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Abstract. In recent years the interaction between geometric topology and classical and quantum field theories has attracted a great deal of attention from both the mathematicians and physicists. This interaction has been especially fruitful in low dimensional topology. In this article we discuss some topics from the geometric topology of 3-manifolds with or without links where this has led to new viewpoints as well as new results. They include in addition to the early work of Witten, Casson, Bott, Taubes and others, the categorification of knot polynomials by Khovanov, Rozansky, Bar-Natan and Garofoladis and a special case of the gauge theory to string theory correspondence in the Euclidean version of the theories, where exact results are available. We show how the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant in $SU(n)$ Chern-Simons theory on $S^3$ is related via conifold transition to the all-genus generating function of the topological string amplitudes on a Calabi-Yau manifold. This result can be thought of as an interpretation of TQFT as TQG (Topological Quantum Gravity). A brief discussion of Perelman’s work on the geometrization conjecture and its relation to gravity is also included.

1 Introduction

This paper is based in part on my seminars given at the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, and at other institutes, notably at the IIT (Mumbai), Università di Firenze, University of Florida at Gainesville, Inter University Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Pune, India and conferences given at the XXIV workshop on Geometric Methods in Physics, Poland [50] and the Blaubeuren workshop “Mathematical and Phys-
ical Aspects of Quantum Gravity” [51]. In my lectures on the mathematical and physical aspects of gauge theories in New York and Florence in the early 1980s, I began using the phrase gauge-theoretic topology and geometry to describe a rapidly developing area of mathematics, where unexpected advances were made with essential use of gauge theory. By the late 1990s it was evident that in addition to gauge theory, many other parts of theoretical physics were contributing new ideas and methods to the study of topology, geometry, algebra and other fields of mathematics. I then began using the phrase “Physical Mathematics” to collectively denote the areas of mathematics benefitting from an infusion of ideas from physics. It appears in print for the first time in [48] and more recently, in [49] and is the theme of my forthcoming book with Springer-Verlag on “Topics in Physical Mathematics”.

During the past two decades a surprising number of new structures have appeared in the geometric topology of low-dimensional manifolds. Chiral, Vertex, Affine and other infinite dimensional algebras are related to 2d CFT and string theory as well as to sporadic finite groups such as the monster. In three dimensions there are the polynomial link invariants of Jones, Kaufmann, HOMFLY and others, Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants of 3-manifolds, Casson invariants of homology spheres and Fukaya-Floer instanton homologies. In 4 dimensions we have the instanton invariants of Donaldson and the monopole invariants of Seiberg-Witten and the list continues to grow. These invariants may be roughly split into two groups. Those in the first group arise from combinatorial (algebraic or topological) considerations and can be computed algorithmically. Those in the second group arise from the study of moduli spaces of solutions of partial differential equations which have their origin in physical field theories. Here the computations generally depend on special conditions or extra structures. The main aim of these lectures is to study some of the relations that have been found between the invariants from the two groups and more generally, to understand the influence of ideas from field theories in geometric topology and vice versa. For example, many physicists consider supersymmetric string theory to be the most promising candidate to lead to the so called grand unification of all four fundamental forces. Unifying different string theories into a single theory (such as M-theory) would seem to be the natural first step. This goal seems distant at this time, since even the physical foundations for such unification are not yet clear. However, in mathematics it has led to new areas such as mirror symmetry, Calabi-Yau spaces, Gromov-Witten theory, and Gopakumar-Vafa invariants. The earliest and the best understood example of the relationship between invariants from the two groups is illustrated by the Casson invariant which was defined by using combinatorial topological methods. Taubes found a gauge theoretic interpretation of the Casson invariant as the Euler characteristic by using the generalized Poincaré-Hopf index which can also be obtained by using Floer’s instanton homology. Yet there is no algorithm for computing the homology groups themselves.
Topological quantum field theory was ushered in by Witten in his 1989 paper [80] “QFT and the Jones’ polynomial”. WRT invariants arose as a byproduct of the quantization of Chern-Simons theory used to characterize the Jones’ polynomial. At this time, it is the only known geometric characterization of the Jones’ polynomial, although the Feynman integrals used by Witten do not yet have a mathematically acceptable definition. Space-time manifolds in such theories are compact Riemannian manifolds. They are referred to as Euclidean theories in the physics literature. Their role in physically interesting theories is not clear at this time and they should be regarded as toy models.

In the last few years we have celebrated a number of special events. The Gauss’ year and the 100th anniversary of Einstein’s “Annus Mirabilis” (the miraculous year) are the most important among these. Indeed, Gauss’ “Disquisiciones generale circa superficies curvas” was the basis and inspiration for Riemann’s work which ushered in a new era in geometry. It is an extension of this geometry that is the cornerstone of relativity theory. More recently, we have witnessed the marriage between Gauge Theory and the Geometry of Fiber Bundles from the sometime warring tribes of Physics and Mathematics. Marriage brokers were none other than Chern and Simons. The 1975 paper by Wu and Yang [83] can be regarded as the announcement of this union. It has led to many wonderful offspring. The theories of Donaldson, Chern-Simons, Floer-Fukaya, Seiberg-Witten, and TQFT are just some of the more famous members of their extended family. Quantum Groups, CFT, Supersymmetry (SUSY), String Theory, Gromov-Witten theory and Gravity also have close ties with this family. Later in this paper we will discuss one particular relationship between gauge theory and string theory, that has recently come to light. The qualitative aspects of Chern-Simons theory as string theory were investigated by Witten [82] almost ten years ago. Before recounting the main idea of this work we review the Feynman path integral method of quantization which is particularly suited for studying topological quantum field theories. For general background on gauge theory and geometric topology see, for example, [47, 48].

We now give a brief description of the contents of the paper. In section 2 we discuss Gauss’ Formula for Linking Number of knots, the earliest example of TFT (Topological Field Theory) and its recent extension to self linking invariants. Witten’s fundamental work on supersymmetry and Morse theory is covered in section 3. Chern-Simons theory is introduced in section 4. Its relation to Casson invariant via the moduli space of flat connections is explained in section 5. Ideas from sections 3 and 4 are used in section 6 to define the Fukaya-Floer homology. This homology provides the categorification of the Casson invariant. Knot polynomials and their categorification are discussed in sections 7 and 8 respectively. Section 9 is devoted to a general discussion of TQFT and its applications to invariants of links and 3-manifolds. Atiyah-Segal axioms for TQFT are introduced in subsection 9.1. In subsection 9.2 we define quantum observables and introduce the Feynman path
integral approach to QFT. The Euclidean version of this theory is applied in subsection 9.3 to the Chern-Simons Lagrangian to obtain the skein relations for the Jones-Witten polynomial of a link in $S^3$. A by product of this is the family of WRT invariants of 3-manifolds. They are discussed in subsection 9.4. Section 10 is devoted to studying the relation between WRT invariants of $S^3$ with gauge group $SU(n)$ and the open and closed string amplitudes in generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds. Change in geometry and topology via conifold transition which plays an important role in this study is introduced in subsection 10.1 in the form needed for our specific problem. Expansion of free energy and its relation to string amplitudes is given in subsection 10.2. This result is a special case of the general program introduced by Witten in [82]. A realization of this program even within Euclidean field theory promises to be a rich and rewarding area of research. We have given some indication of this at the end of this section. Links between Yang-Mills, gravity and string theory are considered in the concluding section 11. Relation of Yang-Mills equations with Einstein’s equations for gravitational field in the Euclidean setting is considered in subsection 11.1. Various formulations of Einstein’s equations for gravitational field are discussed in subsection 11.2. They also make a surprising appearance in Perelman’s proof of Thurston’s Geometrization conjecture. A brief indication of this is given in subsection 11.3.

We have included some basic material and given more details than necessary to make the paper essentially self-contained. A fairly large number of references ranging from January 1833 to January 2009, when the Heidelberg conference was held, are included to facilitate further study and research in this exciting and rapidly expanding area.

2 Gauss’ Formula for Linking Number of knots

Knots have been known since ancient times but knot theory is of quite recent origin. One of the earliest investigations in combinatorial knot theory is contained in several unpublished notes written by Gauss between 1825 and 1844 and published posthumously as part of his Nachlaß (estate). They deal mostly with his attempts to classify “Tractfiguren” or plane closed curves with a finite number of transverse self-intersections. However, one fragment deals with a pair of linked knots. We reproduce a part of this fragment below.

Es seien die Koordinaten eines unbekannten Punkts der ersten Linie $r = (x, y, z)$; der zweiten $r' = (x', y', z')$ und

$$
\int \int \frac{(r' - r) \cdot (dr \times dr')}{|r' - r|^3} = V
$$
In this fragment of a note from his Nachlaß, Gauss had given an analytic formula for the linking number of a pair of knots. This number is a combinatorial topological invariant. As is quite common in Gauss’s work, there is no indication of how he obtained this formula. The title of the section where the note appears, “Zur Electro dynamik” (“On Electrodynamics”) and his continuing work with Weber on the properties of electric and magnetic fields leads us to guess that it originated in the study of magnetic field generated by an electric current flowing in a curved wire.

Maxwell knew Gauss’s formula for the linking number and its topological significance and its origin in electromagnetic theory. In fact, before he knew of Gauss’s formula, he had rediscovered it. He mentions it in a letter to Tait dated December 4, 1867. He wrote several manuscripts which study knots, links and also addressed the problem of their classification. In these and other topological problems his approach was not mathematically rigorous but was rather based on his deep understanding of physics. Indeed this situation persists today in several mathematical results obtained by physical reasoning. Like Maxwell, Tait used his physical intuition to correctly classify all knots up to seven crossings and made a number of conjectures, the last of which remained open for over hundred years.

In obtaining a topological invariant by using a physical field theory, Gauss had anticipated Topological Field Theory by almost 150 years. Even the term topology was not used then. It was introduced in 1847 by J. B. Listing, a student and protegé of Gauss, in his essay “Vorstudien zur Topologie”. Gauss’s linking number formula can also be interpreted as the equality of topological and analytic degree of the function $\lambda$ defined by

$$\lambda(r, r') := \frac{(r - r')}{|r - r'|}, \forall (r, r') \in C \times C'$$

It is well defined by the disjointness of $C$ and $C'$. If $\omega$ denotes the standard volume form on $S^2$, then the pull back $\lambda^*(\omega)$ of $\omega$ to $C \times C'$ is precisely the integrand in the Gauss formula and $\int C \omega = 4\pi$. One can check that the topological degree of $\lambda$ equals the linking number $m$.

Recently, Bott and Taubes have used these ideas to study a self-linking invariant of knots [12]. It turns out that this invariant belongs to a family of knot invariants, called finite type invariants, defined by Vassiliev. Gauss forms with different normalization are used by Kontsevich [39] in the formula for this invariant and it is stated that the invariant is an integer equal to the second coefficient of the Alexander-Conway polynomial of the knot. In [10, 11] Bott and Cattaneo obtain invariants of rational homology 3-spheres in terms of configuration space integrals. Kontsevich views these formulas as forming a small part of a very broad program to relate the invariants of...
low-dimensional manifolds, homotopical algebras, and non-commutative geometry with topological field theories and the calculus of Feynman diagrams. It seems that the full realization of this program would require the best efforts of mathematicians and physicists for years to come.

3 Supersymmetry and Morse Theory

Classical Morse theory on a finite dimensional, compact, differentiable manifold $M$ relates the behaviour of critical points of a suitable function on $M$ with topological information about $M$. The relation is generally stated as an equality of certain polynomials as follows. Recall first that a smooth function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a Morse function if its critical points are isolated and non-degenerate. If $x \in M$ is a critical point (i.e. $df(x) = 0$), then by Taylor expansion of $f$ around $x$, we obtain the Hessian of $f$ at $x$ defined by

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}(x) \right\}.$$

Then the non-degeneracy of the critical point $x$ is equivalent to the non-degeneracy of the quadratic form determined by the Hessian. The dimension of the negative eigenspace of this form is called the Morse index, or simply index, of $f$ at $x$ and is denoted by $\mu_f(x)$ or simply $\mu(x)$ when $f$ is understood.

It can be verified that these definitions are independent of the choice of the local coordinates. Let $m_k$ be the number of critical points with index $k$. Then the Morse series of $f$ is the formal power series

$$\sum_k m_k t^k, \text{ where } m_k = 0, \forall k > \dim M.$$

Recall that the Poincaré series of $M$ is given by $\sum_k b_k t^k$, where $b_k \equiv b_k(M)$ is the $k$-th Betti number of $M$. The relation between the two series is given by

$$\sum_k m_k t^k = \sum_k b_k t^k + (1 + t) \sum_k q_k t^k, \quad (1)$$

where $q_k$ are non-negative integers. Comparing the coefficients of the powers of $t$ in this relation leads to the well-known Morse inequalities

$$\sum_{k=0}^i m_{i-k} (-1)^k \geq \sum_{k=0}^i b_{i-k} (-1)^k, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n - 1,$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^n m_{n-k} (-1)^k = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n-k} (-1)^k.$$
The Morse inequalities can also be obtained from the following observation. Let $C^*$ be the graded vector space over the set of critical points of $f$. Then the Morse inequalities are equivalent to the existence of a certain coboundary operator $\partial : C^* \to C^*$ so that $\partial^2 = 0$ and the cohomology of the complex $(C^*, \partial)$ coincides with the deRham cohomology of $M$.

In his fundamental paper [78], Witten arrives at precisely such a complex by considering a suitable supersymmetric quantum mechanical Hamiltonian. Witten showed how the standard Morse theory (see, for example, Milnor [53]) can be modified by considering the gradient flow of the Morse function $f$ between pairs of critical points of $f$. One may think of this as a sort of relative Morse theory. He was motivated by the phenomenon of the quantum mechanical tunnelling. We now discuss this approach. From a mathematical point of view, supersymmetry may be regarded as a theory of operators on a $Z_2$-graded Hilbert space. In recent years this theory has attracted a great deal of interest from theoretical point of view even though as yet there is no physical evidence for its existence.

**Graded Algebraic Structures**

In this subsection we recall briefly a few important properties of graded vector spaces and graded operators in a slightly more general situation than is immediately needed. We will use this information again in studying Khovanov homology. Graded algebraic structures appear naturally in many mathematical and physical theories. We shall restrict our considerations only to $\mathbb{Z}$- and $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradings. The most basic such structure is that of a graded vector space which we now describe. Let $V$ be a vector space. We say that $V$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded (resp. $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded) if $V$ is the direct sum of vector subspaces $V_i$, indexed by the integers (resp. integers mod. 2), i.e.

$$V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_i \quad (\text{resp. } V = V_0 \oplus V_1).$$

The elements of $V_i$ are said to be homogeneous of degree $i$. In the case of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading it is customary to call the elements of $V_0$ (resp. $V_1$) even (resp. odd). If $V$ and $W$ are two $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector spaces, a linear transformation $f : V \to W$ is said to be graded of degree $k$ if $f(V_i) \subset W_{i+k}$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $V$ and $W$ are $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded, then a linear map $f : V \to W$ is said to be even if $f(V_i) \subset W_i$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and is said to be odd if $f(V_i) \subset W_{i+1}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. An algebra $A$ is said to be $\mathbb{Z}$-graded if $A$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded as a vector space, i.e.

$$A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A_i.$$
and $A_i A_j \subset A_{i+j}$, $\forall i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. An ideal $I \subset A$ is called a **homogeneous ideal** if

$$I = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (I \cap A_i).$$

A similar definition can be given for a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebra. In the physical literature a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-**graded algebra** is referred to as a **superalgebra**. Other algebraic structures (such as Lie, commutative etc.) have their superalgebra counterparts. An example of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra is given by the exterior algebra of differential forms $\Lambda(M)$ of a manifold $M$ if we define $\Lambda^i(M) = 0$ for $i < 0$. The exterior differential $d$ is a graded linear transformation of degree 1 of $\Lambda(M)$. The graded or quantum dimension of $V$ is defined by

$$\dim_q V = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} q^i (\dim (V_i)),\,$$

where $q$ is a formal variable. If we write $q = \exp 2\pi i z$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ then $\dim_q V$ can be regarded as the Fourier expansion of a complex function. A spectacular application of this occurs in the study of finite groups. We discuss this briefly in the next paragraph. It is not needed in the rest of the paper. However, it has surprising connections with conformal field theory and vertex algebras. It does not deal with 3-manifolds and may be omitted without loss of continuity.

