A_l(h_1) \hat{\otimes} h_2) \\ &= -(-1)^{n+1} \sum (d\gamma)A_l(h_1) \hat{\otimes} h_2 - (-1)^n \sum (d\gamma)A_l(h_1) \hat{\otimes} h_2 \\ &\quad - \sum \gamma dA_l(h_1) \hat{\otimes} h_2 - \sum \gamma A_l(h_1)A_l(h_2) \hat{\otimes} h_3 \\ &= -(\sum \gamma dA_l(h_1) \hat{\otimes} h_2 + \sum \gamma A_l(h_1)A_l(h_2) \hat{\otimes} h_3) \\ &= \sum (\gamma \hat{\otimes} h_1)\Omega_l(h_2). \end{aligned}$$

For right covariant derivatives the proof is analogous. \square

Remark: The proof shows that there is a linear map $F_{l,r} : H \longrightarrow \Gamma^2(B)$ defined by

$$F_l(h) := dA_l(h) + \sum A_l(h_1)A_l(h_2), \quad (106)$$

$$F_r(h) := dA_r(h) - \sum A_r(h_2)A_r(h_1) \quad (107)$$

such that the left (right) curvature form of a given left (right) covariant derivative on a trivial QPFB has the form

$$\Omega_l(h) = - \sum F_l(h_2) \hat{\otimes} S(h_1)h_3, \quad (108)$$

$$\Omega_r(h) = - \sum F_r(h_2) \hat{\otimes} h_3 S^{-1}(h_1). \quad (109)$$

Using formula (55) and the Leibniz rule (taking into account $\sum \tau_{ij}(h_1)\tau_{ij}(h_2) = \varepsilon(h)1$) it is easy to verify that the family of linear maps $F_{l,r_i} : H \longrightarrow \Gamma^2(B_i)$ corresponding to a left (right) curvature form on a locally trivial QPFB satisfies

$$\pi_{j\Gamma_m}^i(F_{l,r_i}(h)) = \sum \tau_{ij}(h_1)\pi_{i\Gamma_m}^j(F_{l,r_j}(h_2))\tau_{ji}(h_3). \quad (110)$$

In general, an analogue of the Bianchi identity does not exist.

Now we make some remarks about the general form of the linear maps $A_{l_i} : H \longrightarrow \Gamma^1(B_i)$

corresponding to connections on a locally trivial QPFB. For this we use the functionals \mathcal{X}_i corresponding to the right ideal R , which determines the right covariant differential calculus $\Gamma(H)$ (see [26], [28] and the appendix). Let the $h^i + R \in \ker \varepsilon / R$ be a linear basis in $\ker \varepsilon / R$. Then every element $h - \varepsilon(h)1 + R \in \ker \varepsilon / R$ has the form $\mathcal{X}_i(h)h^i + R$. Since $1 \in \ker A_{l_i}$ and $R \subset \ker A_{l_i}$ it follows that A_{l_i} is determined by its values on the h^k ,

$$A_{l_i}(h) = \sum_k \mathcal{X}_k(h)A_{l_i}(h^k).$$

In other words, to get a connection on the trivial pieces $B_i \otimes H$, one chooses $A_i^k \in \Gamma^1(B_i)$ and defines the linear map A_{l_i} by

$$A_{l_i}(h) = \sum_k \mathcal{X}_k(h)A_i^k.$$

The connections so defined on the trivial pieces $B_i \otimes H$ do in general not give a connection on the locally trivial QPFB \mathcal{P} , because they do in general not fulfill the condition (55). If the right ideal R fulfills (36), one can rewrite the condition (55) as a condition for the one forms $A_i^k \in \Gamma^1(B_i)$. Recall that in this case $\sum \tau_{ij}(r_1)d\tau_{ji}(r_2) = 0$, $\forall r \in R$ (cf. (38)), thus

$$\sum \tau_{ij}(h_1)d\tau_{ij}(h_2) = \sum_k \sum \mathcal{X}_k(h)\tau_{ij}(h_1^k)d\tau_{ji}(h_2^k).$$

Furthermore, the condition (36) leads to the identity

$$\sum \tau_{ij}(h_1)\mathcal{X}_l(h_2)\tau_{ji}(h_3) = \sum \tau_{ji}(S(h_1)h_3)\mathcal{X}_l(h_2) = \sum_k \mathcal{X}_k(h) \sum \tau_{ji}(S(h_1^k)h_3^k)\mathcal{X}_l(h_2^k).$$

Putting now $A_{l_i}(h) = \sum_k \mathcal{X}_k(h)A_i^k$ in (55) leads to the following condition for the forms A_i^k :

$$\pi_{j\Gamma_m}^i(A_i^k) = \sum_l \tau_{ji}(S(h_1^k)h_3^k)\mathcal{X}_l(h_2^k)\pi_{i\Gamma_m}^j(A_i^l) + \tau_{ij}(h_1^k)d\tau_{ji}(h_2^k).$$

Note that, in the case $I = (1, 2)$, it follows from the last formula that there exist connections. One can choose, e.g., one forms A_2^l on the right, and solve the remaining equation for A_1^k due to the surjectivity of $\pi_{2\Gamma_g}^1$. One can regard the set of all left (right) covariant derivatives $\mathcal{D}_{l,r}$ as a set with affine structure, where the corresponding vector space is characterized by

Proposition 17 *A linear map $C_{l,r} : \text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})$ is a difference of two left (right) covariant derivatives if and only if:*

$$C_{l,r}(1) = 0, \tag{111}$$

$$C_{l,r}(\text{hor}\Gamma_c^n(\mathcal{P})) \subset \text{hor}\Gamma_c^{n+1}(\mathcal{P}), \tag{112}$$

$$C_l(\gamma\alpha) = (-1)^n \gamma C_l(\alpha); \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_c^n(B); \quad \alpha \in \text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P}), \tag{113}$$

$$C_r(\alpha\gamma) = (-1)^n C_r(\alpha)\gamma; \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_c(B); \quad \alpha \in \text{hor}\Gamma_c^n(\mathcal{P}), \tag{114}$$

$$(C_{l,r} \otimes id) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_c}} = \Delta_{\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_c}} \circ C_{l,r}, \tag{115}$$

$$C_{l,r}(\ker \chi_{i\Gamma_c} |_{\text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})}) \subset \ker \chi_{i\Gamma_c} |_{\text{hor}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{P})}; \quad \forall i \in I. \tag{116}$$

This is immediate from Definition 5.

