

Max-Planck-Institut
für Mathematik
in den Naturwissenschaften
Leipzig

A characterization of minimal Legendrian
submanifolds in \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}

by

Hông-Vân Lê and Guofang Wang

Preprint no.: 9

2000



A CHARACTERIZATION OF MINIMAL LEGENDRIAN SUBMANIFOLDS IN \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}

HÔNGVÂN LÊ AND GUOFANG WANG

ABSTRACT. Let $x : L^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ be a minimal submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} . In this note, we show that L is Legendrian if and only if for any $A \in su(n+1)$ the restriction to L of $\langle Ax, \sqrt{-1}x \rangle$ satisfies $\Delta f = 2(n+1)f$. In this case, $2(n+1)$ is an eigenvalue of the Laplacian with multiplicity at least $\frac{n(n+3)}{2}$. Moreover if the multiplicity equals to $\frac{n(n+3)}{2}$, then L^n is totally geodesic.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $x : L^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} = \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be an embedded submanifold in the standard unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} . Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} . For any $x = (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})$, we identify it with the constant vector field $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j})$. By this identification, x , the position vector, can be seen as the normal vector field of \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} . Let J be the standard complex structure, i.e., $J \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}$ and $J \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$. The standard contact structure ξ on \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} is determined by the distribution

$$\xi = \{Y \in T_x \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \mid \langle Y, Jx \rangle = 0\}.$$

Clearly Jx is the corresponding Reeb vector field. A submanifold $L^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ is called *Legendrian* if $T_x L \subset \xi$ for any $x \in L$. It is easy to see that L is Legendrian if and only if $JTL \oplus \{Jx\}$ is the normal bundle of the embedding $L \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$.

Let CL be a cone in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} over L . In other words, L is the link of CL with \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} . It is easy to check that L is minimal Legendrian submanifold if and only if CL is a special Lagrangian cone w.r.t. some constant calibrated form, see for instance, [5] and [6]. Such special Lagrangian cones are possible tangent cones of special Lagrangian varieties. In order to study the regularity of special Lagrangian varieties, we have to understand (or classify) all possible special Lagrangian cones, thus

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C.

Key words and phrases. Minimal Legendrian submanifolds, special Lagrangian cones.

minimal Legendrian submanifolds in \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} . In this note, we give a characterization of minimal Legendrian submanifolds.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $x : L^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ be a minimal submanifold. L is Legendrian if and only if for any matrix $M \in su(n+1)$, the function $f_M := \langle Mx, Jx \rangle$, as a function on L , satisfies*

$$\Delta f = (n+2)f.$$

Here Δ is the Laplacian w.r.t. the induced metric.

Theorem 1.2. *If L is minimal Legendrian submanifold in S^{2n+1} , then $2(n+1)$ is an eigenvalue of the Laplacian with multiplicity at least $\frac{n(n+3)}{2}$, the dimension of $su(n+1)/so(n+1)$. If the multiplicity equals to $\frac{n(n+3)}{2}$, then L is totally geodesic.*

We hope that this characterization can be used to construct minimal Legendrian submanifolds in \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} , as in [1] and [7] for minimal submanifolds in the unit sphere. When $n = 2$, generalized Clifford tori are minimal Legendrian submanifolds. More minimal Legendrian tori with invariance under an \mathbb{S}^1 action was constructed in [5].

Throughout this note we will adopt the following ranges of indices:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq A, B, C \cdots \leq 2n+1, \\ 1 \leq i, j \cdots \leq n, \\ n+1 \leq \alpha \leq 2n+1, \end{aligned}$$

2. MINIMAL LEGENDRIAN SUBMANIFOLDS

Let $x : L^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} = \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be an embedded submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} . Let $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n+1}$ be an orthonormal frame of tangent vectors to \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} at x and $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{2n+1}$ be the dual frame. We have (see [1, 2])

$$dx = \theta_A \otimes e_A \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$de_A = \omega_{AB} \otimes e_B - \theta_A \otimes e_A, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\omega_{AB} + \omega_{BA} = 0.$$