**Monstrous Moonshine**

It was his study of Kepler’s sphere packing conjecture, that led John Conway to the discovery of his sporadic simple group. Soon thereafter the last holdouts in the complete list of the 26 finite sporadic simple groups were found. All the infinite families of finite simple groups (such as the groups $\mathbb{Z}_p$, for $p$ a prime number and alternating groups $A_n, n > 4$ that we study in the first course in algebra) were already known. So the classification of finite simple groups was complete. It ranks as the greatest achievement of twentieth century mathematics. Hundreds of mathematicians contributed to it. The various parts of the classification together fill more than ten thousand pages. Conway’s group and other sporadic simple groups are closely related to the symmetries of lattices. The study of representations of the largest of these groups (called the Friendly Giant or Fisher-Griess Monster) has led to the creation of a new field of mathematics called Vertex algebras. They turn out to be closely related to the chiral algebras in conformal field theory. These and other ideas inspired by string theory have led to a proof of Conway and Norton’s Moonshine conjectures (see, for example, Borchers [9], and the book [22] by Frenkel, Lepowski, Meurman). The monster Lie algebra is the simplest example of a Lie algebra of physical states of a chiral string on a 26-dimensional orbifold. This algebra can be defined by using the infinite
dimensional graded representation $V$ of the monster simple group. Its quantum dimension is related to Jacobi’s $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ hauptmodul (elliptic modular function of genus 0) $j(q)$, where $q = e^{2\pi i z}, z \in \mathbb{H}$ by
\[
\dim_q V = j(q) - 744 = q^{-1} + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + \ldots
\]
The above formula is one small part in the proof of the moonshine conjectures. For more information see my review [52] in the Mathematical Intelligencer.

**SUSY Quantum Theory**

The Hilbert space $E$ of a supersymmetric theory is $Z_2$-graded, i.e. $E = E_0 \oplus E_1$, where the even (resp. odd) space $E_0$ (resp. $E_1$) is called the space of bosonic (resp. fermionic) states. These spaces are distinguished by an operator $S : E \to E$ defined by
\[
Su = u, \quad \forall u \in E_0, \\
Sv = -v, \quad \forall v \in E_1.
\]
The operator $S$ is interpreted as counting the number of fermions modulo 2. A supersymmetric theory begins with a collection $\{Q_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ of supercharge (or supersymmetry) operators on $E$ which are of odd degree, i.e. anti-commute with $S$
\[
SQ_i + Q_i S = 0, \quad \forall i
\]
and satisfy the following anti-commutation relations
\[
Q_i Q_j + Q_j Q_i = 0, \quad \forall i \neq j.
\]
The dynamics is introduced by the Hamiltonian operator $H$ which commutes with the supercharge operators and is usually required to satisfy additional conditions. For example, in the simplest non-relativistic theory one requires that
\[
H = Q_i^2, \quad \forall i
\]
In fact this simplest supersymmetric theory has surprising connections with Morse theory which we now discuss.

Let $M$ be a compact differentiable manifold and define $E$ by
\[
E := A(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}
\]
The natural grading on $A(M)$ induces a grading on $E$. We define
\[
E_0 := \bigoplus_j A^{2j}(M) \otimes \mathbb{C} \quad \text{(resp. } E_1 := \bigoplus_j A^{2j+1}(M) \otimes \mathbb{C})
\]
the space of complex-valued even (resp. odd) forms on $M$. The exterior differential $d$ and its formal adjoint $\delta$ have natural extension to odd operators on $E$ and thus satisfy (2). We define supercharge operators $Q_j$, $j = 1, 2$, by

$$Q_1 = d + \delta,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$Q_2 = i(d - \delta).$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The Hamiltonian is taken to be the Hodge-deRham operator extended to $E$, i.e.

$$H = d\delta + \delta d.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The relations $d^2 = \delta^2 = 0$ imply the supersymmetry relations (3) and (4). We note that in this case bosonic (resp. fermionic) states correspond to even (resp. odd) forms. The relation to Morse theory arises in the following way. If $f$ is a Morse function on $M$, define a one-parameter family of operators

$$d_t = e^{-tf}de^{ft}, \quad \delta_t = e^{ft}\delta e^{-ft}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

and the corresponding supersymmetry operators

$$Q_{1,t} = d_t + \delta_t, \quad Q_{2,t} = i(d_t - \delta_t), \quad H_t = d_t\delta_t + \delta_t d_t.$$

It is easy to verify that $d_t^2 = \delta_t^2 = 0$ and that $Q_{1,t}$, $Q_{2,t}$, $H_t$ satisfy the supersymmetry relations (3) and (4). The parameter $t$ interpolates between the deRham cohomology and the Morse indices as $t$ goes from 0 to $+\infty$. At $t = 0$, the number of linearly independent eigenvectors with zero eigenvalue is just the $k$-th Betti number $b_k$ when $H_0 = H$ is restricted to act on $k$-forms. In fact these ground states of the Hamiltonian are just the harmonic forms. On the other hand, for large $t$ the spectrum of $H_t$ simplifies greatly with the eigenfunctions concentrating near the critical points of the Morse function. It is in this way that the Morse indices enter into this picture. We can write $H_t$ as a perturbation of $H$ near the critical points. In fact, we have

$$H_t = H + t \sum_{j,k} f_{jk}[\alpha^j, i_X^k] + t^2 \|df\|^2,$$

where $\alpha^j = dx^j$ acts by exterior multiplication, $X^k = \partial / \partial x^k$ and $i_X^k$ is the usual action of inner multiplication by $X^k$ on forms and the norm $\|df\|$ is the norm on $A^1(M)$ induced by the Riemannian metric on $M$. In a suitable neighborhood of a fixed critical point taken as origin, we can approximate $H_t$ up to quadratic terms in $x^j$ by

$$H_t = \sum_j \left( -\frac{\delta^2}{\partial x_j^2} + t^2 \lambda_j x_j^2 + t\lambda_j[\alpha^j, i_X^j] \right),$$
where $\lambda_j$ are the eigenvalues of the Hessian of $f$. The first two terms correspond to the quantized Hamiltonian of a harmonic oscillator with eigenvalues

$$t \sum_j |\lambda_j| \ (1 + 2N_j),$$

whereas the last term defines an operator with eigenvalues $\pm \lambda_j$. It commutes with the first and thus the spectrum of $H_t$ is given by

$$t \sum_j |\lambda_j| \ (1 + 2N_j) + \lambda n_j],$$

where $N_j$'s are non-negative integers and $n_j = \pm 1$. We remark that the classical harmonic oscillator was the first dynamical system that was quantized by using the canonical quantization principle. Dirac introduced his creation and annihilation operators to obtain its spectrum without solving the corresponding Schrodinger equation. Feynman used this result to test his path integral quantization method. Restricting $H$ to act on $k$-forms we can find the ground states by requiring all the $N_j$ to be 0 and by choosing $n_j$ to be 1 whenever $\lambda_j$ is negative. Thus the ground states (zero eigenvalues) of $H$ correspond to the critical points of Morse index $k$. All other eigenvalues are proportional to $t$ with positive coefficients. Starting from this observation and using standard perturbation theory, one finds that the number of $k$-form ground states equals the number of critical points of Morse index $k$. Comparing this with the ground state for $t = 0$, we obtain the weak Morse inequalities $m_k \geq b_k$. As we observed in the introduction the strong Morse inequalities are equivalent to the existence of a certain cochain complex which has cohomology isomorphic to $H^*(M)$, the cohomology of the base manifold $M$. Witten defines $C_p$, the set of $p$-chains of this complex, to be the free group generated by the critical points of Morse index $p$. He then argues that the operator $d_\mu$ defined in (8) defines in the limit as $t \to \infty$ a coboundary operator

$$d_{\infty} : C_p \to C_{p+1}$$

and that the cohomology of this complex is isomorphic to the deRham cohomology of $Y$.

Thus we see that in establishing both the weak and strong form of Morse inequalities a fundamental role is played by the ground states of the supersymmetric quantum mechanical system (5), (6), (7). In a classical system the transition from one ground state to another is forbidden, but in a quantum mechanical system it is possible to have tunneling paths between two ground states. In gauge theory the role of such tunneling paths is played by instantons. Indeed, Witten uses the prescient words “instanton analysis” to describe the tunneling effects obtained by considering the gradient flow of the Morse function $f$ between two ground states (critical points). If $\beta$ (resp. $\alpha$) is a critical point of $f$ of Morse index $p+1$ (resp. $p$) and $\Gamma$ is a gradient flow
of \( f \) from \( \beta \) to \( \alpha \), then by comparing the orientation of negative eigenspaces of the Hessian of \( f \) at \( \beta \) and \( \alpha \), Witten defines the signature \( n_\tau \) of this flow. By considering the set \( S \) of all such flows from \( \beta \) to \( \alpha \), he defines

\[
 n(\alpha, \beta) := \sum_{\tau \in S} n_\tau.
\]

Now defining \( \delta_\infty \) by

\[
 \delta_\infty : C_p \to C_{p+1} \text{ by } \alpha \mapsto \sum_{\beta \in C_{p+1}} n(\alpha, \beta) \beta, \tag{9}
\]

he shows that \((C_*, \delta_\infty)\) is a cochain complex with integer coefficients. Witten conjectures that the integer-valued coboundary operator \( \delta_\infty \) actually gives the integral cohomology of the manifold \( M \). The complex \((C_*, \delta_\infty)\), with the coboundary operator defined by (9), is referred to as the **Witten complex**.

As we will see later, Floer homology is the result of such “instanton analysis” applied to the gradient flow of a suitable Morse function on the moduli space of gauge potentials on an integral homology 3-sphere. Floer has also used these ideas to study a “symplectic homology” associated to a manifold. A corollary of this theory proves the Witten conjecture for finite dimensional manifolds (see [64] for further details), namely

\[
 H^* (C_*, \delta_\infty) = H^* (M, \mathbb{Z}).
\]

A direct proof of the conjecture may be found in the appendix to K. C. Chang [15]. A detailed study of the homological concepts of finite dimensional Morse theory in analogy with Floer homology may be found in M. Schwarz [67]. While many basic concepts of “Morse homology” can be found in the classical investigations of Milnor, Smale and Thom, its presentation as an axiomatic homology theory in the sense of Eilenberg and Steenrod [18] is given for the first time in [67]. One consequence of this axiomatic approach is the uniqueness result for “Morse homology” and its natural equivalence with other axiomatic homology theories defined on a suitable category of topological spaces. Witten conjecture is then a corollary of this result. A discussion of the relation of equivariant cohomology and supersymmetry may be found in Guillemin and Sternberg’s book [24].

## 4 Chern-Simons Theory

Let \( M \) be a compact manifold of dimension \( m = 2r + 1 \), \( r > 0 \), and let \( P(M, G) \) be a principal bundle over \( M \) with a compact, semisimple Lie group \( G \) as its structure group. Let \( \alpha_m(\omega) \) denote the Chern-Simons \( m \)-form on \( M \) corresponding to the gauge potential (connection) \( \omega \) on \( P \); then the Chern-
The Simons action $\mathcal{A}_S$ is defined by
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{A}_S = c(G) \int_M \alpha_m(\omega),
\end{equation}
where $c(G)$ is a coupling constant whose normalization depends on the group $G$. In the rest of this paragraph we restrict ourselves to the case $r = 1$ and $G = SU(n)$. The most interesting applications of the Chern-Simons theory to low dimensional topologies are related to this case. It has been extensively studied by both physicists and mathematicians in recent years. In this case the action (10) takes the form
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{A}_S = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_M tr(A \wedge F - \frac{1}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A) \tag{11}
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
= \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_M tr(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A), \tag{12}
\end{equation}
where $k \in \mathbb{R}$ is a coupling constant, $A$ denotes the pull-back to $M$ of the gauge potential $\omega$ by a local section of $P$ and $F = F_\omega = d\omega$ is the gauge field on $M$ corresponding to the gauge potential $A$. A local expression for (11) is given by
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{A}_S = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_M e^{\alpha_\beta\gamma} tr(A_\alpha \partial_\beta A_\gamma + \frac{2}{3} A_\alpha A_\beta A_\gamma), \tag{13}
\end{equation}
where $A_\alpha = A^a_\alpha T_a$ are the components of the gauge potential with respect to the local coordinates $\{x_\alpha\}$, $\{T_a\}$ is a basis of the Lie algebra $su(n)$ in the fundamental representation and $e^{\alpha_\beta\gamma}$ is the totally skew-symmetric Levi-Civita symbol with $e^{123} = 1$. We take the basis $\{T_a\}$ with the normalization
\begin{equation}
tr(T_a T_b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab}, \tag{14}
\end{equation}
where $\delta_{ab}$ is the Kronecker $\delta$ function. Let $g \in G$ be a gauge transformation regarded (locally) as a function from $M$ to $SU(n)$ and define the 1-form $\theta$ by
\begin{equation}
\theta = g^{-1}dg = g^{-1} \partial_\mu g dx^\mu. \tag{15}
\end{equation}
Then the gauge transformation $A^g$ of $A$ by $g$ has the local expression
\begin{equation}
A^g_\mu = g^{-1} A_\mu g + g^{-1} \partial_\mu g. \tag{16}
\end{equation}
In the physics literature, the connected component of the identity, $G_{id} \subset G$ is called the group of small gauge transformations. A gauge transformation not belonging to $G_{id}$ is called a large gauge transformation. By a direct calculation, one can show that the Chern-Simons action is invariant under small gauge transformations, i.e.
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{A}_S(A^g) = \mathcal{A}_S(A), \ \forall g \in G_{id}.
\end{equation}
Under a large gauge transformation $g$ the action (13) transforms as follows:

$$A_{CS}(A^g) = A_{CS}(A) + 2\pi k AWZ,$$

where

$$AWZ := \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_M e^{\alpha \beta \gamma} \text{tr} (\theta_\alpha \theta_\beta \theta_\gamma)$$

is the \textbf{Wess-Zumino action functional}. It can be shown that the Wess-Zumino functional is integer valued and hence, if the Chern-Simons coupling constant $k$ is taken to be an integer, then we have

$$e^{iA_{CS}(A^g)} = e^{iA_{CS}(A)}.$$

The integer $k$ is called the \textbf{level} of the corresponding Chern-Simons theory. It follows that the path integral quantization of the Chern-Simons model is gauge-invariant. This conclusion holds more generally for any compact simple group if the coupling constant $c(G)$ is chosen appropriately. The action is manifestly covariant since the integral involved in its definition is independent of the metric on $M$. It is in this sense that the Chern-Simons theory is a topological field theory. We will consider this aspect of the Chern-Simons theory later.