Because of (116) such a map $C_{l,r}$ defines a family of local maps C_{l,r_i} by

$$C_{l,r_i} \circ \chi_{i\Gamma_c} = \chi_{i\Gamma_c} \circ C_{l,r}.$$

It is immediately that the set of left (right) connections is an affine subspace of $\mathcal{D}_{l,r}$. The elements of the corresponding vector space have the following additional property:

$$(id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ C_{l_i}(1 \otimes r) = 0, \quad \forall i \in I, \quad \forall r \in R,$$

$$(id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ C_{r_i}(1 \otimes r) = 0, \quad \forall i \in I, \quad \forall r \in S^{-1}(R).$$

5 Example

Here we present an example of a $U(1)$ -bundle over the quantum space $S_{pq\phi}^2$. The quantum space $S_{pq\phi}^2$ is treated in detail in [5] and we restrict ourselves here to a brief summary.

The algebra $P(S_{pq\phi}^2)$ of all polynomials over the quantum space $S_{pq\phi}^2$ is constructed by gluing together two copies of a quantum disc along its classical subspace.

Definition 11 *The algebra $P(D_p)$ of all polynomials over the quantum disc D_p is defined as the algebra generated by the elements x and x^* fulfilling the relation*

$$x^*x - pxx^* = (1-p)1, \quad (117)$$

where $0 < p < 1$.

Let $P(S^1)$ be the algebra generated by the elements α, α^* fulfilling the relation

$$\alpha\alpha^* = \alpha^*\alpha = 1.$$

$P(S^1)$ can be considered as the algebra of all trigonometrical polynomials over the circle S^1 .

There exists a surjective homomorphism $\phi_p : P(D_p) \rightarrow P(S^1)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_p(x) &= \alpha, \\ \phi_p(x^*) &= \alpha^*, \end{aligned}$$

and one can consider this homomorphism as the “pull back” of the embedding of the circle into the quantum disc.

The algebra $P(S_{pq\phi}^2)$ of all polynomials over the quantum space $S_{pq\phi}^2$ is defined as

$$P(S_{pq\phi}^2) := \{(f, g) \in P(D_p) \oplus P(D_q) \mid \phi_p(f) = \phi_q(g)\}. \quad (118)$$

It was shown in [5] that one can also regard this algebra as the algebra generated by the elements f_1, f_{-1} and f_0 fulfilling the relations

$$f_{-1}f_1 - qf_1f_{-1} = (p-q)f_0 + (1-p)1, \quad (119)$$

$$f_0f_1 - pf_1f_0 = (1-p)f_1, \quad (120)$$

$$f_{-1}f_0 - pf_0f_{-1} = (1-p)f_{-1}, \quad (121)$$

$$(1-f_0)(f_1f_{-1} - f_0) = 0, \quad (122)$$

where the isomorphism is given by $f_1 \rightarrow (x, y)$, $f_{-1} \rightarrow (x^*, y^*)$ and $f_0 \rightarrow (xx^*, 1)$. (Here, the generators of $P(D_q)$ are denoted by y and y^* .) It is proved in [5] that the C^* -closure $C(S_{pq\phi}^2)$ of $P(S_{pq\phi}^2)$ is isomorphic to the C^* -algebra $C(S_{\mu c}^2)$ over the Podleś sphere $S_{\mu c}^2$ for $c > 0$.

Now, let us construct a class of QPFB's with structure group $U(1)$ and base space $S_{pq\phi}^2$. The algebra of polynomials $P(U(1))$ over $U(1)$ is the same algebra as $P(S^1)$. With $\Delta(a) = a \otimes a$, $\varepsilon(a) = 1$ and $S(a) = a^*$, $P(U(1))$ is a Hopf algebra. According to Proposition 3 we need just one transition function $\tau_{12} : P(U(1)) \rightarrow P(S^1)$ to obtain a locally trivial QPFB. We define a class of transition functions $\tau_{12}^{(n)}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{12}^{(n)}(\alpha) &:= \alpha^n, \\ \tau_{12}^{(n)}(\alpha^*) &:= \alpha^{*n}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{21}^{(n)}(\alpha) &:= \alpha^{*n}, \\ \tau_{21}^{(n)}(\alpha^*) &:= \alpha^n.\end{aligned}$$

We obtain a class of locally trivial QPFB's $(\mathcal{P}^{(n)}, \Delta_{\mathcal{P}^{(n)}}, P(U(1)), P(S_{pq\phi}^2), \iota, ((\chi_p, \ker \pi_p), (\chi_q, \ker \pi_q)))$ corresponding to these transition functions (see formulas (9) and (10)), where ι is the canonical embedding $P(S_{pq\phi}^2) \subset \mathcal{P}^{(n)}$ and $\pi_{p,q} : P(S_{pq\phi}^2) \rightarrow P(D_{p,q})$ and $\chi_{p,q} : \mathcal{P}^{(n)} \rightarrow P(D_{p,q}) \otimes P(U(1))$ are the restrictions of the canonical projections on $P(S_{pq\phi}^2)$ and $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}$ respectively. In the following, we restrict ourselves to the case $n = 1$.

Proposition 18 *Let $J \subset P(D_p) \otimes P(D_q)$ be the ideal generated by the element*

$$(xx^* - 1) \otimes (yy^* - 1).$$

Then $\mathcal{P}^{(1)}$ is algebra isomorphic to $(P(D_p) \otimes P(D_q))/J$.

Proof: $(P(D_p) \otimes P(D_q))/J$ by

$$\begin{aligned}a &= 1 \otimes y + J, \\ a^* &= 1 \otimes y^* + J, \\ b &= x \otimes 1 + J, \\ b^* &= x^* \otimes 1 + J.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $(P(D_p) \otimes P(D_q))/J$ can be considered as the algebra $\mathbb{C} \langle a, a^*, b, b^* \rangle / \tilde{J}$, where the ideal \tilde{J} is generated by the relations

$$\begin{aligned}a^*a - qaa^* &= (1 - q)1, \\ b^*b - pbb^* &= (1 - p)1, \\ ba = ab, \quad ba^* = a^*b^*, \quad b^*a = ab^*, \quad b^*a^* &= a^*b^*, \\ (1 - aa^*)(1 - bb^*) &= 0.\end{aligned} \tag{123}$$

Further consider the following elements in $\mathcal{P}^{(1)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{a} &= (1 \otimes \alpha, y \otimes \alpha), \\ \tilde{a}^* &= (1 \otimes \alpha^*, y^* \otimes \alpha^*), \\ \tilde{b} &= (x \otimes \alpha^*, 1 \otimes \alpha^*), \\ \tilde{b}^* &= (x^* \otimes \alpha, 1 \otimes \alpha).\end{aligned}$$