Exterior differentiation of (2.2) gives

$$d\omega_{AB} - \omega_{AB} - \omega_{AC} \wedge \omega_{CB} = -\omega_A \wedge \omega_B. \tag{2.3}$$

Here we have used the summation convention. It is well-known that L is minimal if and only if for any constant vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$, $\langle x, a \rangle$, as a function on L , satisfies

$$\Delta \langle x, a \rangle = n \langle x, a \rangle, \quad (2.4)$$

where Δ is the (positive) Laplacian operator w.r.t. the induced metric, see [1]. In this note we characterize the Legendrian property of minimal submanifolds in terms of the embedding x and $su(n+1)$. A matrix $M \in su(n+1)$ means that M is traceless and satisfies

$$M + M^t = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad JM = MJ.$$

We first have

Proposition 2.1. *Let $x : L \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} = \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a minimal submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} . If L is Legendrian, then for any matrix $M \in su(n+1)$ the function $f_M := \langle Mx, Jx \rangle$, as a function on L , satisfies*

$$\Delta f_M = 2(n+1)f_M. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof: By definition, L is Legendrian if and only if in any small neighborhood of $x \in L$, there is a orthonormal frame e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n of L so that $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1} = Je_1, e_{n+2} = Je_2, \dots, e_{2n} = Je_n, e_{2n+1} = Jx$ is an orthonormal frame of \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} . Let $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{2n+1}$ be the dual frame. On L , $\theta_\alpha = 0$ for $\alpha = n+1, \dots, 2n, 2n+1$.

From (2.1) and (2.2), on L we have

$$dx = \theta_i \otimes e_i \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$de_A = \theta_{AB} \otimes e_B - \theta_A \otimes x. \quad (2.7)$$

Here $\theta_{AB} = x^* \omega_{AB}$. The θ_{ij} are connection forms of the induced metric on L and $\theta_{\alpha i}$ are the second fundamental forms. Let $\theta_{\alpha i} = h_{\alpha ij} \theta_j$. For any matrix $M \in su(n+1)$, define $f_M = \langle Mx, Jx \rangle$. In view of (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$df_M = (\langle Me_i, Jx \rangle + \langle Mx, Je_i \rangle) \theta_i =: f_i \theta_i \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$Df_i = -(2\langle Me_i, Je_j \rangle - 2\delta_{ij} \langle Mx, Jx \rangle - (\langle Me_\alpha, Jx \rangle + \langle Mx, Je_\alpha \rangle) h_{\alpha ij}) \theta_j.$$

Together with the minimality of M , it follows

$$\Delta f = 2nf - 2\langle Me_i, Je_i \rangle.$$

Clearly, by definition, $\langle Me_i, Je_i \rangle + \langle Mx, Jx \rangle = 0$ for $M \in su(n+1)$. Hence, we have $\Delta f = 2(n+1)f$. \square

Remark. Let $L^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ be a minimal submanifold. The computation given in the proof shows $\Delta f_M = 2(n+1)f_M$ if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \langle Me_i, Je_i \rangle + \langle Mx, Jx \rangle = 0 \quad (2.9)$$

for any basis of L .

Conversely, we have

Proposition 2.2. *Let $x : L \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} = \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a minimal submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} . If for any matrix $M \in su(n+1)$ the function $f_M := \langle Mx, Jx \rangle$ satisfies (2.5), then L is Legendrian.*

Proof: In order to prove this Proposition, we have to show that there is a basis e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n of L such that $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, Je_1, Je_2, \dots, Je_n, Jx$ is a basis of \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} . Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n be a basis of L .

Case 1. n is odd. Set $p = (n+1)/2$ and $e_{n+1} = x$. Applying Lemma 6.13 in [4] to the simple $2p$ -vector $\eta = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n \wedge e_{n+1}$, we have a unitary basis $\bar{e}_1, J\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2, J\bar{e}_2, \dots, \bar{e}_{n+1}, J\bar{e}_{n+1}$ for \mathbb{C}^{n+1} and angles

$$0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 \leq \dots \leq \theta_{p-1} \leq \pi/2, \quad \theta_{p-1} \leq \theta_p \leq \pi$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= \bar{e}_1 \wedge (J\bar{e}_1 \cos \theta_1 + \bar{e}_2 \sin \theta_1) \wedge \bar{e}_3 \wedge (J\bar{e}_3 \cos \theta_2 + \bar{e}_4 \sin \theta_2) \\ &\quad \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{e}_{2p-1} \wedge (J\bar{e}_{2p-1} \cos \theta_p + \bar{e}_{2p} \sin \theta_p). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