In general, the Chern-Simons action is defined on the space $A_{P(M,G)}$ of all gauge potentials on the principal bundle $P(M,G)$. But when $M$ is 3-dimensional $P$ is trivial (in a non-canonical way). We fix a trivialization to write $P(M,G) = M \times G$ and write $A_M$ for $A_{P(M,G)}$. Then the group of gauge transformations $G_P$ can be identified with the group of smooth functions from $M$ to $G$ and we denote it simply by $G_M$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the transformation law (16) implies that the Chern-Simons action descends to the quotient $B_M = A_M / G_M$ as a function with values in $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. We denote this function by $f_{CS}$, i.e.

$$f_{CS} : B_M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \text{ is defined by } [\omega] \mapsto A_{CS}(\omega), \; \forall [\omega] = \omega G_M \in B_M.$$

The field equations of the Chern-Simons theory are obtained by setting the first variation of the action to zero as

$$\delta A_{CS} = 0.$$

We shall discuss two approaches to this calculation. Consider first a one parameter family $c(t)$ of connections on $P$ with $c(0) = \omega$ and $\dot{c}(0) = \alpha$. Differentiating the action $A_{CS}(c(t))$ with respect to $t$ and noting that differentiation commutes with integration and the $tr$ operator, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} A_{CS}(c(t)) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M tr (2\dot{c}(t) \wedge dc(t) + 2(\dot{c}(t) \wedge c(t) \wedge c(t)))$$
\[
\delta A_{CS} = \frac{d}{dt} A_{CS}(c(t))|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M <\dot{c}(t), \ast F_\omega >
\]
where the inner product on the right is as defined in Definition 2.1. It follows that
\[
\delta A_{CS} = \frac{d}{dt} A_{CS}(c(t))|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M <\alpha, \ast F_\omega >.
\] (19)
Since \(\alpha\) can be chosen arbitrarily, the field equations are given by
\[
\ast F_\omega = 0 \text{ or equivalently } F_\omega = 0.
\] (20)
Alternatively, one can start with the local coordinate expression of equation (13) as follows
\[
A_{CS} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_M \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} tr(A_\alpha \partial_\beta A_\gamma + \frac{2}{3} A_\alpha A_\beta A_\gamma)
= \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_M \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} tr(A_\alpha^a \partial_\beta A_\gamma^c T_a T_b + \frac{2}{3} A_\alpha^a A_\beta^b A_\gamma^c T_a T_b T_c)
\]
and find the field equations by using the variational equation
\[
\frac{\delta A_{CS}}{\delta A_\beta^a} = 0.
\] (21)
This method brings out the role of commutation relations and the structure constants of the Lie algebra \(su(n)\) as well as the boundary conditions used in the integration by parts in the course of calculating the variation of the action. The result of this calculation gives
\[
\frac{\delta A_{CS}}{\delta A_\beta^a} = \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_M \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} (\partial_\beta A_\alpha^c + A_\gamma^b A_\beta^a f_{abc})
\] (22)
where \(f_{abc}\) are the structure constants of \(su(n)\) with respect to the basis \(T_a\).
The integrand on the right hand side of the equation (22) is just the local coordinate expression of \(\ast F_A\), the dual of the curvature, and hence leads to the same field equations.

The calculations leading to the field equations (20) also show that the gradient vector field of the function \(f_{CS}\) is given by
\[
\text{grad } f_{CS} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ast F
\] (23)
The gradient flow of \(f_{CS}\) plays a fundamental role in the definition of Floer homology. The solutions of the field equations (20) are called the Chern-Simons connections. They are precisely the flat connections. In the next
paragraph we discuss flat connections on a manifold $N$ and their relation to the homomorphisms of the fundamental group $\pi_1(N)$ into the gauge group.

**Flat connections**

Let $H$ be a compact Lie group and $Q(N,H)$ be a principal bundle with structure group $H$ over a compact Riemannian manifold $N$. A connection $\omega$ on $Q$ is said to be **flat** if its curvature is zero, i.e. $F_\omega = 0$. The pair $(Q,\omega)$ is called a **flat bundle**. Let $\Omega(N,x)$ be the loop space at $x \in N$. Recall that the horizontal lift $h_u$ of $c \in \Omega(N,x)$ to $u \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ determines a unique element of $H$. Thus we have the map

$$h_u : \Omega(N,x) \to H.$$ 

It is easy to see that $\omega$ flat implies that this map $h_u$ depends only on the homotopy class of the loop $c$ and hence induces a map (also denoted by $h_u$)

$$h_u : \pi_1(N,x) \to H.$$ 

It is this map that is related to the Bohm-Aharonov effect. It can be shown that the map $h_u$ is a homomorphism of groups. The group $H$ acts on the set $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(N),H)$ by conjugation sending $h_u$ to $g^{-1}h_u g = h_{ug}$. Thus a flat bundle $(Q,\omega)$ determines an element of the quotient $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(N),H)/H$. If $a \in \mathcal{G}(Q)$, the group of gauge transformations of $Q$, then $a \cdot \omega$ is also a flat connection on $Q$ and determines the same element of $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(N),H)/H$. Conversely, let $f \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(N),H)$ and let $(U,q)$ be the universal covering of $N$. Then $U$ is a principal bundle over $N$ with structure group $\pi_1(N)$. Define $Q := U \times_f H$ to be the bundle associated to $U$ by the action $f$ with standard fiber $H$. It can be shown that $Q$ admits a natural flat connection and that $f$ and $g^{-1}fg$, $g \in H$, determine isomorphic flat bundles. Thus the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_f(N,H)$ of flat $H$-bundles over $N$ can be identified with the set $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(N),H)/H$. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_f(N,H)$ and the set $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(N),H)$ have a rich mathematical structure which has been extensively studied in the particular case when $N$ is a compact Riemann surface [3].

The **flat connection deformation complex** is the generalized deRham sequence with the usual differential $d$ replaced by the covariant differential $d^\omega$. The fact that in this case it is a complex follows from the observation that $\omega$ flat implies $d^\omega \circ d^\omega = 0$. By rolling up this complex, we can consider the rolled up deformation operator $d^\omega + \delta^\omega : A^{ev} \to A^{odd}$. By the index theorem, we have

$$\text{Ind}(d^\omega + \delta^\omega) = \chi(N)\dim H$$

and hence
where \( b_i \) is the dimension of the \( i \)-th cohomology of the deformation complex. Both sides are identically zero for odd \( n \). For even \( n \), the formula can be used to obtain some information on the virtual dimension of \( \mathcal{M}_f \) (= \( b_1 \)). For example, if \( N = \Sigma_g \) is a Riemann surface of genus \( g > 1 \), then \( \chi(\Sigma_g) = -2g + 2 \), while, by Hodge duality, \( b_0 = b_2 = 0 \) at an irreducible connection. Thus, equation (24) gives

\[-b_1 = -(2g - 2)\dim H. \]

From this it follows that

\[ \dim \mathcal{M}_f(\Sigma_g, H) = \dim \mathcal{M}_f = (2g - 2)\dim H. \tag{25} \]

In even dimensions greater than 2, the higher cohomology groups provide additional obstructions to smoothability of \( \mathcal{M}_f \). For example, for \( n = 4 \), Hodge duality implies that \( b_0 = b_4 \) and \( b_1 = b_3 \) and (24) gives

\[ b_1 = b_0 + (b_2 - \chi(\Sigma_g)\dim H)/2. \]

Equation (25) shows that \( \dim \mathcal{M}_f \) is even. Identifying the first cohomology \( H^1(\Lambda(\mathcal{M}, \text{adh}), d\omega) \) of the deformation complex with the tangent space \( T_{\omega}\mathcal{M}_f \) to \( \mathcal{M}_f \), the intersection form defines a map \( \iota_\omega : T_{\omega}\mathcal{M}_f \times T_{\omega}\mathcal{M}_f \to \mathbb{R} \) by

\[ \iota_\omega(X, Y) = \int_{\Sigma_g} X \wedge Y, \quad X, Y \in T_{\omega}\mathcal{M}_f. \tag{26} \]

The map \( \iota_\omega \) is skew-symmetric and bilinear. The map

\[ \iota : \omega \mapsto \iota_\omega, \quad \forall \omega \in \mathcal{M}_f, \tag{27} \]

defines a 2-form \( \iota \) on \( \mathcal{M}_f \). If \( \mathbf{h} \) admits an \( H \)-invariant inner product, then this 2-form \( \iota \) is closed and non-degenerate and hence defines a symplectic structure on \( \mathcal{M}_f \). It can be shown that, for a Riemann surface with \( H = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \), the form \( \iota \), restricted to the Teichmüller space, agrees with the well-known Weil-Petersson form.

We now discuss an interesting physical interpretation of the symplectic manifold \((\mathcal{M}_f(\Sigma_g, H), \iota)\). Consider a Chern-Simons theory on the principal bundle \( P(\mathcal{M}, H) \) over the 2+1-dimensional space-time manifold \( M = \Sigma_g \times \mathbb{R} \) with gauge group \( H \) and with time independent gauge potentials and gauge transformations. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) (resp. \( \mathcal{H} \)) denote the space (resp. group) of these gauge connections (resp. transformations). It can be shown that the curvature \( F_\omega \) defines an \( \mathcal{H} \)-equivariant moment map

\[ \mu : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{LH} \cong \Lambda^1(\mathcal{M}, \text{adP}), \quad \text{by} \quad \omega \mapsto * F_\omega, \]
where \( \mathcal{LH} \) is the Lie algebra of \( \mathcal{H} \). The zero set \( \mu^{-1}(0) \) of this map is precisely the set of flat connections and hence

\[
\mathcal{M}_f \cong \mu^{-1}(0)/\mathcal{H} := A//\mathcal{H}
\]

is the reduced phase space of the theory, in the sense of the Marsden-Weinstein reduction. We call \( A//\mathcal{H} \) the symplectic quotient of \( A \) by \( \mathcal{H} \). Marsden-Weinstein reduction and symplectic quotient are fundamental constructions in geometrical mechanics and geometric quantization. They also arise in many other mathematical applications.

A situation similar to that described above, also arises in the geometric formulation of canonical quantization of field theories. One proceeds by analogy with the geometric quantization of finite dimensional systems. For example, \( Q = A//\mathcal{H} \) can be taken as the configuration space and \( T^*Q \) as the corresponding phase space. The associated Hilbert space is obtained as the space of \( L^2 \) sections of a complex line bundle over \( Q \). For physical reasons this bundle is taken to be flat. Inequivalent flat \( U(1) \)-bundles are said to correspond to distinct sectors of the theory. Thus we see that at least formally these sectors are parametrized by the moduli space

\[
\mathcal{M}_f(Q,U(1)) \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1(Q),U(1))/U(1) \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1(Q),U(1))
\]

since \( U(1) \) acts trivially on \( \text{Hom}(\pi_1(Q),U(1)) \).

We note that the Chern-Simons theory has been extended by Witten to the cases when the gauge group is finite and when it the complexification of compact real gauge groups [17, 81]. While there are some similarities between these theories and the standard CS theory, there are major differences in the corresponding TQFTs. New invariants of some hyperbolic 3-manifolds have recently been obtained by considering the complex gauge groups leading to the concept of arithmetic TQFT by Zagier and collaborators (see arXiv:0903.2427v1 [hep-th]). See also Dijkgraaf and Fuji arXiv:0903.2084 [hep-th] and Gukov and Witten arXiv:0808.1305 [hep-th].

5 Casson invariant and Flat Connections

Let \( Y \) be a homology 3-sphere. Let \( D_1, D_2 \) be two unitary, unimodular representations of \( \pi_1(Y) \) in \( \mathbb{C}^2 \). We say that they are equivalent if they are conjugate under the natural \( SU(2) \)-action on \( \mathbb{C}^2 \), i.e.

\[
D_2(g) = S^{-1}D_1(g)S, \quad \forall g \in \pi_1(Y), \quad S \in SU(2).
\]

Let us denote by \( \mathcal{R}(Y) \) the set of equivalence classes of such representations. It is customary to write
The set \( \mathcal{R}(Y) \) can be given the structure of a compact, real algebraic variety. It is called the \( SU(2) \)-representation variety of \( Y \). Let \( \mathcal{R}^*(Y) \) be the class of irreducible representations. Fixing an orientation of \( Y \), Casson showed how to assign a sign \( s(\alpha) \) to each element \( \alpha \in \mathcal{R}^*(Y) \). He showed that the set \( \mathcal{R}^*(Y) \) is 0-dimensional and compact and hence finite. Casson defined a numerical invariant of \( Y \) by counting the signed number of elements of \( \mathcal{R}^*(Y) \) by

\[
c(Y) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^*(Y)} s(\alpha).1
\]

The integer \( c(Y) \) is called the **Casson invariant** of \( Y \).

**Theorem 1** The Casson invariant \( c(Y) \) is well defined up to sign for any homology sphere \( Y \) and satisfies the following properties:

i) \( c(-Y) = -c(Y) \),

ii) \( c(X \# Y) = c(X) + c(Y) \), \( X \) a homology sphere,

iii) \( c(Y)/2 \equiv \rho(Y) \mod 2 \), \( \rho \) Rokhlin invariant.

We now give a gauge theory description of \( \mathcal{R}(Y) \) leading to Taubes’ theorem. In [71] Taubes gives a new interpretation of the Casson invariant \( c(Y) \) of an oriented homology 3-sphere \( Y \), which is defined above in terms of the signed count of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of \( \pi_1(Y) \) into \( SU(2) \). As indicated above, this space can be identified with the moduli space \( \mathcal{M}_f(Y, SU(2)) \) of flat connections in the trivial \( SU(2) \)-bundle over \( Y \). Recall that this is also the space of solutions of the Chern-Simons field equations (20) The map \( F : \omega \mapsto F_\omega \) defines a natural 1-form on \( A/G \) and the zeros of this form are just the flat connections. We note that since \( A/G \) is infinite dimensional, it is necessary to use suitable Fredholm perturbations to get simple zeros and to count them with appropriate signs. Let \( Z \) denote the set of zeros of the perturbed vector field and let \( s(\alpha) \) be the sign of \( \alpha \in Z \).

Taubes shows that \( Z \) is contained in a compact set and that

\[
c(Y) = \sum_{\alpha \in Z} s(\alpha).1
\]

The right hand side of this equation can be interpreted as the index of a vector field in the infinite dimensional setting. The classical Poincaré-Hopf theorem can also be generalized to interpret the index as Euler characteristic.

A natural question to ask is if this Euler characteristic comes from some homology theory? An affirmative answer is provided by Floer’s instanton homology. We discuss it in the next section.

Another approach to Casson’s invariant involves symplectic geometry and topology. We conclude this section with a brief indication of this approach.

Let \( Y_+ \cup_{\Sigma_g} Y_- \) be a Heegaard splitting of \( Y \) along the Riemann surface \( \Sigma_g \) of genus \( g \). The space \( \mathcal{R}(\Sigma_g) \) of conjugacy classes of representations of \( \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \)
into $SU(2)$ can be identified with the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_f(\Sigma_g, SU(2))$ of flat connections. This identification endows it with a natural symplectic structure which makes it into a $(6g - 6)$-dimensional symplectic manifold. The representations which extend to $Y_+$ (resp. $Y_-$) form a $(3g - 3)$-dimensional Lagrangian submanifold of $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma_g)$ which we denote by $\mathcal{R}(Y_+)$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}(Y_-)$). Casson’s invariant is then obtained from the intersection number of the Lagrangian submanifolds $\mathcal{R}(Y_+)$ and $\mathcal{R}(Y_-)$ in the symplectic manifold $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma_g)$. How the Floer homology of $Y$ fits into this scheme seems to be unknown at this time.

6 Fukaya-Floer Homology

The idea of instanton tunnelling and the corresponding Witten complex was extended by Floer to do Morse theory on the infinite dimensional moduli space of gauge potentials on a homology 3-sphere $Y$ and to define new topological invariants of $Y$. Fukaya has generalized this work to apply to arbitrary oriented 3-manifolds. We shall refer to the invariants of Floer and Fukaya collectively as Fukaya-Floer Homology. Fukaya-Floer Homology associates to an oriented, connected, closed, smooth 3-dimensional manifold $Y$, a family of $\mathbb{Z}_8$-graded instanton homology groups $FF_n(Y)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_8$. We begin by introducing Floer’s original definition, which requires $Y$ to be a homology 3-sphere. Let $\mathcal{R}(Y)$ be the $SU(2)$-representation variety of $Y$ as defined in (29) and let $\mathcal{R}^*(Y)$ be the class of irreducible representations. We say that $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^*(Y)$ is a regular representation if

$$H^1(Y, ad(\alpha)) = 0. \quad (31)$$

We identify $\mathcal{R}(Y)$ with the space of flat or Chern-Simons connections on $Y$. The Chern-Simons functional has non-degenerate Hessian at $\alpha$ if $\alpha$ is regular. Fix a trivialization $P$ of the given $SU(2)$-bundle over $Y$. Using the trivial connection $\theta$ on $P = Y \times SU(2)$ as a background connection on $Y$, we can identify the space of connections $A_Y$ with the space of sections of $\Lambda^1(Y) \otimes su(2)$. In what follows we shall consider a suitable Sobolev completion of this space and continue to denote it by $A_Y$.