A short calculation shows that these elements fulfill the same relations (123) as the a, a^*, b and b^* . Thus, there exists a homomorphism $F : (P(D_p) \otimes P(D_q))/I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{(1)}$ defined by

$$F(a) := \tilde{a}, \quad F(b) := \tilde{b}, \quad F(a^*) := \tilde{a}^*, \quad F(b^*) := \tilde{b}^*.$$

We will show that F is an isomorphism. For surjectivity it is sufficient to show that the elements $\tilde{a}, \tilde{a}^*, \tilde{b}$ and \tilde{b}^* generate the algebra $\mathcal{P}^{(1)}$. It is shown in [5] Lemma 2 that the elements $x^k x^{*l}$, $k, l \geq 0$ form a vector space basis of $P(D_p)$. Analogous the elements α^i , $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($\alpha^{-1} = \alpha^*$), form a vector space basis in $P(U(1))$. Thus a general element $f \in P(D_p) \otimes P(U(1)) \oplus P(D_q) \otimes P(U(1))$ has the form

$$f = \left(\sum_{k,l \geq 0, i} c_{k,l,i}^p x^k x^{*l} \otimes \alpha^i, \sum_{m,n \geq 0, j \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{m,n,j}^q y^m y^{*n} \otimes \alpha^j \right).$$

$f \in \mathcal{P}^{(1)}$ means that there is the restriction

$$\sum_{k,l \geq 0, i \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k,l,i}^p \alpha^{k-l} \otimes \alpha^i = \sum_{m,n \geq 0, j} c_{m,n,j}^q \alpha^{m-n-j} \otimes \alpha^j,$$

which leads to the following condition for the coefficients $c_{k,l,i}^p$ and $c_{m,n,j}^q$.

$$\sum_{l \geq 0, s+l \geq 0} c_{s+l,l,t}^p = \sum_{n \geq 0, n+s+t \geq 0} c_{s+t+n,n,t}^q, \quad \forall s, t \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (124)$$

$f \in \mathcal{P}^{(1)}$ has the form $f = \sum_{s,t} f_{s,t}$, where

$$f_{s,t} = \left(\sum_{l \geq 0, l+s \geq 0} c_{s+l,l,t}^p x^{l+s} x^{*l} \otimes \alpha^t, \sum_{n \geq 0, n+s+t \geq 0} c_{s+t+n,n,t}^q y^{n+s+t} y^{*n} \otimes \alpha^t \right) \in \mathcal{P}^{(1)}$$

due to (124). Because of (124) one can write $f_{s,t}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} f_{s,t} &= \sum_{l \geq 0, l+s \geq 0} c_{s+l,l,t}^p (x^{l+s} x^{*l} \otimes \alpha^t, y^{m+s+t} y^{*m} \otimes \alpha^t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n \geq 0, n+s+t \geq 0} c_{s+t+n,n,t}^q (x^{k+s} x^{*k} \otimes \alpha^t, y^{n+l+t} y^{*n} \otimes \alpha^t) \\ &\quad - \sum_{l \geq 0, l+s \geq 0} c_{s+l,l,t}^p (x^{k+s} x^{*k} \otimes \alpha^t, y^{m+s+t} y^{*m} \otimes \alpha^t). \end{aligned}$$

The identity

$$(x^s x^l x^{*l} \otimes \alpha^t, y^{s+t} y^n y^{*n} \otimes \alpha^t) = \tilde{a}^{s+t+n} \tilde{a}^{*n} \tilde{b}^{s+l} \tilde{b}^{*l},$$

which is a direct consequence of the definition of \tilde{a} , \tilde{a}^* , \tilde{b} and \tilde{b}^* , shows that F is surjective.

To show the injectivity of F we define the homomorphisms $F_{p,q} : (P(D_p) \otimes P(D_q))/I \rightarrow P(D_{p,q}) \otimes P(U(1))$ by $F_{p,q} := \chi_{p,q} \circ F$. Because of $\ker \chi_p \cap \ker \chi_q = \{0\}$, $\ker F = \{0\}$ if and only if $\ker F_p \cap \ker F_q = \{0\}$. First let us describe the ideals $\ker F_{p,q}$. Let I_p and I_q be the ideals generated by $1 - aa^*$ and $1 - bb^*$ respectively. From (123) it is immediate that the algebras $((P(D_p) \otimes P(D_q))/I)/I_{p,q}$ are isomorphic to $P(D_{p,q}) \otimes P(U(1))$, where the isomorphism $((P(D_p) \otimes P(D_q))/I)/I_p \rightarrow P(D_p) \otimes P(U(1))$ is defined by $a \rightarrow 1 \otimes \alpha$, $b \rightarrow x \otimes 1$, and the isomorphism $((P(D_p) \otimes P(D_q))/I)/I_q \rightarrow P(D_q) \otimes P(U(1))$ is defined by $a \rightarrow y \otimes 1$, $b \rightarrow 1 \otimes \alpha$. Moreover, there are automorphisms $\tilde{F}_{p,q} : P(D_{p,q}) \otimes P(U(1)) \rightarrow P(D_{p,q}) \otimes P(U(1))$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_p(1 \otimes \alpha) &:= 1 \otimes \alpha, & \tilde{F}_q(1 \otimes \alpha) &:= 1 \otimes \alpha, \\ \tilde{F}_p(1 \otimes \alpha^*) &:= 1 \otimes \alpha^*, & \tilde{F}_q(1 \otimes \alpha^*) &:= 1 \otimes \alpha^*, \\ \tilde{F}_p(x \otimes 1) &:= x \otimes \alpha^*, & \tilde{F}_q(y \otimes 1) &:= y \otimes \alpha, \\ \tilde{F}_p(x^* \otimes 1) &:= x^* \otimes \alpha, & \tilde{F}_q(y^* \otimes 1) &:= y^* \otimes \alpha^*. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\eta_{p,q}$ be the quotient maps with respect to the ideals $I_{p,q}$. A short calculation shows that

$$F_{p,q} = \tilde{F}_{p,q} \circ \eta_{p,q},$$

thus we have found $\ker F_{p,q} = I_{p,q}$. It remains to show $I_p \cap I_q = \{0\}$. There are the following identities in $P(D_q) \otimes P(D_p)/I$:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - aa^*)a &= qa(1 - aa^*), & (1 - aa^*)a^* &= q^{-1}a^*(1 - aa^*), \\ (1 - bb^*)b &= pb(1 - bb^*), & (1 - bb^*)b^* &= p^{-1}b^*(1 - bb^*). \end{aligned}$$

From these relations and the definition of $I_p = \ker F_p$ follows that for $f \in \ker F_p$ there exists an element \tilde{f} such that $f = (1 - aa^*)\tilde{f}$. $\ker F_q$ has an analogous property with $1 - bb^*$, instead of $1 - aa^*$. Using that $1 - xx^*$ is not a zero divisor in $P(D_p)$, see [5] Lemma 3, it is now easy to see that $f \in \ker F_p \cap \ker F_q$ is of the form $f = (1 - aa^*)(1 - bb^*)\tilde{f}$. Thus $f = 0$, i.e. $\ker F_p \cap \ker F_q = 0$. \square .