We choose a new basis $\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \dots, \tilde{e}_n$ of L such that

$$\tilde{e}_{2i-1} = \bar{e}_{2i-1} \text{ and } \tilde{e}_{2i} = J\bar{e}_{2i-1} \cos \theta_i + \bar{e}_{2i} \sin \theta_i, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, p.$$

In view of the previous Remark, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \langle M\tilde{e}_j, J\tilde{e}_j \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } M \in su(n+1). \quad (2.11)$$

We claim that

$$\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \dots = \theta_p = \theta \text{ and } \theta = 0, \text{ or } \pi/2. \quad (2.12)$$

For any fixed i , we first choose a matrix M_i satisfying

$$\begin{cases} M_i \bar{e}_{2i-1} &= \bar{e}_{2i}, \\ M_i \bar{e}_{2i} &= -\bar{e}_{2i-1}, \\ M_i \bar{e}_k &= 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\} \setminus \{2i-1, 2i\}.$$

It is clear that $M_i \in su(n+1)$. Inserting such M_i into (2.11), we get

$$\sin 2\theta_i = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Then we choose another $M \in su(n+1)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} M'_i \bar{e}_{2i-1} &= J \bar{e}_{2i-1}, \\ M'_i \bar{e}_{2i} &= -J \bar{e}_{2i}, \\ M'_i \bar{e}_k &= 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\} \setminus \{2i-1, 2i\}.$$

Inserting it into (2.11), we get

$$1 + \cos^2 \theta_i - \sin^2 \theta_i = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

(2.13) and (2.14) imply that $\theta_i = \frac{\pi}{2}$. The claim follows. Thus $\tilde{e}_1 = \bar{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2 = \bar{e}_2, \dots, \tilde{e}_n = \bar{e}_n$. The L is Legendrian.

Case 2. n is even. Let $e_{n+1} = x$. Choose a new basis $e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_{n+1}$ such that

$$\langle e'_{n+1}, e_k \rangle = \langle e'_{n+1}, J e_k \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The existence of such e'_{n+1} follows simply from that $n+1$ is odd. Set $2p = n$. Decompose $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} = \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \{e'_{n+1}, J e'_{n+1}\}$. Now, we can apply Lemma 6.13 in [4] again to the simple $2p$ -vector

$$\eta_1 = e'_1 \wedge e'_2 \wedge \dots \wedge e'_n$$

to get a normal form as in Case 1. The similar argument shows that L is Legendrian in this case. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It follows from Propositions 2.1 and 2.2. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof is inspired by [9], see also [6]. Fix a point x_0 in L and let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n be an orthonormal basis of L in a neighborhood U of x_0 . Since L is Legendrian, $e_1, J e_1, \dots, e_n, J e_n, x, J x$ is a unitary basis of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} for any point $x \in U$. Denote $e_{n+i} = J e_i$ and $e_{2n+1} = J x$.

Define a linear map $F : su(n+1) \rightarrow C^\infty(L)$ by

$$F(M) = f_M = \langle Mx, Jx \rangle|_L,$$

where $C^\infty(L)$ is the space of smooth functions. We want to show that the image $F(su(n+1))$ of F is of dimension not less than $\frac{n(n+3)}{2}$. Considering the point $x_0 \in L$ as a vector as before, we define

$$K(x_0) = \left\{ M \in su(n+1) \mid \begin{array}{l} Mx_0 \in JT_{x_0}L, M(T_{x_0}L) \subset JT_{x_0}L \\ \text{and } \langle M e_i, J e_i \rangle = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$P(x_0) = \left\{ M \in su(n+1) \mid \begin{array}{l} Mx_0 \in T_{x_0}L \oplus \{x_0\} \text{ and} \\ M e_i \in T_{x_0}L \oplus \{x_0\} \oplus \{Jx_0\}. \end{array} \right\}$$