Let $c : I \to A_Y$ be a path from $\alpha$ to $\theta$. The family of connections $c(t)$ on $Y$ can be identified as a connection $A$ on $Y \times I$. Using this connection we can rewrite the Chern-Simons action (11) as follows

$$A_{CS} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{Y \times I} tr(F_A \wedge F_A). \quad (32)$$

We note that the integrand corresponds to the second Chern class of the pull-back of the trivial $SU(2)$-bundle over $Y$ to $Y \times I$. Recall that the critical points of the Chern-Simons action are the flat connections. The gauge group
$G_Y$ acts on $A_{CS} : A \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$A_{CS}(\alpha^g) = A_{CS}(\alpha) + \deg(g), \ g \in G_Y.$$ 

It follows that $A_{CS}$ descends to $B_Y := A_Y / G_Y$ as a map $f_{CS} : B_Y \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and we can take $\mathcal{R}(Y) \subset B_Y$ as the critical set of $f_{CS}$. The gradient flow of this function is given by the equation

$$\frac{\partial c(t)}{\partial t} = *_{Y} F_{c(t)}. \quad (33)$$

Since $Y$ is a homology 3-sphere, the critical points of the flow of $\text{grad} \ f_{CS}$ and the set of reducible connections intersect at a single point, the trivial connection $\theta$. If all the critical points of the flow are regular then it is a Morse-Smale flow. If not, one can perturb the function $f_{CS}$ to get a Morse function.

In general the representation space $\mathcal{R}^*(Y) \subset B_Y$ contains degenerate critical points of the Chern-Simons function $f_{CS}$. In this case Floer defines a set of perturbations of $f_{CS}$ as follows. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\bigvee_{i=1}^{m} S^1$ be a bouquet of $m$ copies of the circle $S^1$. Let $\Gamma_m$ be the set of maps

$$\gamma : \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{m} S^1 \times D^2 \to Y$$

such that the restrictions

$$\gamma_x : \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{m} S^1 \times \{x\} \to Y \text{ and } \gamma_i : S^1 \times D^2 \to Y$$

are smooth embeddings for each $x \in D^2$ and for each $i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Let $\hat{\gamma}_x$ denote the family of holonomy maps

$$\hat{\gamma}_x : A_Y \to SU(2) \times \cdots \times SU(2), \ x \in D^2.$$ 

The holonomy is conjugated under the action of the group of gauge transformations and we continue to denote by $\hat{\gamma}_x$ the induced map on the quotient $B_Y = A_Y / G$. Let $F_m$ denote the set of smooth functions

$$h : \underbrace{SU(2) \times \cdots \times SU(2)}_{m \text{ times}} \to \mathbb{R}$$

which are invariant under the adjoint action of $SU(2)$. Floer’s set of perturbations $\Pi$ is defined as

$$\Pi := \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_m \times F_m.$$
Floer proves that for each $(\gamma, h) \in \Pi$ the function 

\[ h_\gamma : B_\gamma \to \mathbb{R} \text{ defined by } h_\gamma(\alpha) = \int_{\gamma \times \mathbb{R}} h(\gamma_t(\alpha)) \]

is a smooth function and that for a dense subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{R}(Y) \times \Pi$ the critical points of the perturbed function 

\[ f_{(\gamma, h)} := f_{CS} + h \]

are non-degenerate and the corresponding moduli space decomposes into smooth, oriented manifolds of regular trajectories of the gradient flow of the function $f_{(\gamma, h)}$ with respect to a generic metric $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(Y)$. Furthermore, the homology groups of the perturbed chain complex are independent of the choice of perturbation in $\mathcal{P}$. We shall assume that this has been done. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be two critical points of the function $f_{CS}$. Considering the spectral flow (denoted by $sf$) from $\alpha$ to $\beta$ we obtain the moduli space $M(\alpha, \beta)$ as the moduli space of self-dual connections on $Y \times \mathbb{R}$ which are asymptotic to $\alpha$ and $\beta$ (as $t \to \pm \infty$). Let $M_j^2(\alpha, \beta)$ denote the component of dimension $j$ in $M(\alpha, \beta)$. There is a natural action of $\mathbb{R}$ on $M(\alpha, \beta)$. Let $\dot{M}_j^2(\alpha, \beta)$ denote the component of dimension $j-1$ in $M(\alpha, \beta)/\mathbb{R}$. Let $\#\dot{M}_j^1(\alpha, \beta)$ denote the signed sum of the number of points in $\dot{M}_j^1(\alpha, \beta)$. Floer defines the Morse index of $\alpha$ by considering the spectral flow from $\alpha$ to the trivial connection $\theta$. It can be shown that the spectral flow and hence the Morse index are defined modulo 8. Now define the chain groups by 

\[ \mathcal{R}_n(Y) = \mathbb{Z}\{ \alpha \in \mathcal{R}^*(Y) \mid sf(\alpha) = n \}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_8 \]

and define the boundary operator $\partial$ 

\[ \partial : \mathcal{R}_n(Y) \to \mathcal{R}_{n-1}(Y) \]

by 

\[ \partial \alpha = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{R}_{n-1}(Y)} \#\dot{M}_j^1(\alpha, \beta) \beta. \quad (34) \]

It can be shown that $\partial^2 = 0$ and hence $(\mathcal{R}(Y), \partial)$ is a complex. This complex can be thought of as an infinite dimensional generalization [21] of Witten's instanton tunneling and we will call it the **Floer-Witten Complex** of the pair $(Y, SU(2))$. Since the spectral flow and hence the dimensions of the components of $M(\alpha, \beta)$ are congruent modulo 8, this complex defines the Floer homology groups $FH_j(Y)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_8$, where $j$ is the spectral flow of $\alpha$ to $\theta$ modulo 8. If $r_j$ denotes the rank of the Floer homology group $FH_j(Y)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_8$, then we can define the corresponding Euler characteristic $\chi_F(Y)$ by 

\[ \chi_F(Y) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_8} (-1)^j r_j. \]
Combining this with Taubes’ interpretation of the Casson invariant \( c(Y) \) we get

\[
c(Y) = \chi_F(Y) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_8} (-1)^j r_j. \tag{35}
\]

An important feature of Floer’s instanton homology is that it can be regarded as a functor from the category of homology 3-spheres with morphisms given by oriented cobordism, to the category of graded abelian groups. Let \( M \) be a smooth, oriented cobordism from \( Y_1 \) to \( Y_2 \) so that \( \partial M = Y_2 - Y_1 \). By a careful analysis of instantons on \( M \), Floer showed \([20]\) that \( M \) induces a graded homomorphism

\[
M_j : FH_j(Y_1) \to FH_{j+b(M)}(Y_2), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_8, \tag{36}
\]

where

\[
b(M) = 3(b_1(M) - b_2(M)). \tag{37}
\]

Then the homomorphisms induced by cobordism has the following functorial properties.

\[
(Y \times \mathbb{R})_j = id, \tag{38}
\]

\[
(MN)_j = M_{j+b(N)}N_j. \tag{39}
\]

An algorithm for computing the Floer homology groups for Seifert-fibered homology 3-spheres with three exceptional fibers (or orbits) has been discussed in \([19]\).

In addition to these invariants of 3-manifolds and the linking number, there are several other invariants of knots and links in 3-manifolds. We introduce them in the next section and study their field theory interpretations in the later sections.

### 7 Knot Polynomials

In the second half of the nineteenth century, a systematic study of knots in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) was made by Tait. He was motivated by Kelvin’s theory of atoms modelled on knotted vortex tubes of ether. Tait classified the knots in terms of the crossing number of a plane projection and made a number of observations about some general properties of knots which have come to be known as the “Tait conjectures”. Recall that a knot \( \kappa \) in \( S^3 \) is an embedding of the circle \( S^1 \) and that a link is a disjoint union of knots. A link diagram of \( \kappa \) is a plane projection with crossings marked as over or under. By changing a link diagram at one crossing we can obtain three diagrams corresponding to links \( \kappa_+ \), \( \kappa \) and \( \kappa_- \).
In the 1920s, Alexander gave an algorithm for computing a polynomial invariant $A_\kappa(q)$ of a knot $\kappa$, called the **Alexander polynomial**, by using its projection on a plane. He also gave its topological interpretation as an annihilator of a certain cohomology module associated to the knot $\kappa$. In the 1960s, Conway defined his polynomial invariant and gave its relation to the Alexander polynomial. This polynomial is called the **Alexander-Conway polynomial** or simply the Conway polynomial. The Alexander-Conway polynomial of an oriented link $L$ is denoted by $\nabla_L(z)$ or simply by $\nabla(z)$ when $L$ is fixed. We denote the corresponding polynomials of $L_+$, $L_-$ and $L_0$ by $\nabla_+, \nabla_-$ and $\nabla_0$ respectively. The Alexander-Conway polynomial is uniquely determined by the following simple set of axioms.

**AC1.** Let $L$ and $L'$ be two oriented links which are ambient isotopic. Then

$$\nabla_{L'}(z) = \nabla_L(z)$$

**AC2.** Let $S^1$ be the standard unknotted circle embedded in $S^3$. It is usually referred to as the **unknot** and is denoted by $\mathcal{O}$. Then

$$\nabla_\mathcal{O}(z) = 1.$$  

**AC3.** The polynomial satisfies the following **skein relation**

$$\nabla_+(z) - \nabla_-(z) = z \nabla_0(z).$$

We note that the original Alexander polynomial $\Delta_L$ is related to the Alexander-Conway polynomial by the relation

$$\Delta_L(t) = \nabla_L(t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}).$$

Despite these and other major advances in knot theory, the Tait conjectures remained unsettled for more than a century after their formulation. Then in the 1980s, Jones discovered his polynomial invariant $V_\kappa(q)$, called the **Jones polynomial**, while studying Von Neumann algebras and gave its interpretation in terms of statistical mechanics. These new polynomial invariants have led to the proofs of most of the Tait conjectures. As with the earlier invariants, Jones’ definition of his polynomial invariants is algebraic and combinatorial in nature and was based on representations of the braid groups and related Hecke algebras. The Jones polynomial $V_\kappa(t)$ of $\kappa$ is a Laurent polynomial in $t$ (polynomial in $t$ and $t^{-1}$) which is uniquely determined by a simple set of properties similar to the axioms for the Alexander-Conway polynomial. More generally, the Jones polynomial can be defined for any oriented link $L$ as a Laurent polynomial in $t^{1/2}$. Reversing the orientation of all components of $L$ leaves $V_L$ unchanged. In particular, $V_\kappa$ does not depend on the orientation of the knot $\kappa$. For a fixed link, we denote the Jones polynomial simply by $V$. Recall that there are 3 standard ways to change a link diagram at a crossing point. The Jones polynomials of the corresponding links are denoted by...
$V_+, V$ and $V_0$ respectively. Then the Jones polynomial is characterized by the following properties:

JO1. Let $\kappa$ and $\kappa'$ be two oriented links which are ambient isotopic. Then

$$V_{\kappa'}(t) = V_{\kappa}(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

JO2. Let $O$ denote the unknot. Then

$$V_O(t) = 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

JO3. The polynomial satisfies the following skein relation

$$t^{-1}V_+ - tV = (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})V_0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

An important property of the Jones polynomial that is not shared by the Alexander-Conway polynomial is its ability to distinguish between a knot and its mirror image. Let $\kappa_m$ be the mirror image of the knot $\kappa$. Then

$$V_{\kappa_m}(t) = V_{\kappa}(t^{-1}) \neq V_{\kappa}(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (46)

Since the Jones polynomial is not symmetric in $t$ and $t^{-1}$. Soon after Jones' discovery a two variable polynomial generalizing $V$ was found by several mathematicians. It is called the HOMFLY polynomial and is denoted by $P$. The HOMFLY polynomial $P(\alpha, z)$ satisfies the following skein relation

$$\alpha P_+ - \alpha^{-1}P = zP_0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (47)

If we put $\alpha = t$ and $z = (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})$ in equation (47) we get the skein relation for the original Jones polynomial $V$. If we put $\alpha = 1$ we get the skein relation for the Alexander-Conway polynomial.

Knots and links in $\mathbb{R}^3$ can also be obtained by using braids. A braid on $n$ strands (or with $n$ strings or simply an $n$-braid) can be thought of as a set of $n$ pairwise disjoint strings joining $n$ distinct points in one plane with $n$ distinct points in a parallel plane in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The set of equivalence classes of $n$-braids is denoted by $B_n$. A braid is called elementary if only two neighboring strings cross. We denote by $\sigma_i$ the elementary braid where the $i$-th string crosses over the $(i + 1)$-th string.

Theorem (M. Artin): The set $B_n$ with multiplication operation induced by concatenation of braids is a group generated by the elementary braids $\sigma_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ subject to the braid relations

$$\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}, \hspace{0.5cm} 1 \leq i \leq n - 2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (48)

and the far commutativity relations

$$\sigma_i\sigma_j = \sigma_j\sigma_i, \hspace{0.5cm} 1 \leq i, j \leq n - 1 \text{ and } |i - j| > 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (49)
The closure of a braid $b$ obtained by gluing the endpoints is a link denoted by $c(b)$. A classical theorem of Alexander shows that the closure map from the set of braids to the set of links is surjective, i.e., any link (and, in particular, knot) is the closure of some braid. Moreover, if braids $b$ and $b'$ are equivalent, then the links $c(b)$ and $c(b')$ are equivalent. There are several descriptions of the braid group leading to various approaches to the study of its representations and invariants of links. For example, $B_n$ is isomorphic to the fundamental group of the configuration space of $n$ distinct points in the plane. The action of $B_n$ on the homology of the configuration space is related to the representations of certain Hecke algebras leading to invariants of links such as the Jones polynomial that we have discussed earlier. The group $B_n$ is also isomorphic to the mapping class group of the $n$-punctured disc. This definition was recently used by Krammer and Bigelow in showing the linearity of $B_n$ over the ring $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}]$ of Laurent polynomials in two variables.

8 Categorification of Knot Polynomials

We begin by recalling that a categorification of an invariant $I$ is the construction of a suitable (co)homology $H^*$ such that its Euler characteristic $\chi(H^*)$ (the alternating sum of the ranks of (co)homology groups) equals $I$. Historically, the Euler characteristic was defined and understood well before the advent of algebraic topology. Theorema Egregium of Gauss and the closely related Gauss-Bonnet theorem and its generalization by Chern give a geometric interpretation of the Euler characteristic $\chi(M)$ of a manifold $M$. They can be regarded as precursors of Chern-Weil theory as well as index theory. Categorification $\chi(H^*(M))$ of this Euler characteristic $\chi(M)$ by various (co)homology theories $H^*(M)$ came much later. A well known recent example that we have discussed is the categorification of the Casson invariant by the Fukaya-Floer homology. Categorification of quantum invariants such as Knot Polynomials requires the use of quantum Euler characteristic and multi-graded knot homologies.