The proof has shown that $\mathcal{P}^{(1)} \simeq \mathbb{C} \langle a, a^*, b, b^* \rangle / J$, where J is the ideal generated by the relations (123). Under this identification, the mappings belonging to the bundle can be given explicitly.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathcal{P}^{(1)}}(a) &= a \otimes \alpha, & \Delta_{\mathcal{P}^{(1)}}(a^*) &= a^* \otimes \alpha^*, \\ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}^{(1)}}(b) &= b \otimes \alpha^*, & \Delta_{\mathcal{P}^{(1)}}(b^*) &= b^* \otimes \alpha, \\ \chi_p(a) &= 1 \otimes \alpha, & \chi_q(a) &= y \otimes \alpha, \\ \chi_p(a^*) &= 1 \otimes \alpha^*, & \chi_q(a^*) &= y^* \otimes \alpha^*, \\ \chi_p(b) &= x \otimes \alpha^*, & \chi_q(b) &= 1 \otimes \alpha^*, \\ \chi_p(b^*) &= x^* \otimes \alpha, & \chi_q(b^*) &= 1 \otimes \alpha, \\ \iota(f_1) &= ba, & \iota(f_{-1}) &= a^*b^*, & \iota(f_0) &= bb^*. \end{aligned}$$

In the classical limit $p, q \rightarrow 1$ the algebra becomes commutative and only the relation $(1 - aa^*)(1 - bb^*) = 0$ remains. It is easy to see that this relation, together with the natural requirement $|a| \leq 1$, $|b| \leq 1$, describes a subspace of \mathbb{R}^4 homeomorphic to S^3 . The right $U(1)$ -action is a simultaneous rotation in a and b , and the orbit through $b = 0$ is the fibre over the top $(0, 0, 0)$ of the base space (see the discussion in [5]).

To build a connection on this locally trivial QPFB, first we have to construct an adapted covariant differential structure on $\mathcal{P}^{(1)}$. By Definition 3, the adapted covariant differential structure is defined by giving differential calculi $\Gamma(P(D_p))$ and $\Gamma(P(D_q))$ and a right covariant differential calculus $\Gamma(P(U(1)))$ on the Hopf algebra $P(U(1))$.

As the differential calculi $\Gamma(P(D_{p,q}))$ on the quantum discs $D_{p,q}$ we choose the calculi already used in [5] and described in detail in [25]. The differential ideal $J(P(D_p)) \subset \Omega(P(D_p))$ determining $\Gamma(P(D_p))$ is generated by the elements

$$\begin{aligned} x(dx) &- p^{-1}(dx)x, & x^*(dx^*) &- p(dx^*)x^*, \\ x(dx^*) &- p^{-1}(dx^*)x, & x^*(dx) &- p(dx)x^*. \end{aligned}$$

Exchanging x with y and p with q one obtains the differential ideal $J(P(D_q)) \subset \Omega(P(D_q))$ determining $\Gamma(P(D_q))$. The corresponding calculus $\Gamma(P(S_{pq}^2))$ on the basis was explicitly described in [5].

Furthermore we use the right covariant differential calculus $\Gamma(P(U(1)))$ determined by the right ideal R generated by the element

$$\alpha + \nu\alpha^* - (1 + \nu)1,$$

where $0 < \nu \leq 1$. One easily verifies that R fulfills (36). Thus the differential ideal $J(P(S^1))$ is generated by the sets (37), (38) and (39). Using these generators in the present case one obtains the following relations in $\Gamma_m(P(S^1))$:

$$\begin{aligned} (d\alpha^*)\alpha &= \alpha(d\alpha^*), \\ (d\alpha^*)\alpha &= \nu\alpha(d\alpha^*), \\ (d\alpha^*)\alpha &= p\alpha(d\alpha^*), \\ (d\alpha^*)\alpha &= q\alpha(d\alpha^*). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $d\alpha^* = d\alpha = 0$ for $\nu, p, q \neq 1$, and the LC differential algebra $\Gamma_m(P(S_{pq\phi}^2))$ has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_m^0(P(S_{pq\phi}^2)) &= P(S_{pq\phi}^2), \\ \Gamma_m^n(P(S_{pq\phi}^2)) &= \Gamma^n(P(D_p)) \bigoplus \Gamma^n(P(D_q)); \quad n > 0.\end{aligned}$$

$\Gamma(P(S_{pq\phi}^2))$ coincides with $\Gamma_m(P(S_{pq\phi}^2))$ for $p \neq q$, and is embedded as a subspace defined by the gluing for $p = q$ (cf. [5]).

Now we want to construct a connection on the bundle $\mathcal{P}^{(1)}$ which can be regarded as the connection corresponding to the quantum magnetic monopole with strength $g = -\frac{1}{2}$.

The functionals \mathcal{X} and f on $P(U(1))$ corresponding to the basis element $(\alpha - 1) + R \in \ker \varepsilon / R$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{X}(\alpha) &= 1; \quad \mathcal{X}(\alpha^*) = -\nu^{-1}, \\ f(\alpha) &= \nu; \quad f(\alpha^*) = \nu^{-1}, \\ f(hk) &= f(h)f(k); \quad h, k \in \mathcal{A}(U(1)), \\ \mathcal{X}(hk) &= \mathcal{X}(h)f(k) + \varepsilon(h)\mathcal{X}(k).\end{aligned}$$

\mathcal{X} is a linear basis in the space of functionals annihilating 1 and the right ideal R (see also the appendix and [28]), i.e. \mathcal{X} is a basis of the ν -deformed Lie algebra corresponding to the differential calculus on $U(1)$. We define the linear maps $A_{l_1} : P(U(1)) \rightarrow \Gamma(P(D_p))$ and $A_{l_2} : P(U(1)) \rightarrow \Gamma(P(D_q))$ corresponding to a left connection on $\mathcal{P}^{(1)}$ by

$$A_{l_1}(h) = \mathcal{X}(h) \frac{1}{4} (x dx^* - x^* dx) \quad h \in P(U(1)), \quad (125)$$

$$A_{l_2}(h) = \mathcal{X}(h) \frac{1}{4} (y^* dy - y dy^*) \quad h \in P(U(1)). \quad (126)$$

Because of $\mathcal{X}(R) = 0$ and $\mathcal{X}(1) = 0$, A_{l_1} and A_{l_2} fulfill the conditions (54) and (79). Since there is no gluing $\Gamma_m^1(B)$ the condition (55) is also fulfilled.