It is easy to check that

$$su(n+1) = P(x_0) \oplus K(x_0).$$

Now we claim that if $M \in K(x_0)$ satisfies $F(M) \equiv 0$, then $M = 0$. Assume that there is a matrix $M \in K$ such that $F(M) = 0$. By (2.8) we know

$$\langle Me_i, Jx \rangle + \langle Mx, Je_i \rangle = 0, \quad \text{on } U.$$

Since $M \in su(n+1)$, it follows that

$$\langle Me_i, Jx \rangle = 0 \quad \text{on } U \tag{2.15}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus $Mx_0 = 0$, for $Mx_0 \in JT_{x_0}L$. Exterior differentiation of (2.15) gives

$$\langle Me_i, Je_j \rangle + h_{\alpha ij} \langle Me_a, Jx \rangle = 0, \quad \text{on } U. \tag{2.16}$$

Since $M \in K(x_0)$, we have $\langle Me_{n+i}, Jx_0 \rangle = \langle Me_i, x_0 \rangle = 0$. We also have $h_{(2n+1)ij} = 0$ by Lemma 2.3 below. Hence, (2.16) implies that

$$\langle Me_i, Je_j \rangle = 0 \text{ at } x_0, \text{ for } i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

which, in turn, implies that $M = 0$. This proves the claim. It is clear that the dimension of K is $\frac{n(n+3)}{2}$. Now the first statement of the Proposition follows from the claim.

If the multiplicity equals to $\frac{n(n+3)}{2}$, then from the argument above we know that, for any point $x_0 \in L$ and any $M \in P(x_0)$, $F(M) \equiv 0$ and (2.16) holds in any small neighborhood of x_0 . In this case, we claim that

$$h_{\alpha ij} = 0. \tag{2.17}$$

By definition, for any $M \in P(x_0)$, $\langle Me_i, Je_j \rangle = 0$. It follows from (2.16) that

$$h_{\alpha ij} \langle Me_\alpha, Jx \rangle = 0. \tag{2.18}$$

For any k , choosing $M \in P$ such that $Me_k = x$ and inserting it into (2.18) we get that $h_{(n+i)jk} = 0$. This together with Lemma 2.3 below, implies the claim, i.e., L is totally geodesic. \square

Clearly if L^n is totally geodesic in \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} then L is a great sphere.

Lemma 2.3. *Let L be a minimal Legendrian submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} . We have*

$$h_{(2n+1)ij} = 0, \quad \text{for any } i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Proof: From (2.6), we have

$$de_{2n+1} = Jdx = \theta_i \otimes Je_i,$$

which implies that $\theta_{(2n+1)i} = 0$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Chern, S. S., *Minimal submanifolds in a Riemannian manifolds*, University of Kansas, Lawrence (1968)
- [2] Chern, S. S. and J. Wolfson, *Minimal surfaces by moving frames*, Amer. J. Math., **105** (1983)59-83
- [3] do Carmo, M. and N. Wallach, *Minimal immersions of spheres into spheres*, Ann. of Math. (2), **93**
- [4] Harvey, R. and H. B. Lawson, *Calibrated geometries*, Acta Math., **148** (1982) 47-157
- [5] Haskins, M., Constructing special Lagrangian cones. Thesis, Uni. of Texas, Austin, 2000
- [6] Le and Wang, in preparation
- [7] P. Li, *Minimal immersions of compact irreducible homogeneous Riemannian manifolds*, J. Diff. Geo. **16** (1981) 105-115
- [8] Schoen, R. and J. Wolfson, *Minimizing volume among Lagrangian submanifolds*, in: Differential Equations: La Pietra, Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math., **65**, 181-199
- [9] Simons, J., *Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2), **88** (1968) 62-105

MAX-PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS IN THE SCIENCES, LEIPZIG 04103,
GERMANY

E-mail address: hvle@mis.mpg.de

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIC SINICA, BEIJING, CHINA

E-mail address: gwang@math03.math.ac.cn

CURRENT ADDRESS: MAX-PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS IN THE SCI-
ENCES, LEIPZIG 04103, GERMANY

E-mail address: gwang@mis.mpg.de