Recently Khovanov [29] has obtained a categorification of the Jones polynomial $V_\kappa(q)$ by constructing a bi-graded $sl(2)$-homology $H_{i,j}$ determined by the knot $\kappa$. It is called the Khovanov homology of the knot $\kappa$ and is denoted by $KH(\kappa)$. The Khovanov polynomial $Kh_\kappa(t,q)$ is defined by

$$Kh_\kappa(t,q) = \sum_{i,j} t^i q^j \dim H_{i,j}.$$ 

It can be thought of as a two variable generalization of the Poincaré polynomial. The quantum or graded Euler characteristic of the Khovanov homology equals the Jones polynomial, i.e.
Khovanov’s construction follows Kauffman’s state-sum model of the link $L$ and his alternative definition of the Jones polynomial. Let $\hat{L}$ be a regular projection of $L$ with $n = n_+ + n_-$ labelled crossings. At each crossing we can define two resolutions or states, the vertical or 1-state and horizontal or 0-state. Thus there are $2^n$ total resolutions of $\hat{L}$ which can be put into one to one correspondence with the vertices of an $n$-dimensional unit cube. For each vertex $x$ let $|x|$ be the sum of its coordinates and let $c(x)$ be the number of disjoint circles in the resolution $\hat{L}_x$ of $\hat{L}$ determined by $x$. Kauffman’s state-sum expression for the non-normalized Jones polynomial $\hat{V}(L)$ can be written as follows:

$$\hat{V}(L) = (-1)^{n-n_-} q^{n_+} \sum_{|x|} (q + q^{-1})^{c(x)}.$$  (50)

Dividing this by the unknot value $(q + q^{-1})$ gives the usual normalized Jones polynomial $V(L)$. The Khovanov complex is constructed as follows. Let $V$ be a graded vector space over a fixed ground field $K$, generated by two basis vectors $v_+$ with respective degrees $\pm 1$. The total resolution associates to each vertex $x$ a one dimensional manifold $M_x$ consisting of $c(x)$ disjoint circles. We can construct a $(1+1)$-dimensional TQFT (along the lines of Atiyah-Segal axioms discussed in the next section) for each edge of the cube as follows. If $xy$ is an edge of the cube we can get a pair of pants cobordism from $M_x$ to $M_y$ by noting that a circle at $x$ can split into two at $y$ or two circles at $x$ can fuse into one at $y$. If a circle goes to a circle than the cylinder provides the cobordism. To the manifold $M_x$ at each vertex $x$ we associate the graded vector space

$$V_x(L) := V^{|x|} \{ |x| \},$$  (51)

where $\{ k \}$ is the degree shift by $k$. We define the Frobenius structure (see the book [34] by Kock for Frobenius algebras and their relation to TQFT) on $V$ as follows. Multiplication $m: V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ is defined by

$$m(v_+ \otimes v_+) = v_+, \quad m(v_+ \otimes v_-) = v, \quad m(v_- \otimes v_+) = v, \quad m(v_- \otimes v_-) = 0.$$

Co-multiplication $\Delta: V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ is defined by

$$\Delta(v_+) = v_+ \otimes v_+ + v_+ \otimes v_-, \quad \Delta(v_-) = v_- \otimes v_-.$$

Thus $v_+$ is the unit. The co-unit $\delta \in V^*$ is defined by mapping $v_+$ to 0 and $v$ to 1 in the base field. The $r$-th chain group $C_r(L)$ in the Khovanov complex is the direct sum of all vector spaces $V_x(L)$, where $|x| = r$, and the differential is defined by the Frobenius structure. Thus
We remark that the TQFT corresponds to the Frobenius algebra structure on $V$ defined above. The $r$-th homology group of the Khovanov complex is denoted by $KH_r$. Khovanov has proved that the homology is independent of the various choices made in defining it. Thus we have

**Theorem 2** The homology groups $KH_r$ are link invariants. In particular, the Khovanov polynomial

$$Kh_L(t, q) = \sum_j t^j \dim_q(KH_j)$$

is a link invariant that specializes to the non-normalized Jones polynomial. The Khovanov polynomial is strictly stronger than the Jones polynomial.

We note that the knots $9_{42}$ and $10_{125}$ are chiral. Their chirality is detected by the Khovanov polynomial but not by the Jones polynomial. Also there are several pairs of knots with the same Jones polynomials but different Khovanov polynomials. For example $(5_1, 10_{132})$ is such a pair.

### 8.1 Categorification of $V(3_1)$

Using equations (51) and (52) and the algebra structure on $V$ the calculation of the Khovanov complex can be reduced to an algorithm. A computer program implementing such an algorithm is discussed in [6]. A table of Khovanov polynomials for knots and links up to 11 crossings is also given there. We now illustrate Khovanov's categorification of the Jones polynomial of the right handed trefoil knot $3_1$. For the standard diagram of the trefoil, $n = n_+ = 3$ and $n_+ = 0$. The quantum dimensions of the non-zero terms of the Khovanov complex with the shift factor included are given by

$$C_0 = (q + q^{-1})^2, C_1 = 3q(q + q^{-1}), C_2 = 3q^2(q + q^{-1})^2, C_3 = q^3(q + q^{-1})^3.$$  \hspace{1cm} (53)

The non-normalized Jones polynomial can be obtained from (53) or directly from (50) giving

$$\hat{V}(L) = (q + q^3 + q^5 - q^9)$$

The normalized or standard Jones polynomial is then given by

$$V(q) = (q + q^3 + q^5 - q^9)/(q + q^{-1}) = q^2 + q^6 - q^8.$$  \hspace{1cm} (54)

By direct computation or using the program in [6] we obtain the following formula for the Khovanov polynomial of the trefoil

$$Kh(t, q) = q + q^3 + t^2q^5 + t^3q^9, Kh(-1, q) = \chi_q = \hat{V}(L).$$
Based on computations using the program described in [6], Khovanov, Garoufoulidis and Bar-Natan (BKG) have formulated some conjectures on the structure of Khovanov polynomials over different base fields. We now state these conjectures.

**The BKG Conjectures:** For any prime knot $\kappa$, there exists an even integer $s = s(\kappa)$ and a polynomial $Kh_{\kappa}(t, q)$ with only non-negative coefficients such that

1. Over the base field $K = \mathbb{Q}$,
   \[
   Kh_{\kappa}(t, q) = q^{-1}[1 + q^2 + (1 + tq^4)Kh_{\kappa}(t, q)]
   \]
2. Over the base field $K = \mathbb{Z}$,
   \[
   Kh_{\kappa}(t, q) = q^{-1}(1 + q^2)[1 + (1 + tq^2)Kh_{\kappa}(t, q)]
   \]
3. Moreover, if the $\kappa$ is alternating, then $s(\kappa)$ is the signature of the knot and $Kh_{\kappa}(t, q)$ contains only powers of $tq^2$.

The conjectured results are in agreement with all the known values of the Khovanov polynomials.

If $S \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ is an oriented surface cobordism between links $L_1$ and $L_2$, then it induces a homomorphism of Khovanov homologies of links $L_1$ and $L_2$. These homomorphisms define a functor from the category of link cobordisms to the category of bigraded abelian groups. Khovanov homology extends to colored links (i.e. oriented links with components labelled by irreducible finite dimensional representations of $sl(2)$) to give a categorification of the colored Jones polynomial. Khovanov and Rozansky have defined an $sl(n)$-homology for links colored by either the defining representation or its dual. This gives categorification of the specialization of the HOMFLY polynomial $P(\alpha, q)$ with $a = q^a$. The sequence of such specializations for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ would categorify the two variable HOMFLY polynomial $P(\alpha, q)$. For $n = 0$ the theory coincides with the Heegaard Floer homology of Ozsváth and Szabó [57].

In the 1990s Reshetikhin, Turaev and other mathematicians obtained several quantum invariants of triples $(\mathfrak{g}, L, M)$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple Lie algebra, $L \subset M$ is an oriented, framed link with components labelled by irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $M$ is a 2-framed 3-manifold. In particular, there are polynomial invariants $< L >$ that take values in $\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}, q]$. Khovanov has conjectured that at least for some classes of Lie algebras (e.g. simply-laced) there exists a bigraded homology theory of labelled links such that the polynomial invariant $< L >$ is the quantum Euler characteristic of this homology. It should define a functor from the category of framed link cobordisms to the category of bigraded abelian groups. In particular, the homology of the unknot labelled by an irreducible representation $U$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ should be a Frobenius algebra of dimension $dim(U)$.
9 Topological Quantum Field Theory

Quantization of classical fields is an area of fundamental importance in modern mathematical physics. Although there is no satisfactory mathematical theory of quantization of classical dynamical systems or fields, physicists have developed several methods of quantization that can be applied to specific problems. Most successful among these is QED (Quantum Electrodynamics), the theory of quantization of electromagnetic fields. The physical significance of electromagnetic fields is thus well understood at both the classical and the quantum level. Electromagnetic theory is the prototype of classical gauge theories. It is therefore, natural to try to extend the methods of QED to the quantization of other gauge field theories. The methods of quantization may be broadly classified as non-perturbative and perturbative. The literature pertaining to each of these areas is vast. See for example [17, 66, 70]. Our aim in this section is to discuss some aspects of a new area of research in quantum field theory, namely, topological quantum field theory (or TQFT for short). Ideas from TQFT have already led to new ways of looking at old topological invariants as well as to surprising new invariants.

9.1 Atiyah-Segal axioms for TQFT

In 2 and 3 dimensional geometric topology, Conformal Field Theory (CFT) methods have proved to be useful. An attempt to put the CFT on a firm mathematical foundation was begun by Segal in [68] by proposing a set of axioms for CFT. CFT is a two dimensional theory and it was necessary to modify and generalize these axioms to apply to topological field theory in any dimension. We now discuss briefly these TQFT axioms following Atiyah. The Atiyah-Segal axioms for TQFT (see, for example, [2], [40]) arose from an attempt to give a mathematical formulation of the non-perturbative aspects of quantum field theory in general and to develop, in particular, computational tools for the Feynman path integrals that are fundamental in the Hamiltonian approach to Witten's topological QFT. The most spectacular application of the non-perturbative methods has been in the definition and calculation of the invariants of 3-manifolds with or without links and knots. In most physical applications however, it is the perturbative calculations that are predominantly used. Recently, perturbative aspects of the Chern-Simons theory in the context of TQFT have been considered in [5]. For other approaches to the invariants of 3-manifolds see [30, 32, 55, 72, 74].

Let \( C_n \) denote the category of compact, oriented, smooth \( n \)-dimensional manifolds with morphism given by oriented cobordism. Let \( V_C \) denote the category of finite dimensional complex vector spaces. An \( (n+1) \)-dimensional TQFT is a functor \( \mathcal{T} \) from the category \( C_n \) to the category \( V_C \) which satisfies the following axioms.

Let \( C_n \) denote the category of compact, oriented, smooth \( n \)-dimensional manifolds with morphism given by oriented cobordism. Let \( V_C \) denote the category of finite dimensional complex vector spaces. An \( (n+1) \)-dimensional TQFT is a functor \( \mathcal{T} \) from the category \( C_n \) to the category \( V_C \) which satisfies the following axioms.
A1. Let \( -\Sigma \) denote the manifold \( \Sigma \) with the opposite orientation of \( \Sigma \) and let \( V^* \) be the dual vector space of \( V \in \mathcal{V}_C \). Then
\[
T(-\Sigma) = (T(\Sigma))^*, \quad \forall \Sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n.
\]

A2. Let \( \sqcup \) denote disjoint union. Then
\[
T(\Sigma_1 \sqcup \Sigma_2) = T(\Sigma_1) \otimes T(\Sigma_2), \quad \forall \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 \in \mathcal{C}_n.
\]

A3. Let \( Y_i : \Sigma_i \to \Sigma_{i+1} \), \( i = 1, 2 \) be morphisms. Then
\[
T(Y_1 Y_2) = T(Y_2) T(Y_1) \in Hom(T(\Sigma_1), T(\Sigma_3)),
\]
where \( Y_1 Y_2 \) denotes the morphism given by composite cobordism \( Y_1 \sqcup \Sigma_2 Y_2 \).

A4. Let \( \emptyset_n \) be the empty \( n \)-dimensional manifold. Then
\[
T(\emptyset_n) = \mathbb{C}.
\]

A5. For every \( \Sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n \)
\[
T(\Sigma \times [0,1]) : T(\Sigma) \to T(\Sigma)
\]
is the identity endomorphism.

We note that if \( Y \) is a compact, oriented, smooth \((n+1)\)-manifold with compact, oriented, smooth boundary \( \Sigma \), then
\[
T(Y) : T(\phi_n) \to T(\Sigma)
\]
is uniquely determined by the image of the basis vector \( 1 \in \mathbb{C} \equiv T(\phi_n) \). In this case the vector \( T(Y) : 1 \in T(\Sigma) \) is often denoted simply by \( T(Y) \) also. In particular, if \( Y \) is closed, then
\[
T(Y) : T(\phi_n) \to T(\phi_n) \text{ and } T(Y) : 1 \in T(\phi_n) \equiv \mathbb{C}
\]
is a complex number which turns out to be an invariant of \( Y \). Axiom A3 suggests a way of obtaining this invariant by a cut and paste operation on \( Y \) as follows. Let \( Y = Y_1 \sqcup_{\Sigma} Y_2 \) so that \( Y_1 \) (resp. \( Y_2 \)) has boundary \( \Sigma \) (resp. \(-\Sigma\)). Then we have
\[
T(Y) : 1 = \langle T(Y_1) : 1, T(Y_2) : 1 \rangle, \quad (55)
\]
where \( \langle , \rangle \) is the pairing between the dual vector spaces \( T(\Sigma) \) and \( T(-\Sigma) = (T(\Sigma))^* \). Equation (55) is often referred to as a gluing formula. Such gluing formulas are characteristic of TQFT. They also arise in Fukaya-Floer homology theory of 3-manifolds, Floer-Donaldson theory of 4-manifold invariants as well as in 2-dimensional conformal field theory. For specific applications the Atiyah axioms need to be refined, supplemented and modified. For example, one may replace the category \( \mathcal{V}_C \) of complex vector spaces by
the category of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. This is in fact, the situation of the \((2+1)\)-dimensional Jones-Witten theory. In this case it is natural to require the following additional axiom.

A6. Let \(Y\) be a compact oriented 3-manifold with \(\partial Y = \Sigma_1 \cup (-\Sigma_2)\). Then the linear transformations

\[ T(Y) : T(\Sigma_1) \to T(\Sigma_2) \text{ and } T(-Y) : T(\Sigma_2) \to T(\Sigma_1) \]

are mutually adjoint.

For a closed 3-manifold \(Y\) the axiom A6 implies that

\[ T(-Y) = \overline{T(Y)} \in \mathbb{C} \]

It is this property that is at the heart of the result that in general, the Jones polynomials of a knot and its mirror image are different, i.e.

\[ V_k(t) \neq V_{\kappa_m}(t), \]

where \(\kappa_m\) is the mirror image of the knot \(\kappa\).

An important example of a \((3+1)\)-dimensional TQFT is provided by the Floer-Donaldson theory. The functor \(T\) goes from the category \(C\) of compact, oriented Homology 3-spheres to the category of \(\mathbb{Z}_8\)-graded abelian groups. It is defined by

\[ T : Y \to HF_*(Y), \quad Y \in C. \]

For a compact, oriented, 4-manifold \(M\) with \(\partial M = Y\), \(T(M)\) is defined to be the vector \(q(M, Y)\)

\[ q(M, Y) := (q_1(M, Y), q_2(M, Y), \ldots), \]

where the components \(q_k(M, Y)\) are the relative polynomial invariants of Donaldson defined on the relative homology group \(H_2(M, Y; \mathbb{Z})\).

The axioms also suggest algebraic approaches to TQFT. The most widely studied of these approaches are based on quantum groups, operator algebras, modular tensor categories and Jones’ theory of subfactors. See, for example, books [38, 34, 35, 73], and articles [72, 74, 75]. Turaev and Viro gave an algebraic construction of such a TQFT by using the quantum \(6j\)-symbols for the quantum group \(U_q(sl_2)\) at roots of unity. Ocneanu [56] starts with a special type of subfactor to generate the data which can be used with the Turaev and Viro construction.

The correspondence between geometric (topological) and algebraic structures has played a fundamental role in the development of modern mathematics. Its roots can be traced back to the classical work of Descartes. Recent developments in low dimensional geometric topology have raised this correspondence to a new level bringing in ever more exotic algebraic structures such as quantum groups, vertex algebras, monoidal and higher categories.
This broad area is now often referred to as quantum topology. See, for example, [84, 43].

### 9.2 Quantum Observables

A quantum field theory may be considered as an assignment of the quantum expectation \( \langle \Phi \rangle_\mu \) to each gauge invariant function \( \Phi : \mathcal{A}(M) \to \mathbb{C} \), where \( \mathcal{A}(M) \) is the space of gauge potentials for a given gauge group \( G \) and the base manifold (space-time) \( M \). \( \Phi \) is called a quantum observable or simply an observable in quantum field theory. Note that the invariance of \( \Phi \) under the group of gauge transformations \( G \) implies that \( \Phi \) descends to a function on the moduli space \( \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}/G \) of gauge equivalence classes of gauge potentials. In the Feynman path integral approach to quantization the quantum or vacuum expectation \( \langle \Phi \rangle_\mu \) of an observable is given by the following expression.

\[
\langle \Phi \rangle_\mu = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{B}(M)} e^{S_\mu(\omega)\Phi(\omega)}d\mathcal{B}}{\int_{\mathcal{B}(M)} e^{S_\mu(\omega)}d\mathcal{B}},
\]

where \( e^{S_\mu}d\mathcal{B} \) is a suitably defined measure on \( \mathcal{B}(M) \). It is customary to express the quantum expectation \( \langle \Phi \rangle_\mu \) in terms of the partition function \( Z_\mu \) defined by

\[
Z_\mu(\Phi) := \int_{\mathcal{B}(M)} e^{S_\mu(\omega)\Phi(\omega)}d\mathcal{B}.
\]

Thus we can write

\[
\langle \Phi \rangle_\mu = \frac{Z_\mu(\Phi)}{Z_\mu(1)}.
\]

In the above equations we have written the quantum expectation as \( \langle \Phi \rangle_\mu \) to indicate explicitly that, in fact, we have a one-parameter family of quantum expectations indexed by the coupling constant \( \mu \) in the action. There are several examples of gauge invariant functions. For example, primary characteristic classes evaluated on suitable homology cycles give an important family of gauge invariant functions. The instanton number and the Yang-Mills action are also gauge invariant functions. Another important example is the Wilson loop functional well known in the physics literature.