Moreover any choice of one forms to the right of \mathcal{X} gives a connection.

A short calculation shows (see formula (106)) that the linear maps $F_1 : P(U(1)) \rightarrow \Gamma^2(P(D_p))$ and $F_2 : P(U(1)) \rightarrow \Gamma^2(P(D_q))$ corresponding to the curvature have the following form:

$$F_1(h) = \mathcal{X}(h) \frac{1}{4} (1+p) dx dx^* + \sum \mathcal{X}(h_1) \mathcal{X}(h_2) \frac{1}{16} (xx^* - px^*x) dx dx^*,$$

$$F_2(h) = -\mathcal{X}(h) \frac{1}{4} (1+q) dy dy^* + \sum \mathcal{X}(h_1) \mathcal{X}(h_2) \frac{1}{16} (yy^* - qy^*y) dy dy^*$$

In the classical case, the local connection forms A_{l_1} and A_{l_2} can be transformed, using suitable local coordinates, from the classical unit discs to the upper and lower hemispheres of the classical S^2 . The resulting local connection forms on S^2 just coincide with the well-known magnetic potentials of the Dirac monopole of charge $-1/2$.

To explain this we will briefly describe the classical Dirac monopole (see [20]).

The classical Dirac monopole is defined on $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{0\}$, which is of the same homotopy type as S^2 . The corresponding gauge theory is a $U(1)$ theory, and the Dirac monopole is described as a connection on a $U(1)$ principal fibre bundle over S^2 .

Let $\{U_N, U_S\}$ be a covering of S^2 , where U_N respectively U_S is the closed northern respectively southern hemisphere, $U_N \cap U_S = S^1$. One can write U_N and U_S in polar coordinates (up to the poles):

$$\begin{aligned} U_N &= \{(\theta, \phi), 0 < \theta \leq \pi/2, 0 \leq \phi < 2\pi\} \cup \{N\}, \\ U_S &= \{(\theta, \phi), \pi/2 \leq \theta < \pi, 0 \leq \phi < 2\pi\} \cup \{S\}. \end{aligned}$$

By

$$g_{12}^{(n)}(\phi) = \exp(in\phi), \quad 0 \leq \phi < 2\pi, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

a family of transition functions $g_{12}^{(n)} : S^1 \rightarrow U(1)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is given. A standard procedure defines a corresponding family of $U(1)$ principal fibre bundles $Q^{(n)}$.

Let $\xi_i : S^1 \rightarrow U_i$, $i = N, S$ be the embedding defined by $\xi_i(\phi) = (\pi/2, \phi)$. A connection on $Q^{(n)}$ is defined by two Lie algebra valued one forms \tilde{A}_N and \tilde{A}_S fulfilling

$$\xi_N^*(\tilde{A}_N) = \xi_S^*(\tilde{A}_S) + i n d\phi.$$

The Wu-Yang forms defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_N^{(n)} &= i \frac{n}{2} (1 - \cos \theta) d\phi, \\ \tilde{A}_S^{(n)} &= -i \frac{n}{2} (1 + \cos \theta) d\phi \end{aligned}$$

fulfill these condition. $\tilde{A}_N^{(n)}$ and $\tilde{A}_S^{(n)}$ are vector potentials generating the magnetic field $B = \frac{n}{2} \frac{\vec{r}}{|\vec{r}|^3}$. The strength of the Dirac monopole is $n/2$.

The classical analogue $\tilde{P}^{(n)}$ to the above constructed locally trivial QPFB $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}$ is $U(1)$ principal fibre bundles over a space constructed by gluing together two discs over their boundaries. A disc D can be regarded as a subspace of \mathbb{C} :

$$D := \{x \in \mathbb{C}, xx^* \leq 1\}.$$

The space resulting from the gluing together two copies of D over $S^1 = \{x \in D, xx^* = 1\}$ is topologically isomorphic to the sphere S^2 . Every $x \in S^1$ has the form $x = \exp(i\phi)$, $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$. The classical $U(1)$ bundles $\tilde{P}^{(n)}$ are given by transition functions $\tilde{g}_{12}^{(n)} : S^1 \rightarrow U(1)$, which are obtained by $\tau_{12}^{(n)} = (\tilde{g}_{21}^{(n)})^*$ (* means here the pull-back) from the above transition functions of QPFB. The exchange of the indices comes from formula (9). One has $\tilde{g}_{12}^{(n)}(\exp(i\phi)) = \exp(-in\phi)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously, the $\tilde{P}^{(n)}$ are topologically isomorphic to $Q^{(-n)}$.

The classical analogue to the above defined connection on $\mathcal{P}^{(1)}$ is given by the following one forms on D (see (125) and (126)):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_1 &= \frac{1}{4}(x dx^* - x^* dx), \\ \tilde{A}_2 &= \frac{1}{4}(x^* dx - x dx^*). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\xi : S^1 \rightarrow D$ the embedding. A short calculation shows that \tilde{A}_1 and \tilde{A}_2 fulfill

$$\xi^*(\tilde{A}_1) = \xi^*(\tilde{A}_2) - i d\phi.$$

Now one defines the following maps $\eta_N : U_N \setminus \{N\} \rightarrow D$ and $\eta_S : U_S \setminus \{S\} \rightarrow D$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_N(\theta, \phi) &:= \sqrt{1 - \cos \theta} \exp(i\phi), \\ \eta_S(\theta, \phi) &:= \sqrt{1 + \cos \theta} \exp(i\phi), \end{aligned}$$

and one easily verifies

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_N^{-1} &= \eta_N^*(\tilde{A}_1), \\ \tilde{A}_S^{-1} &= \eta_S^*(\tilde{A}_2). \end{aligned}$$

6 Final remarks

We have developed the general scheme of a theory of connections on locally trivial QPFB, including a reconstruction theorem for bundles and a nice characterization of connections in terms of local connection forms. Here we make some remarks about questions and problems arising in our context, and about possible future developments.