**Wilson loop functional:** Let \( \rho \) denote a representation of \( G \) on \( V \). Let \( \alpha \in \Omega(M, x_0) \) denote a loop at \( x_0 \in M \). Let \( \pi : P(M, G) \to M \) be the canonical projection and let \( p \in \pi^{-1}(x_0) \). If \( \omega \) is a connection on the principal bundle \( P(M, G) \), then the parallel translation along \( \alpha \) maps the fiber \( \pi^{-1}(x_0) \) into itself. Let \( \alpha_\omega : \pi^{-1}(x_0) \to \pi^{-1}(x_0) \) denote this map. Since \( G \) acts transitively on the fibers, \( \exists g_\omega \in G \) such that \( \alpha_\omega(p) = pg_\omega \). Now define

\[
W_{\rho, \alpha}(\omega) := \text{Tr} [\rho(g_\omega)] \forall \omega \in \mathcal{A}.
\]
We note that \( g_\omega \) and hence \( \rho(g_\omega) \), change by conjugation if, instead of \( p \), we choose another point in the fiber \( \pi^{-1}(x_0) \), but the trace remains unchanged. We call these \( W_{\rho,\alpha} \) the Wilson loop functionals associated to the representation \( \rho \) and the loop \( \alpha \). In the particular case when \( \rho = Ad \) the adjoint representation of \( G \) on \( \mathfrak{g} \), our constructions reduce to those considered in physics. If \( L = (\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_n) \) is an oriented link with component knots \( \kappa_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) and if \( \rho_i \) is a representation of the gauge group associated to \( \kappa_i \), then we can define the quantum observable \( W_{\rho,L} \) associated to the pair \((L,\rho)\), where \( \rho = (\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n) \) by

\[
W_{\rho,L} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} W_{\rho_i,\kappa_i}.
\]

### 9.3 Link Invariants

In the 1980s, Jones discovered his polynomial invariant \( V_\kappa(q) \), called the **Jones polynomial**, while studying Von Neumann algebras and gave its interpretation in terms of statistical mechanics. These new polynomial invariants have led to the proofs of most of the Tait conjectures. As with most of the earlier invariants, Jones’ definition of his polynomial invariants is algebraic and combinatorial in nature and was based on representations of the braid groups and related Hecke algebras. The Jones polynomial \( V_\kappa(t) \) of \( \kappa \) is a Laurent polynomial in \( t \) (polynomial in \( t \) and \( t^{-1} \)) which is uniquely determined by a simple set of properties similar to the well known axioms for the Alexander-Conway polynomial. More generally, the Jones polynomial can be defined for any oriented link \( L \) as a Laurent polynomial in \( t^{1/2} \).

A geometrical interpretation of the Jones’ polynomial invariant of links was provided by Witten by applying ideas from QFT to the Chern-Simons Lagrangian constructed from the Chern-Simons action

\[
\mathcal{A}_{CS} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_M tr(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A),
\]

where \( A \) is the gauge potential of the \( SU(n) \) connection \( \omega \). Chern-Simons action is not gauge invariant. Under a gauge transformation \( g \) the action transforms as follows:

\[
\mathcal{A}_{CS}(A^g) = \mathcal{A}_{CS}(A) + 2\pi k A_{WZ},
\]

where \( A_{WZ} \) is the **Wess-Zumino action functional**. It can be shown that the Wess-Zumino functional is integer valued and hence, if the Chern-Simons coupling constant \( k \) is taken to be an integer, then the partition function In fact, Witten’s model allows us to consider the knot and link invariants in any compact 3-manifold \( M \). \( Z \) defined by
\[
Z(\Phi) := \int_{\mathcal{B}(M)} e^{iA_{CS}(\omega)\Phi(\omega)DB}
\]

is gauge invariant. We take for \( \Phi \) the Wilson loop functional \( W_{\rho,L} \), where \( \rho \) is a representation of \( SU(n) \) and \( L \) is the link under consideration.

We denote the Jones polynomial of \( L \) simply by \( V \). Recall that there are 3 standard ways to change a link diagram at a crossing point. The Jones polynomials of the corresponding links are denoted by \( V_+ \), \( V \) and \( V_0 \) respectively. To verify the defining relations for the Jones’ polynomial of a link \( L \) in \( S^3 \), Witten [80] starts by considering the Wilson loop functionals for the associated links \( L_+, L \), and \( L_0 \). For a framed link \( L \), we denote by \( <L> \) the expectation value of the corresponding Wilson loop functional for the Chern-Simons theory of level \( k \) and gauge group \( SU(n) \) and with \( \rho_i \) the fundamental representation for all \( i \). To verify the defining relations for the Jones’ polynomial of a link \( L \) in \( S^3 \), Witten considers the expectation values of the Wilson loop functionals for the associated links \( L_+, L_0 \) and obtains the relation

\[
\alpha <L_+> + \beta <L_0> + \gamma <L> = 0 \quad (61)
\]

where the coefficients \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) are given by the following expressions

\[
\alpha = -\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n(n+k)}\right), \quad (62)
\]

\[
\beta = -\exp\left(\frac{\pi i(2-n-n^2)}{n(n+k)}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{\pi i(2+n-n^2)}{n(n+k)}\right), \quad (63)
\]

\[
\gamma = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i(1-n^2)}{n(n+k)}\right). \quad (64)
\]

We note that the result makes essential use of 3-manifolds with boundary. The calculation of the coefficients \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) is closely related to the Verlinde fusion rules [76] and 2d conformal field theories. Substituting the values of \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) into equation (61) and cancelling a common factor \( \exp\left(\frac{\pi i(2-n^2)}{n(n+k)}\right) \), we get

\[
-t^{1/2} <L_+> + (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}) <L_0> + t^{-n/2} <L> = 0, \quad (65)
\]

where we have put

\[
t = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n+k}\right).
\]

This is equivalent to the following skein relation for the polynomial invariant \( V \) of the link

\[
t^{n/2}V_+ - t^{-n/2}V = (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})V_0 \quad (66)
\]

For \( SU(2) \) Chern-Simons theory, equation (66) is the skein relation that defines a variant of the original Jones’ polynomial. This variant also occurs in the work of Kirby and Melvin [31] where the invariants are studied by using
representation theory of certain Hopf algebras and the topology of framed links. It is not equivalent to the Jones polynomial. In an earlier work [49] I had observed that under the transformation $\sqrt{t} \rightarrow -1/\sqrt{t}$, it goes over into the equation which is the skein relation characterizing the Jones polynomial. The Jones polynomial belongs to a different family that corresponds to the negative values of the level. Note that the coefficients in the skein relation (66) are defined for positive values of the level $k$. To extend them to negative values of the level we must also note that the shift in $k$ by the dual Coxeter number would now change the level $-k$ to $-k-n$. If in equation (66) we now allow negative values of $n$ and take $t$ to be a formal variable, then the extended family includes both positive and negative levels.

Let $V^{(n)}$ denote the Jones-Witten polynomial corresponding to the skein relation (66), (with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$) then the family of polynomials $\{V^{(n)}\}$ can be shown to be equivalent to the two variable HOMFLY polynomial $P(\alpha, z)$ which satisfies the following skein relation

$$\alpha P_+ - \alpha^{-1} P = z P_0.$$  
(67)

If we put $\alpha = t$ and $z = (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})$ in equation (47) we get the skein relation for the original Jones polynomial $V$. If we put $\alpha = 1$ we get the skein relation for the Alexander-Conway polynomial.

To compare our results with those of Kirby and Melvin we note that they use $q$ to denote our $t$ and $t$ to denote its fourth root. They construct a modular Hopf algebra $U_t$ as a quotient of the Hopf algebra $U_q(sl(2, \mathbb{C}))$ which is the well known $q$-deformation of the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$. Jones polynomial and its extensions are obtained by studying the representations of the algebras $U_t$ and $U_q$.

9.4 WRT invariants

If $Z_k(1)$ exists, it provides a numerical invariant of $M$. For example, for $M = S^3$ and $G = SU(2)$, using the Chern-Simons action Witten obtains the following expression for this partition function as a function of the level $k$

$$Z_k(1) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k+2}} \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{k+2} \right).$$  
(68)

This partition function provides a new family of invariants for $M = S^3$, indexed by the level $k$. Such a partition function can be defined for a more general class of 3-manifolds and gauge groups. More precisely, let $G$ be a compact, simply connected, simple Lie group and let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $M$ be a 2-framed closed, oriented 3-manifold. We define the Witten invariant $T_{G,k}(M)$ of the triple $(M, G, k)$ by
where \( e^{iA_{cs}DB} \), is a suitable measure on \( B(M) \). We note that no precise definition of such a measure is available at this time and the definition is to be regarded as a formal expression. Indeed, one of the aims of TQFT is to make sense of such formal expressions. We define the normalized Witten invariant \( W_{G,k}(M) \) of a 2-framed, closed, oriented 3-manifold \( M \) by

\[
W_{G,k}(M) := \frac{T_{G,k}(M)}{T_{G,k}(S^3)}.
\]

If \( G \) is a compact, simply connected, simple Lie group and \( M, N \) be two 2-framed, closed, oriented 3-manifolds. Then we have the following results:

\[
T_{G,k}(S^2 \times S^1) = 1
\]

\[
T_{SU(2),k}(S^3) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k+2}} \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{k+2} \right)
\]

\[
W_{G,k}(M \# N) = W_{G,k}(M)W_{G,k}(N)
\]

If \( G \) is a compact simple group then the WRT invariant \( T_{G,k}(S^3) \) can be given in a closed form in terms of the root and weight lattices associated to \( G \). In particular, for \( G = SU(n) \) we get

\[
T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(k+n)(n-1)}} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[ 2\sin \left( \frac{j\pi}{k+n} \right) \right]^n.
\]

We will show later that this invariant can be expressed in terms of the generating function of topological string amplitudes in a closed string theory compactified on a suitable Calabi-Yau manifold. More generally, if a manifold \( M \) can be cut into pieces over which the CS path integral can be computed, then the gluing rules of TQFT can be applied to these pieces to find \( T \). Different ways of using such a cut and paste operation can lead to different ways of computing this invariant. Another method that is used in both the theoretical and experimental applications is the perturbative quantum field theory. The rules for perturbative expansion around classical solutions of field equations are well understood in physics. It is called the stationary phase approximation to the partition function. It leads to the asymptotic expansion in terms of a parameter depending on the coupling constants and the group. If \( c(G) \) is the dual Coxeter number of \( G \) then the asymptotic expansion is in terms of \( h = 2\pi i/(k + c(G)) \). This notation in TQFT is a reminder of the Planck’s constant used in physical field theories. The asymptotic expansion of \( \log(T) \) is then given by
\[
\log(T) = -b \log \hbar + \frac{a_0}{\hbar} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+1} h^n,
\]

where \(a_i\) are evaluated on Feynman diagrams with \(i\) loops. The expansion may be around any flat connection and the dependence of \(a_i\) the choice of connection may be explicitly indicated if necessary. For Chern-Simons theory the above perturbative expansion is also valid for non-compact groups. In his talk at this conference, Garofoulidis discussed the asymptotic expansion of the free energy associated to the LMO invariant of a 3-manifold and its many interesting properties (see Garofoulidis et al in these proceedings). I asked Stavros if he has looked at his expansion as a generating function for topological string moduli. I also asked a similar question to Don Zagier about the free energy expansion of Chern-Simons invariant with complex gauge group considered by Zagier et al in (arXiv:0903.2427v1 [hep-th]). Both of them told me that they had not considered this aspect. It seems that the general program of relating gauge theoretic and string theoretic invariants is still far from well formulated, even in the cases where explicit asymptotic expansions are available.

**CFT approach to WRT Invariants**

In [36] Kohno defines a family of invariants \(F_h(M)\) of a 3-manifold \(M\) by using its Heegaard decomposition along a Riemann surface \(\Sigma_g\) and representations of the mapping class group of \(\Sigma_g\). Kohno’s work makes essential use of ideas and results from conformal field theory. We now give a brief discussion of Kohno’s definition.

We begin by reviewing some information about the geometric topology of 3-manifolds and their Heegaard splittings. Recall that two compact 3-manifolds \(X_1, X_2\) with homeomorphic boundaries can be glued together along a homeomorphism \(f : \partial X_1 \to \partial X_2\) to obtain a closed 3-manifold \(X = X_1 \cup_f X_2\). If \(X_1, X_2\) are oriented with compatible orientations on the boundaries, then \(f\) can be taken to be either orientation preserving or reversing. Conversely, any closed orientable 3-manifold can be obtained by such a gluing procedure where each of the pieces is a special 3-manifold called a handlebody. Recall that a handlebody of genus \(g\) is an orientable 3-manifold obtained from gluing \(g\) copies of 1-handles \(D^2 \times [-1,1]\) to the 3-ball \(D^3\). The gluing homeomorphisms join the \(2g\) discs \(D^2 \times \{\pm 1\}\) to the \(2g\) pairwise disjoint 2-discs in \(\partial D^3 = S^2\) in such a way that the resulting manifold is orientable. The handlebodies \(H_1, H_2\) have the same genus and a common boundary \(H_1 \cap H_2 = \partial H_1 = \partial H_2\). Such a decomposition of a 3-manifold \(X\) is called a Heegaard splitting of \(X\) of genus \(g\). We say that \(X\) has Heegaard genus \(g\) if it has some Heegaard splitting of genus \(g\) but no Heegaard splitting of smaller genus. Given a Heegaard splitting of genus \(g\) of \(X\), there
exists an operation called **stabilization** which gives another Heegaard splitting of $X$ of genus $g + 1$. Two Heegaard splittings of $X$ are called **equivalent** if there exists a homeomorphism of $X$ onto itself taking one splitting into the other. Two Heegaard splittings of $X$ are called **stably equivalent** if they are equivalent after a finite number of stabilizations. A proof of the following theorem is given in [65].

**Theorem 3** Any two Heegaard splittings of a closed orientable 3-manifold $X$ are stably equivalent.

The **mapping class group** $\mathcal{M}(M)$ of a connected, compact, smooth surface $M$ is the quotient group of the group of diffeomorphisms $Diff(M)$ of $M$ modulo the group $Diff_0(M)$ of diffeomorphisms isotopic to the identity.

\[ \mathcal{M}(M) := \frac{Diff(M)}{Diff_0(M)} \]

If $M$ is oriented, then $\mathcal{M}(M)$ has a normal subgroup $\mathcal{M}^+(M)$ of index 2 consisting of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of $M$ modulo isotopies. The group $\mathcal{M}(M)$ can also be defined as $\pi_0(Diff(M))$. Smooth closed orientable surfaces $\Sigma_g$ are classified by their genus $g$ and in this case it is customary to denote $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ by $\mathcal{M}_g$. In the applications that we have in mind, it is this group $\mathcal{M}_g$ that we shall use. The group $\mathcal{M}_g$ is generated by **Dehn twists** along simple closed curves in $\Sigma_g$. Let $c$ be a simple closed curve in $\Sigma_g$ which forms one of the boundaries of an annulus. In local complex coordinate $z$ we can identify the annulus with $\{ z : 1 < |z| < 2 \}$ and the curve $c$ with $\{ z : |z| = 1 \}$. Then the Dehn twist $\tau_c$ along $c$ is an automorphism of $\Sigma_g$ which is the identity outside the annulus and in the annulus, is given by the formula

\[ \tau_c(re^{i\theta}) = re^{i(\theta + 2\pi (r-1))}, \text{ where } z = re^{i\theta}, 1 \leq r \leq 2, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi \]

Changing the curve $c$ by an isotopic curve or changing the annulus gives isotopic twists. However, twists in opposite directions define elements of $\mathcal{M}_g$ which are the inverses of each other. Note that any two homotopic simple closed curves on $\Sigma_g$ are isotopic. A useful description of $\mathcal{M}_g$ is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 4** Let $\Sigma_g$ be a smooth closed orientable surface of genus $g$. Then the group $\mathcal{M}_g$ is generated by the $3g - 1$ Dehn twists along the curves $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_k, 1 \leq i, j \leq g, 1 \leq k < g$ which are Poincaré dual to a basis of the first integral homology of $\Sigma_g$.

In [36] Kohno obtains a representation of the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_g$ in the space of conformal blocks which arise in conformal field theory. He then uses a special function for this representation and the stabilization to define a family of invariants $\Phi_k(M)$ of the 3-manifold $M$ which are independent of its stable Heegaard decomposition. Kohno obtains the following formulas:
\[ \Phi_k(S^2 \times S^1) = \left( \sqrt{\frac{2}{k+2}} \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{k+2} \right) \right)^1, \quad (74) \]

\[ \Phi_k(S^3) = 1, \quad (75) \]

\[ \Phi_k(M \# N) = \Phi_k(M) \cdot \Phi_k(N). \quad (76) \]

Kohno’s invariant coincides with the normalized Witten invariant with the
gauge group SU(2). Similar results were also obtained by Crane [16]. The
agreement of these results with those of Witten may be regarded as strong
evidence for the correctness of the TQFT calculations. In [36] Kohno also ob-
tains the Jones-Witten polynomial invariants for a framed colored link in a
3-manifold \( M \) by using representations of mapping class groups via conformal
field theory. In [37] the Jones-Witten polynomials are used to estimate the
tunnel number of knots and the Heegaard genus of a 3-manifold. The mon-
odromy of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation [33] plays a crucial role in
these calculations.

**WRT Invariants via Quantum Groups**

Shortly after the publication of Witten’s paper [80], Reshetikhin and Turaev
[62] gave a precise combinatorial definition of a new invariant by using the re-
presentation theory of quantum group \( U_q sl_2 \) at the root of unity \( q = e^{2\pi i/(k+2)} \).
The parameter \( q \) coincides with Witten’s \( SU(n) \) Chern-Simons theory param-
eter \( t \) when \( n = 2 \) and in this case the invariant of Reshetikhin and Turaev
is the same as the normalized Witten invariant. In view of this it is now
customary to call the normalized Witten invariant as Witten-Reshetikhin-
Turaev invariant or WRT invariant. We now discuss their construction in the
form given by Kirby and Melvin in [31].

Let \( U \) denote the universal enveloping algebra of \( sl(2, \mathbb{C}) \) and let \( U_h \) denote
the quantized universal enveloping algebra of formal power series in \( h \). Recall
that \( U \) is generated by \( X, Y, H \) subject to relations as in the algebra \( sl(2, \mathbb{C}) \),
i.e.

\[ [H, X] = 2X, \ [H, Y] = -2Y, \ [X, Y] = H. \]

In \( U_h \) the last relation is replaced by

\[ [X, Y] = \frac{\phi^H - s^H}{s - s^H}, \quad s = e^{h/2}. \]

It can be shown that \( U_h \) admits a Hopf algebra structure as a module over
the ring of formal power series. However, the presence of divergent series
make this algebra unsuitable for representation theory. We construct a finite
dimensional algebra by using \( U_h \). Define
\[K := e^\frac{\hbar H}{4} \text{ and } \tilde{K} := e^{\frac{\hbar H}{4}} = K^{-1}.\]

Fix an integer \(r > 1\) \((r = k + 2\) of the Witten formula\) and set \(q = e^{\frac{\hbar}{r}} = e^{2\pi i/r}\). We restrict this to a subalgebra over the ring of convergent power series in \(\hbar\) generated by \(X, Y, K, \tilde{K}\). This infinite dimensional algebra occurs in the work of Jimbo. We take its quotient by setting \(X^r = 0, Y^r = 0, K^{4r} = 1\).

It is the representations of this quotient algebra \(A\) that are used to define colored Jones polynomials and the WRT invariants. The algebra \(A\) is a finite dimensional complex algebra satisfying the relations

\[\tilde{K} = K^{-1}, \quad KX = sXK, \quad KY = iYK, \quad [X, Y] = \frac{K^2 - K^2}{s - \bar{s}}, \quad s = e^{\pi i/r}\]

There are irreducible \(A\)-modules \(V^i\) in each dimension \(i > 0\). If we put \(i = 2m + 1\), then \(V^i\) has a basis \(\{e_m, \ldots, e_{-m}\}\). The action of \(A\) on the basis vectors is given by

\[Xe_j = [m + j + 1]e_{j+1}, \quad Ye_j = [m - j + 1]e_{j-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad Ke_j = s\bar{s}e_j.\]

The \(A\)-modules \(V^i\) are self dual for \(0 < i < r\). The structure of their tensor products is similar to that in the classical case. The algebra \(A\) has the additional structure of a quasitriangular Hopf algebra with Drinfeld’s universal \(R\)-matrix \(R\) satisfying the Yang-Baxter equation. One has an explicit formula for \(R \in A \otimes A\) of the form

\[R = \sum c_{nab} X^a K^b \otimes Y^n K^b.\]

If \(V, W\) are \(A\)-modules, then \(R\) acts on \(V \otimes W\). Composing with the permutation operator we get the operator \(R^r : V \otimes W \to W \otimes V\). These are the operators used in the definition of our link invariants. Let \(L\) be a framed link with \(n\) components \(L_i\) colored by \(k = \{k_1, \ldots, k_n\}\). Let \(J_{L, k}\) be the corresponding colored Jones polynomial. The colors are restricted to lie in a family of irreducible modules \(V^i\), one for each dimension \(0 < i < r\). Let \(\sigma\) denote the signature of the linking matrix of \(L\). Define \(\tau_L\) by

\[\tau_L = \left(\frac{2\pi \sin(\pi/r)}{r}\right)^n e^{3(2\pi i/r)^2} \sum [k] J_{L, k},\]

where the sum is over all admissible colors. Every 3-manifold can be obtained by surgery on a link in \(S^3\). Two links give isomorphic manifolds if they are related by Kirby moves. It can be shown that the invariant \(\tau_L\) is preserved under Kirby moves and hence defines an invariant of the 3-manifold \(M_L\) obtained by surgery on \(L\). With suitable normalization it coincides with the
WRT invariant. WRT invariants do not belong to the class of polynomial invariants or other known 3-manifold invariants. They arose from topological quantum field theory applied to calculate the partition functions in the Chern-Simons gauge theory.

A number of other mathematicians have also obtained invariants that are closely related to the Witten invariant. The equivalence of these invariants defined by using different methods was a folk theorem until a complete proof was given by Piunikhin in [61]. Another approach to WRT invariants is via Hecke algebras and related special categories. A detailed construction of modular categories from Hecke algebras at roots of unity is given in [8]. For a special choice of the framing parameter, one recovers the Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants of 3-manifolds constructed from the representations of the quantum groups $U_q\mathfrak{sl}(N)$ by Reshetikhin, Turaev and Wenzl [62, 75, 77]. These invariants were constructed by Yokota [85] by using skein theory. As we have discussed earlier the quantum invariants were obtained by Witten [79] by using path integral quantization of Chern-Simons theory. In "Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-Manifolds" [73], Turaev showed that the idea of modular categories is fundamental in the construction of these invariants and that it plays an essential role in extending them to a Topological Quantum Field Theory. Since these early results, WRT invariants for several other manifolds and gauge groups have been obtained. We collect together some of these results below.

**Theorem 5** The WRT invariant for the lens space $L(p,q)$ in the canonical framing is given by

$$W_k(L(p,q)) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2p(k+2)}} e^{\frac{2\pi im}{p}} \sum_{\delta \in \{1,1\}} \sum_{n=1}^{p} \delta e^{\frac{k}{p}(n^{2}+\delta^{2})} e^{\frac{2\pi i n^{2}(k+2)}{p}} e^{\frac{2\pi i n^{2}(q+n)}{p}}$$

where $s = s(q,p)$ is the Dedekind sum defined by

$$s(q,p) := \frac{1}{4p} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \cot\left(\frac{\pi k}{p}\right) \cot\left(\frac{\pi kq}{p}\right).$$

In all of these the invariant is well defined only at roots of unity and perhaps near roots of unity if a perturbative expansion is possible. This situation occurs in the study of classical modular functions and Ramanujan’s mock theta functions. Ramanujan had introduced his mock theta functions in a letter to Hardy in 1920 (the famous last letter) to describe some power series in variable $q = e^{2\pi i z}, z \in \mathbb{C}$. He also wrote down (without proof, as was usual in his work) a number of identities involving these series which were completely verified only in 1988 by Hickerson [28]. Recently, Lawrence and Zagier have obtained several different formulas for the Witten invariant $W_{SU(2),k}(M)$ of the Poincaré homology sphere $M = \Sigma(2, 3, 5)$ in [41]. Using the work of Zwegers [86], they show how the Witten invariant can be extended...
from integral $k$ to rational $k$ and give its relation to the mock theta function.
In particular, they obtain the following fantastic formula, a la Ramanujan,
for the Witten invariant $W_{SU(2),k}(M)$ of the Poincaré homology sphere

$$W_{SU(2),k}(\Sigma(2,3,5)) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n (1 + x)(1 + x^2) \ldots (1 + x^{n-1})$$

where $x = e^{\pi i/(k+2)}$. We note that the series on the right hand side of this
formula terminates after $k + 2$ terms$^1$.

We have not discussed the Kauffman bracket polynomial or the theory
of skein modules in the study of 3-manifold invariants. An invariant that
combines these two ideas has been define in the following general setting. Let $R$
be a commutative ring and let $A$ be a fixed invertible element of $R$. Then
one can define a new invariant, $S_{2,\infty}(M; R, A)$, of an oriented 3-manifold
$M$ called the Kauffman bracket skein module. The theory of skein
modules is related to the theory of representations of quantum groups. This
connection should prove useful in developing the theory of quantum group
invariants which can be defined in terms of skein theory as well as by using
the theory of representations of quantum groups.

10 Chern-Simons and String Theory

The general question “what is the relationship between gauge theory and
string theory?” is not meaningful at this time. So I will follow the strong
admonition by Galileo against$^2$ “disputar lungamente delle massime questioni
senza conseguir verità nissuna”. However, interesting special cases where such
relationship can be established are emerging. For example, Witten$^3$ has
argued that Chern-Simons gauge theory on a 3-manifold $M$ can be viewed as
a string theory constructed by using a topological sigma model with target
space $T^* M$. The perturbation theory of this string will coincide with Chern/
Simons perturbation theory, in the form discussed by Axelrod and Singer
[4]. The coefficient of $k^{-r}$ in the perturbative expansion of $SU(n)$ theory in
powers of $1/k$ comes from Feynman diagrams with $r$ loops. Witten shows how
each diagram can be replaced by a Riemann surface $\Sigma$ of genus $g$ with $h$
holes (boundary components) with $g = (r - h + 1)/2$. Gauge theory would then give
an invariant $I_{g,h}(M)$ for every topological type of $\Sigma$. Witten shows that this
invariant would equal the corresponding string partition function $Z_{g,h}(M)$.

We now give an example of gauge theory to string theory correspondence
relating the non-perturbative WRT invariants in Chern-Simons theory with
gauge group $SU(n)$ and topological string amplitudes which generalize the

---

$^1$ I would like to thank Don Zagier for bringing this work to my attention
$^2$ lengthy discussions about the greatest questions that fail to lead to any truth whatever.
GW (Gromov-Witten) invariants of Calabi-Yau 3-folds following the work in [23, 1]. The passage from real 3 dimensional Chern-Simons theory to the 10 dimensional string theory and further onto the 11 dimensional M-theory can be schematically represented by the following:

\[ 3 + 3 = 6 \] (real symplectic 6-manifold)

\[ = 6 \] (conifold in \( \mathbb{C}^4 \))

\[ = 6 \] (Calabi-Yau manifold)

\[ = 10 - 4 \] (string compactification)

\[ = (11 - 1) - 4 \] (M-theory)

We now discuss the significance of the various terms of the above equation array. Recall that string amplitudes are computed on a 6-dimensional manifold which in the usual setting is a complex 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold obtained by string compactification. This is the most extensively studied model of passing from the 10-dimensional space of supersymmetric string theory to the usual 4-dimensional space-time manifold. However, in our work we do allow these so called extra dimensions to form an open or a symplectic Calabi-Yau manifold. We call these the generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds. The first line suggests that we consider open topological strings on such a generalized Calabi-Yau manifold, namely, the cotangent bundle \( T^* S^3 \), with Dirichlet boundary conditions on the zero section \( S^3 \). We can compute the open topological string amplitudes from the \( SU(n) \) Chern-Simons theory. Conifold transition [69] has the effect of closing up the holes in open strings to give closed strings on the Calabi-Yau manifold obtained by the usual string compactification from 10 dimensions. Thus we recover a topological gravity result starting from gauge theory. In fact, as we discussed earlier, Witten had anticipated such a gauge theory string theory correspondence almost ten years ago. Significance of the last line is based on the conjectured equivalence of M-theory compactified on \( S^1 \) to type IIA strings compactified on a Calabi-Yau threefold. We do not consider this aspect here. The crucial step that allows us to go from a real, non-compact, symplectic 6-manifold to a compact Calabi-Yau manifold is the conifold or geometric transition. Such a change of geometry and topology is expected to play an important role in other applications of string theory as well. A discussion of this example from physical point of view may be found in [1, 23].

### 10.1 Conifold Transition

To understand the relation of the WRT invariant of \( S^3 \) for \( SU(n) \) Chern-Simons theory with open and closed topological string amplitudes on “Calabi-Yau” manifolds we need to discuss the concept of conifold transition. From
the geometrical point of view this corresponds to symplectic surgery in six
dimensions. It replaces a vanishing Lagrangian 3-sphere by a symplectic $S^3$.
The starting point of the construction is the observation that $T^*S^3$ minus
its zero section is symplectomorphic to the cone $z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 + z_4^2 = 0$ minus
the origin in $\mathbb{C}^4$, where each manifold is taken with its standard symplectic
structure. The complex singularity at the origin can be smoothed out by the
manifold $M_\tau$ defined by $z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 + z_4^2 = \tau$ producing a Lagrangian $S^3$
vanishing cycle. There are also two so called small resolutions $M^\pm$ of the
singularity with exceptional set $\mathbb{C}P^1$.

They are defined by

$$M^\pm := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^4 \mid \frac{z_1 + iz_2}{z_3 \pm iz_4} = \frac{-z_3 \pm iz_4}{z_1 - iz_2} \right\}.$$ 

Note that $M_0 \backslash \{0\}$ is symplectomorphic to each of $M^\pm \backslash \mathbb{C}P^1$. Blowing up
the exceptional set $\mathbb{C}P^1 \subset M^\pm$ gives a resolution of the singularity which
can be expressed as a fiber bundle $F$ over $\mathbb{C}P^1$. Going from the fiber bundle
$T^*S^3$ over $S^3$ to the fiber bundle $F$ over $\mathbb{C}P^1$ is referred to in the physics
literature as the conifold transition. We note that the holomorphic automor-
phism of $\mathbb{C}^4$ given by $z_4 \mapsto -z_4$ switches the two small resolutions $M^\pm$ and
changes the orientation of $S^3$. Conifold transition can also be viewed as an
application of mirror symmetry to Calabi-Yau manifolds with singularities.
Such an interpretation requires the notion of symplectic Calabi-Yau mani-
folds and the corresponding enumerative geometry. The geometric structures
arising from the resolution of singularities in the conifold transition can also
be interpreted in terms of the symplectic quotient construction of Marsden
and Weinstein.