1. It is very important to look for more examples. Our example of a $U(1)$ bundle over a glued quantum sphere is essentially the same as the example of [3] of an $SU_q(2)$ bundle over an analogous glued quantum sphere. (Indeed, in [3] another quantum disc is used, which, however, is isomorphic to the disc used in our paper – both are isomorphic to the shift algebra. The bundles are equivalent in the sense of the main Theorem of [3], which says that a QPFB with structure group H is determined by a bundle with the classical subgroup of H as structure group.) For other examples, one has to look for algebras with a covering (or being a gluing) such that the B_{ij} are “big enough” to allow for nontrivial transition functions $\tau_{ij} : H \rightarrow B_{ij}$: B_{ij} must contain in its center subalgebras being the homomorphic image of the algebra H . This seems to be possible only if H has nontrivial classical subgroups and B_{ij} contains suitable classical subspaces, as in our example. The following (almost trivial) example of a gluing along two noncommutative parts indicates that one may fall back to a gluing along classical subspaces in many cases: Let $A_1 = C^*(\mathfrak{S}) \oplus_\sigma C^*(\mathfrak{S}) = A_2$ be two copies of a quantum sphere being glued together from shift algebras via the symbol map σ , as described in [5]. Then the gluing $A_1 \oplus_{pr_{1,2}} A_2 := \{((a_1, a_2), (a'_1, a'_2)) \in A_1 \oplus A_2 \mid a_2 = a'_1\}$ (gluing of two quantum spheres along hemispheres) is obviously isomorphic to $\{(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in C^*(\mathfrak{S}) \oplus C^*(\mathfrak{S}) \oplus C^*(\mathfrak{S}) \mid \sigma(a_1) = \sigma(a_2) = \sigma(a_3)\} = C^*(\mathfrak{S}) \oplus_\sigma C^*(\mathfrak{S}) \oplus_\sigma C^*(\mathfrak{S})$. This is a glued quantum sphere with a (quantum disc) membrane inside, glued along the classical subspaces. (This corresponds perfectly to the classical picture of gluing two spheres along hemispheres.)

2. The permanent need to work with covering completions is an unpleasant feature of the theory. It would therefore be very important to find some analogue of algebras of smooth functions in the noncommutative situation which have a suitable class of ideals forming a distributive lattice with respect to $+$ and \cap (cf. [5, Proposition 2]). It is not clear if such a class exists even in classical algebras of differentiable functions.

3. Principal bundles are in the classical case of utmost importance in topology and geometry. In the above approach, one could e. g. ask for characteristic classes (trying to generalize the Chern-Weil construction), and for a notion of parallel transport defined by a connection (a naive idea would be to call a horizontal form parallel, if its covariant derivative vanishes).

4. For locally trivial QPFB, a suitable notion of locally trivial associated quantum vector bundle (QVB) exists ([6]). Its definition (via cotensor products) is designed to have the usual correspondence between vector valued horizontal forms (of a certain “type”) and sections of the associated bundle. To a connection on a QPFB one can also associate connections on the corresponding QVB (assuming a certain differential structure there).

5. The notion of gauge transformation in our context is considered in [7]. Gauge transformations are defined as isomorphisms of the left (right) B -module \mathcal{P} , with natural compatibility conditions. It turns out that the set of covariant derivatives is invariant under gauge transformations, whereas connections are not always transformed into connections.

7 Appendix

The purpose of this appendix is to collect some results about covariant differential calculi on quantum groups ([4], [28], [17]) and about coverings and gluings of algebras and differential algebras [5].

7.1 Covariant calculi on Hopf algebras

We freely use standard facts about Hopf algebras, including the Sweedler notation (e. g. $\Delta(h) = h_1 \otimes h_2$). We assume that the antipode is invertible.

A differential algebra over an algebra B is a \mathbb{N} -graded algebra $\Gamma(B) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma^i(B)$, $\Gamma^0(B) = B$, equipped with a differential d , i. e. a graded derivative of degree 1 with $d^2 = 0$. It is called differential calculus if it is generated as an algebra by the db , $b \in B$. A differential ideal of a differential algebra is a d -invariant graded ideal. There is always the universal differential calculus $\Omega(B)$ determined by the property that every differential calculus $\Gamma(B)$ is of the form $\Gamma(B) \simeq \Omega(B)/J(B)$ for some differential ideal $J(B)$.

If two algebras A , B and differential algebras $\Gamma(A)$, $\Gamma(B)$ are given, an algebra homomorphism $\psi : A \rightarrow B$ is said to be differentiable with respect to $\Gamma(A)$, $\Gamma(B)$, if there exists a homomorphism $\psi_\Gamma : \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(B)$ of differential algebras extending ψ . For $\Gamma(A) = \Omega(A)$ this extension, denoted in this case by $\psi_{\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}$, always exists. If, in addition, $\Gamma(B) = \Omega(B)$, the notation ψ_Ω is used. $J(B) = \ker id_{\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma}$ is a differential ideal $J(B) \subset \Omega(B)$ such that $\Gamma(B) = \Omega(B)/J(B)$. $J(B)$ is called the differential ideal corresponding to $\Gamma(B)$.

Now we list some facts about covariant differential calculi.

Definition 12 *A differential calculus $\Gamma(H)$ over a Hopf algebra H is called right covariant, if $\Gamma(H)$ is a right H comodule algebra with right coaction Δ^Γ such that*

$$\Delta^\Gamma(h_0 dh_1 \dots dh_n) = \Delta(h_0)(d \otimes id) \circ \Delta(h_1) \dots (d \otimes id) \circ \Delta(h_n). \quad (127)$$

$\Gamma(H)$ is called left covariant, if $\Gamma(H)$ is a left H -comodule algebra with left coaction ${}^\Gamma\Delta$ such that

$${}^\Gamma\Delta(h_0 dh_1 \dots dh_n) = \Delta(h_0)(id \otimes d) \circ \Delta(h_1) \dots (id \otimes d) \circ \Delta(h_n). \quad (128)$$

$\Gamma(H)$ is called bicovariant if it is left and right covariant.

Because of the universality property the universal differential calculus over any Hopf algebra is bicovariant. In the sequel we list some properties of right covariant differential calculi. The construction of left covariant differential algebras is analogous.

Let Δ^Ω be the right coaction of the universal differential calculus $\Omega(H)$ and let $\Gamma(H)$ be a differential algebra over the Hopf algebra H . $\Gamma(H)$ is right covariant if and only if the corresponding differential ideal $J(H) \subset \Omega(H)$ has the property

$$\Delta^\Omega(J(H)) \subset J(H) \otimes H.$$

Let us consider a right-covariant differential calculus $\Gamma(H)$. Let $\Gamma_{inv}^1(H) := \{\gamma \in \Gamma(H) \mid \Delta^\Gamma(\gamma) = \gamma \otimes 1\}$. There exists a projection $P : \Gamma^1(H) \rightarrow \Gamma_{inv}^1(H)$ defined by

$$P\left(\sum h^0 dh^1\right) = S^{-1}(h_2^0 h_2^1) h_1^0 dh_1^1.$$

Now one can define a linear map $\eta_\Gamma : H \rightarrow \Gamma^1(H)$ by

$$\eta_\Gamma(h) := P(dh) = \sum S^{-1}(h_2) dh_1.$$

By an easy calculation one obtains the identity $dh = \sum h_2 \eta_\Gamma(h_1)$. The linear map η_Γ has the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^\Gamma(\eta_\Gamma(h)) &= \eta_\Gamma(h) \otimes 1, \\ \eta_\Gamma(h)k &= \sum k_2(\eta_\Gamma(hk_1) - \varepsilon(h)\eta_\Gamma(k_1)), \\ d\eta_\Gamma(h) &= -\sum \eta_\Gamma(h_2)\eta_\Gamma(h_1)\end{aligned}$$

In the case $\Gamma(H) = \Omega(H)$ we use the symbol η_Ω .

The first degrees of right-covariant differential algebras are in one-to-one correspondence to right ideals $R \subset \ker \varepsilon \subset H$ in the following sense: First, if a differential calculus is given, $R := \ker \eta_\Gamma \cap \ker \varepsilon$ is a right ideal with the property $R \subset \ker \varepsilon$, and one can prove that the subbimodule $J^1(H)$ corresponding to $\Gamma^1(H) \cong \Omega^1(H)/J^1(H)$ is generated by the space $\eta_\Omega(R) = \{\sum S^{-1}(r_2)dr_1 | r \in R\}$. On the other hand, every right ideal $R \subset \ker \varepsilon$ defines a right covariant differential algebra $\Gamma(H) = \Omega(H)/J(H)$, where the differential ideal $J(H) \subset \Omega(H)$ is generated by the set $\eta_\Omega(R)$. Analogously, right ideals $R \subset \ker \varepsilon$ also correspond to left covariant differential calculi. In this case, the differential ideal $J(H)$ corresponding to R is generated by $\{\sum S(r_1)dr_2 | r \in R\}$. Bicovariant differential calculi are given by right ideals R with the property $\sum S(r_1)r_3 \otimes r_2 \subset H \otimes R$; $\forall r \in R$ (Ad-invariance).

Now one can choose a linear basis $h_i + R$ in $\ker \varepsilon/R$. This leads to a set of functionals \mathcal{X}_i on H annihilating 1 and R such that $\eta_\Gamma(h) = \mathcal{X}_i(h)\eta_\Gamma(h_i)$, $h \in H$. The set of elements $\eta_\Gamma(h_i)$ is a left and right H module basis in $\Gamma^1(H)$, and the set of the \mathcal{X}_i is a linear basis in the space of all functionals annihilating 1 and R . It is obvious that $dh = \sum h_2 \mathcal{X}_i(h_1)\eta_\Gamma(h_i)$. Besides the functionals \mathcal{X}_i the linear basis in $\ker \varepsilon/R$ determines also functionals f_{ij} on H satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}f_{ij}(1) &= \delta_{ij}, \\ f_{ij}(hk) &= \sum_l f_{il}(h)f_{lj}(k)\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{X}_i(hk) = \sum_l \mathcal{X}_l(h)f_{li}(k) + \varepsilon(h)\mathcal{X}_i(k).$$

Definition 13 Let A be a vector space and let H be a Hopf algebra such that there exists linear map $\Delta_A : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$. Δ_A is called right H -coaction and A is called right H comodule if

$$(\Delta_A \otimes id) \circ \Delta_A = (id \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta_A, \quad (129)$$

$$(id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta_A = id. \quad (130)$$

If A is an algebra and Δ_A is an homomorphism of algebras then A is called a right H comodule algebra. The left coaction is defined analogously.

The definition of covariant differential calculi over Hopf algebras is easily generalized to H comodule algebras:

Definition 14 A differential calculus $\Gamma(A)$ over a right H comodule algebra A is called right covariant if the right coaction $\Delta_A^\Gamma : \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A) \otimes H$ defined by

$$\Delta_A^\Gamma(a_0 da_1 \dots da_n) = \Delta_A(a_0)(d \otimes id) \circ \Delta_A(a_1) \dots (d \otimes id) \circ \Delta_A(a_n) \quad (131)$$

exists.

7.2 Covering and gluing

Let finite families $(B_i)_{i \in I}$, $(B_{ij})_{(i,j) \in I \times I \setminus D}$, D the diagonal in $I \times I$, $B_{ij} = B_{ji}$, and homomorphisms $\pi_j^i : B_i \rightarrow B_{ij}$ be given. Then the algebra

$$B = \{(b_i)_{i \in I} \in \bigoplus_i B_i \mid \pi_j^i(b_i) = \pi_i^j(b_j) \forall i \neq j\} =: \bigoplus_{\pi_j^i} B_i$$

is called gluing of the B_i along the B_{ij} by means of the π_j^i . Special cases of gluings arise from coverings: A finite covering of an algebra B is a finite family $(J_i)_{i \in I}$ of ideals in B with $\bigcap_i J_i = 0$. Taking now $B_i = B/J_i$, $B_{ij} = B/(J_i + J_j)$, $\pi_j^i : B_i \rightarrow B_{ij}$ the canonical projections $b + J_i \mapsto b + J_i + J_j$, one can form the gluing

$$B_c = \bigoplus_{\pi_j^i} B_i,$$

which is called the covering completion of B with respect to the covering $(J_i)_{i \in I}$. B is always embedded in B_c via the map $K : b \mapsto (b + J_i)_{i \in I}$. The covering $(J_i)_{i \in I}$ is called complete if K is also surjective, i.e. B is isomorphic to B_c . Every two-element covering is complete, as well as every covering of a C^* -algebra. On the other hand, if $B = \bigoplus_{\pi_j^i} B_i$ is a general gluing, and $p_i : B \rightarrow B_i$ are the restrictions of the canonical projections, then $(\ker p_i)_{i \in I}$ is a complete covering of B .

If $\Gamma(B)$ is a differential algebra, a covering $(J_i)_{i \in I}$ of $\Gamma(B)$ is said to be differentiable if the J_i are differential ideals. A differential algebra $\Gamma(B)$ with differentiable covering $(J_i)_{i \in I}$ is called LC differential algebra (LC = locally calculus), if the factor differential algebras $\Gamma(B)/J_i$ are differential calculi over B/J_i^0 (J_i^0 the degree zero component of J_i) and $J_i^0 \neq 0$, $\forall i$.

Definition 15 *Let $(B, (J_i)_{i \in I})$ be an algebra with complete covering, let $B_i = B/J_i$, let $\pi_i : B \rightarrow B_i$ be the natural surjections, and let $\Gamma(B)$ and $\Gamma(B_i)$ be differential calculi such that π_i are differentiable and $(\ker \pi_i)_{i \in I}$ is a covering of $\Gamma(B)$. Then $(\Gamma(B), (\Gamma(B_i))_{i \in I})$ is called adapted to $(B, (J_i)_{i \in I})$.*

The following proposition is essential for Definition 3:

Proposition 19 *Let $(B, (J_i)_{i \in I})$ be an algebra with complete covering, and let $\Gamma(B_i)$ be differential calculi over the algebras B_i . Up to isomorphism there exists a unique differential calculus $\Gamma(B)$ such that $(\Gamma(B), (\Gamma(B_i))_{i \in I})$ is adapted to $(B, (J_i)_{i \in I})$.*

As shown in [5], the differential ideal corresponding to $\Gamma(B) = \Omega(B)/J(B)$ is just $J(B) = \bigcap_{i \in I} \ker \pi_i \Omega \rightarrow \Gamma$.

Finally, there is a proposition concerning the covering completion of adapted differential calculi:

Proposition 20 *Let $(\Gamma(B), (\Gamma(B_i))_{i \in I})$ be adapted to $(B, (J_i)_{i \in I})$. Then the covering completion of $(\Gamma(B), (\ker \pi_i)_{i \in I})$ is an LC differential algebra over B_c .*

Acknowledgements

We like to thank P. M. Hajac for interesting discussions and valuable remarks.

References

- [1] Brzezinski, T.: Translation map in quantum principal bundles, *J. Geom. and Phys.* **20** (1996), 349-370

- [2] Brzeziński, T., and S. Majid: Quantum group gauge theory on quantum spaces, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **157** (1993), 591–638, hep-th/9208007, Preprint DAMTP/92-27
- [3] Budzyński, R. J. and W. Kondracki: Quantum principal fiber bundles: Topological aspects, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **37** (1996), 365–385, preprint 517 PAN Warsaw 1993, hep-th/9401019
- [4] Calow, D., Differentialkalküle auf Quantengruppen, Diplomarbeit, Leipzig 1995
- [5] Calow, D. and R. Matthes: Covering and gluing of algebras and differential algebras, in J. Geom. and Phys. **32** (2000), 364–396, math.QA/9910031, Preprint NTZ 25/1998
- [6] Calow, D. and R. Matthes: Locally trivial quantum vector bundles and associated vector bundles, math.QA/0002229
- [7] Calow, D. and R. Matthes: Gauge transformations on locally trivial quantum principal bundles, math.QA/0002230
- [8] Dixmier, J.: *Les C^* -algebres et leurs representations*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris 1964
- [9] Doplicher, S.: Quantum spacetime, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare, Physique theorique* **64** (1996), 543–553
- [10] Doplicher, S., Fredenhagen, K. and J. E. Roberts: The quantum structure of spacetime at the Planck scale and quantum fields, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **172** (1995), 187–220
- [11] Durdevic, M.: Geometry of quantum principal bundles I, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **175** (1996), 457–521, q-alg/9507019
- [12] Durdevic, M.: Geometry of quantum principal bundles II, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **9** (5) (1997), 531–607, q-alg/9412005
- [13] Fröhlich, J., Grandjean, O. und A. Recknagel: Supersymmetric quantum theory, non-commutative geometry, and gravitation, *Symétries quantiques (Les Houches, 1995)*, 221–385, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1998, ETH-TH/97-19, hep-th/9706132
- [14] Hajac, P. M.: Strong connections on quantum principal bundles, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **182** (1996), 579–617
- [15] Kempf, A.: String/quantum gravity motivated uncertainty relations and regularisation in field theory, hep-th/9612082, DAMTP/96-101
- [16] Klimek, S. and A. Lesniewski: A two-parameter quantum deformation of the unit disc, *J. Funct. Anal.* **115** (1993), 1–23
- [17] Klimyk, A. U. and K. Schmüdgen: *Quantum groups and their representations*, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer 1997
- [18] Koornwinder, T. H.: General Compact Quantum Groups, a Tutorial, Preprint University of Amsterdam, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
- [19] Müller, A.: Classifying spaces for quantum principal bundles, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **149** (1992), 495–512
- [20] Nakahara M.: *Geometry, Topology and Physics*, Graduate Student Series in Physics, Institute of Physics Publishing, Bristol and Philadelphia, 1990

- [21] Pflaum, M. J.: Quantum groups on fibre bundles, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **166** (1994), 279-315, hep-th/9401085
- [22] Pflaum, M. J. and P. Schauenburg: Differential calculi on noncommutative bundles, *Z. Phys. C* **6** (1997), 733–744, q-alg/9612030, Preprint gk-mp-9407/7 München 1994
- [23] Schauenburg, P.: Zur nichtkommutativen Differentialgeometrie von Hauptfaserbündeln - Hopf-Galois-Erweiterungen von De Rham-Komplexen, Dissertation München 1993
- [24] Schneider, H. J.: Principal homogeneous spaces for arbitrary Hopf algebras, *Isr. J. Math.* **72** (1990), 167–195
- [25] Sinel'shchikov, S. and L. Vaksman: On q-analogues of bounded symmetric domains and Dolbeault Complexes, *Mathematical Physics, Analysis and Geometry*, **1** (1)(1998), 75–100, q-alg/9703005
- [26] Woronowicz, S. L.: Twisted SU(2) Group. An Example of a Non-Commutative Differential Calculus, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto University* **23** (1987), 117–181
- [27] Woronowicz, S. L.: Compact matrix pseudogroups, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **111** (1987), 613–665
- [28] Woronowicz, S. L.: Differential calculus on compact matrix pseudogroups (quantum groups), *Commun. Math. Phys.* **122** (1989), 125–170