### 10.2 WRT Invariants and String Amplitudes

To find the relation between the large $n$ limit of $SU(n)$ Chern-Simons theory
on $S^3$ to a special topological string amplitude on a Calabi-Yau manifold we
begin by recalling the formula for the partition function (vacuum amplitude)
of the theory $T_{SU(n),k}(S^3)$ or simply $T$. Up to a phase, it is given by

$$T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(k+n)(n-1)}} \prod_{j=1}^n \left[ 2\sin \left( \frac{j\pi}{k+n} \right) \right]^n j.$$  \hspace{1cm} (77)

Let us denote by $F_{(g,h)}$ the amplitude of an open topological string theory
on $T^*S^3$ of a Riemann surface of genus $g$ with $h$ holes. Then the generating
function for the free energy can be expressed as
This can be compared directly with the result from Chern-Simons theory by expanding the log $T$ as a double power series in $\lambda$ and $n$.

Instead of that we use the conifold transition to get the topological amplitude for a closed string on a Calabi-Yau manifold. We want to obtain the large $n$ expansion of this amplitude in terms of parameters $\lambda$ and $\tau$ which are defined in terms of the Chern-Simons parameters by

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{k+n}, \quad \tau = n\lambda = \frac{2\pi n}{k+n}.$$  

The parameter $\lambda$ is the string coupling constant and $\tau$ is the ’t Hooft coupling $n\lambda$ of the Chern-Simons theory. The parameter $\tau$ entering in the string amplitude expansion has the geometric interpretation as the Kähler modulus of a blown up $S^2$ in the resolved $M^k$. If $F_g(\tau)$ denotes the amplitude for a closed string at genus $g$ then we have

$$F_g(\tau) = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \tau^h F_{(g,h)}$$  

So summing over the holes amounts to filling them up to give the closed string amplitude.

The large $n$ expansion of $T$ in terms of parameters $\lambda$ and $\tau$ is given by

$$T = \exp \left[ -\sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2g} 2^{F_g(\tau)} \right],$$  

where $F_g$ defined in (80) can be interpreted on the string side as the contribution of closed genus $g$ Riemann surfaces. For $g > 1$ the $F_g$ can be expressed in terms of the Euler characteristic $\chi_g$ and the Chern class $c_g$ of the Hodge bundle of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_g$ of Riemann surfaces of genus $g$ as follows

$$F_g = \int_{\mathcal{M}_g} c_g^3 1 - \frac{\chi_g}{(2g-3)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2g-3} e^{-n(\tau)}.$$  

The integral appearing in the formula for $F_g$ can be evaluated explicitly to give

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_g} c_g^3 1 = \frac{(-1)^g}{(2\pi)^{2g-2}} \frac{\Gamma(2g-2)\zeta(2g)}{\chi_g}. $$  

The Euler characteristic is given by the Harer-Zagier [27] formula

$$\chi_g = \frac{(-1)^g}{(2g)(2g-2)} B_{2g},$$
where $B_{2g}$ is the $(2g)$-th Bernoulli number. We omit the special formulas for the genus 0 and genus 1 cases. The formulas for $F_g$ for $g \geq 0$ coincide with those of the $g$-loop topological string amplitude on a suitable Calabi-Yau manifold. The change in geometry that leads to this calculation can be thought of as the result of coupling to gravity. Such a situation occurs in the quantization of Chern-Simons theory. Here the classical Lagrangian does not depend on the metric, however, coupling to the gravitational Chern-Simons term is necessary to make it TQFT.

We have mentioned the following four approaches that lead to the WRT invariants.

1. Witten’s QFT calculation of the Chern-Simons partition function
2. Quantum group (or Hopf algebraic) computations initiated by Reshetikhin and Turaev
3. Kohno’s special functions corresponding to representations of mapping class groups in the space of conformal blocks and a similar approach by Crane
4. open or closed string amplitudes in suitable Calabi-Yau manifolds

These methods can also be applied to obtain invariants of links, such as the Jones polynomial. Indeed, this was the objective of Witten’s original work. WRT invariants were a byproduct of this work. Their relation to topological strings came later.

The WRT to string theory correspondence has been extended by Gopakumar and Vafa (see, hep-th/9809187, 9812127) by using string theoretic arguments to show that the expectation value of the quantum observables defined by the Wilson loops in the Chern-Simons theory also has a similar interpretation in terms of a topological string amplitude. This leads them to conjecture a correspondence between certain knot invariants (such as the Jones polynomial) and Gromov-Witten type invariants of generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds. Gromov-Witten invariants of a Calabi-Yau 3-fold $X$ are in general rational numbers, since one has to get the weighted count by dividing by the order of automorphism groups. Using M-theory Gopakumar and Vafa have argued that the generating series $F_X$ of Gromov-Witten invariants in all degrees and all genera is determined by a set of integers $n(g, \beta)$. They give the following remarkable formula for $F_X$:

$$F_X(\lambda, q) = \sum_{g \geq 0} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} n(g, \beta)(2\sin(k\lambda/2))^g 2^k q^{k\beta},$$

where $\lambda$ is the string coupling constant and the first sum is taken over all nonzero elements $\beta$ in $H_2(X)$. We note that for a fixed genus there are only finitely many nonzero integers $n(g, \beta)$. A mathematical formulation of the Gopakumar-Vafa conjecture (GV conjecture) has been given in [58]. Special cases of the conjecture have been verified (see, for example [59] and references therein). In [42] a new geometric approach relating the Gromov-Witten invariants to equivariant index theory and 4-dimensional gauge theory has
been used to compute the string partition functions of some local Calabi-Yau spaces and to verify the GV conjecture for them.

A knot should correspond to a Lagrangian D-brane on the string side and the knot invariant would then give a suitably defined count of compact holomorphic curves with boundary on the D-brane. To understand a proposed proof, recall first that a categorification of an invariant $I$ is the construction of a suitable homology such that its Euler characteristic equals $I$. A well known example of this is Floer's categorification of the Casson invariant. We have already discussed earlier, Khovanov's categorification of the Jones polynomial $V_\kappa(q)$ by constructing a bi-graded $sl(2)$-homology $H_{i,j}$ determined by the knot $\kappa$. Its quantum or graded Euler characteristic equals the Jones polynomial, i.e.

$$V_\kappa(q) = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^i q^j \dim H_{i,j}.$$ 

Now let $L_\kappa$ be the Lagrangian submanifold corresponding to the knot $\kappa$ of a fixed Calabi-Yau space $X$. Let $r$ be a fixed relative integral homology class of the pair $(X, L_\kappa)$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{g,r}$ denote the moduli space of pairs $(\Sigma_g, A)$, where $\Sigma_g$ is a compact Riemann surface in the class $r$ with boundary $S^1$ and $A$ is a flat $U(1)$ connection on $\Sigma_g$. This data together with the cohomology groups $H^k(\mathcal{M}_{g,r})$ determines a tri-graded homology. It generalizes the Khovanov homology. Its Euler characteristic is a generating function for the BPS states' invariants in string theory and these can be used to obtain the Gromov-Witten invariants. Taubes has given a construction of the Lagrangians in the Gopakumar-Vafa conjecture. We note that counting holomorphic curves with boundary on a Lagrangian manifold was introduced by Floer in his work on the Arnold conjecture.

The tri-graded homology is expected to unify knot homologies of the Khovanov type as well as knot Floer homology constructed by Ozsváth and Szabó [57] which provides a categorification of the Alexander polynomial. Knot Floer homology is defined by counting pseudo-holomorphic curves and has no known combinatorial description. An explicit construction of a tri-graded homology for certain torus knots has been recently given by Dunfield, Gukov and Rasmussen [math.GT/0503662].

11 Yang-Mills, Gravity and Strings

Recall that in string theory, an elementary particle is identified with a vibrational mode of a string. Different particles correspond to different harmonics of vibration. The Feynman diagrams of the usual QFT are replaced by fat graphs or Riemann surfaces that are generated by moving strings splitting or joining together. The particle interactions described by these Feynman diagrams are built into the basic structure of string theory. The appearance
of Riemann surfaces explains the relation to conformal field theory. We have already discussed Witten’s argument relating gauge and string theories. It now forms a small part of the program of relating quantum group invariants and topological string amplitudes. In general, the string states are identified with fields. The ground state of the closed string turns out to be a massless spin two field which may be interpreted as a graviton. In the large distance limit, (at least at the lower loop levels) string theory includes the vacuum equations of Einstein’s general relativity theory. String theory avoids the ultraviolet divergences that appear in conventional attempts at quantizing gravity. In physically interesting string models one expects the string space to be a non-trivial bundle over a Lorentzian space-time $M$ with compact or non-compact fibers. Relating the usual Einstein’s equations with cosmological constant with the Yang-Mills equations requires the ten dimensional manifold $A^2(M)$ of differential forms of degree two. There are several differences between the Riemannian functionals used in theories of gravitation and the Yang-Mills functional used to study gauge field theories. The most important difference is that the Riemannian functionals are dependent on the bundle of frames of $M$ or its reductions, while the Yang-Mills functional can be defined on any principal bundle over $M$. However, we have the following interesting theorem [7].

**Theorem:** Let $(M,g)$ be a compact, 4-dimensional, Riemannian manifold. Let $A^2_+ (M)$ denote the bundle of self-dual 2-forms on $M$ with induced metric $G_+$. Then the Levi-Civita connection $\lambda_0$ on $M$ satisfies the Euclidean gravitational instanton equations if and only if the Levi-Civita connection $\lambda_{G_+}$ on $A^2_+ (M)$ satisfies the Yang-Mills instanton equations.

### Gravitational Field Equations

A geometric formulation of gravitational field equations is generally not in the tool kit of topologists. We review them as the full Einstein equations with energy-momentum tensor corresponding to the dilaton field appear in Perelman’s work on the Thurston geometrization conjecture. There are several ways of deriving Einstein’s gravitational field equations. For example, we can consider natural tensors satisfying the conditions that they contain derivatives of the fundamental (pseudo-metric) tensor up to order two and depend linearly on the second order derivatives. Then we obtain the tensor

$$c_1 R^{ij} + c_2 g^{ij} S + c_3 g^{ij},$$

where $R^{ij}$ are the components of the Ricci tensor $Ric$ and $S$ is the scalar curvature. Requiring this tensor to be divergenceless and using the Bianchi identities leads to the relation $c_1 + 2c_2 = 0$ between the constants $c_1, c_2, c_3$. Choosing $c_1 = 1$ and $c_3 = 0$ we obtain Einstein’s equations (without the
cosmological constant) which may be expressed as

$$E = -T$$ \hspace{1cm} (85)

where $E := Ric - \frac{1}{2} S g$ is the Einstein tensor and $T$ is an energy-momentum tensor on the space-time manifold which acts as the source term. Now the Bianchi identities satisfied by the curvature tensor imply that

$$\text{div } E := \nabla_i E^{i\bar{j}} = 0.$$

Hence, if Einstein’s equations (85) are satisfied, then for consistency we must have

$$\text{div } T = \nabla_i T^{i\bar{j}} = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (86)

Equation (86) is called the differential (or local) law of conservation of energy and momentum. However, integral (or global) conservation laws can be obtained by integrating equation (86) only if the space-time manifold admits Killing vectors. Thus equation (86) has no clear physical meaning, except in special cases. An interesting discussion of this point is given by Sachs and Wu [63].

Einstein was aware of the tentative nature of the right hand side of equation (85), but he believed strongly in the expression on the left hand side of (85). By taking the trace of both sides of equations (85) we are led to the condition

$$S = t$$ \hspace{1cm} (87)

where $t$ denotes the trace of the energy-momentum tensor. The physical meaning of this condition seems even more obscure than that of condition (86). If we modify equation (85) by adding the cosmological term $\Lambda g$ ($\Lambda$ is called the cosmological constant) to the left hand side of equation (85), we obtain Einstein’s equation with cosmological constant

$$E + \Lambda g = -T.$$ \hspace{1cm} (88)

This equation also leads to the consistency condition (86), but condition (87) is changed to

$$S = t + 4\Lambda.$$ \hspace{1cm} (89)

Using (89), equation (88) can be rewritten in the following form

$$K = -(T - \frac{1}{4} g),$$ \hspace{1cm} (90)

where

$$K = (Ric - \frac{1}{4} S g)$$ \hspace{1cm} (91)

is the trace-free part of the Ricci tensor of $g$. We call equations (90) generalized field equations of gravitation. We now show that these equations
arise naturally in a geometric formulation of Einstein’s equations. We begin by defining a tensor of curvature type.

Let $C$ be a tensor of type $(4,0)$ on $M$. We can regard $C$ as a quadrilinear mapping (pointwise) so that for each $x \in M$, $C_x$ can be identified with a multilinear map

$$C_x : T^*_x(M) \times T^*_x(M) \times T^*_x(M) \times T^*_x(M) \to \mathbb{R}.$$ 

We say that the tensor $C$ is of curvature type if $C_x$ satisfies the following conditions for each $x \in M$ and for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in T^*_x(M)$.

1. $C_x(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = -C_x(\beta, \alpha, \gamma, \delta)$;
2. $C_x(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = -C_x(\alpha, \beta, \delta, \gamma)$;
3. $C_x(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) + C_x(\alpha, \gamma, \delta, \beta) + C_x(\alpha, \delta, \gamma, \beta) = 0$.

From the above definition it follows that a tensor $C$ of curvature type also satisfies the following condition:

$$C_x(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = C_x(\gamma, \delta, \alpha, \beta), \forall x \in M.$$ 

We denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the space of all tensors of curvature type. The Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor $Rm$ is of curvature type. Indeed, the definition of tensors of curvature type is modelled after this fundamental example. Another important example of a tensor of curvature type is the tensor $G$ defined by

$$G_x(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = g_\times (\alpha, \gamma)g_\times (\beta, \delta) - g_\times (\alpha, \delta)g_\times (\beta, \gamma), \forall x \in M$$

(92) where $g$ is the fundamental or metric tensor of $M$.

We now define the curvature product of two symmetric tensors of type $(2,0)$ on $M$. It was introduced by the author in [44] and used in [46] to obtain a geometric formulation of Einstein’s equations.

Let $g$ and $T$ be two symmetric tensors of type $(2,0)$ on $M$. The curvature product of $g$ and $T$, denoted by $g \times T$, is a tensor of type $(4,0)$ defined by

$$(g \times T)_x(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) := \frac{1}{2} \left[ g(\alpha, \gamma)T(\beta, \delta) + g(\beta, \delta)T(\alpha, \gamma) - g(\alpha, \delta)T(\beta, \gamma) - g(\beta, \gamma)T(\alpha, \delta) \right],$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in T^*_x(M)$.

In the following proposition we collect together some important properties of the curvature product and tensors of curvature type.

**Proposition 6** Let $g$ and $T$ be two symmetric tensors of type $(2,0)$ on $M$ and let $C$ be a tensor of curvature type on $M$. Then we have the following:

1. $g \times T = T \times g$.
2. $g \times T$ is a tensor of curvature type.
3. $g \times g = G$, where $G$ is the tensor defined in (92).
4. $G_x$ induces a pseudo-inner product on $\Lambda^2_x(M), \forall x \in M$. 
5. $C_x$ induces a symmetric, linear transformation of $A^2_x(M), \forall x \in M$.

The orthogonal group $O(g)$ of the metric acts on the space $C$ and splits it into three irreducible subspaces of dimensions 10, 9, and 1. Under this splitting the Riemann curvature $Rm$ into three parts as follows:

$$Rm = W + c_1(K \times g) + c_2S(g \times g).$$

The ten dimensional part $W$ is the Weyl conformal curvature tensor. It splits further into its self-dual part $W_+$ and anti-dual part $W_-$ under the action of $SO(g)$. The part involving the trace-free Ricci tensor $K$ is 9 dimensional. All of these tensors occur in functionals on the space of metrics.

We denote the Hodge star operator on $A^2_x(M)$ by $J_x$. The fact that $M$ is a Lorentz 4-manifold implies that $J_x$ defines a complex structure on $A^2_x(M)$, $\forall x \in M$. Using this complex structure we can give a natural structure of a complex vector space to $A^2_x(M)$. Then we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 7** Let $U : A^2_x(M) \rightarrow A^2_x(M)$ be a real, linear transformation. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $L$ commutes with $J_x$.
2. $L$ is a complex linear transformation of the complex vector space $A^2_x(M)$.
3. The matrix of $L$ with respect to a $G_x$-orthonormal basis of $A^2_x(M)$ is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A, B$ are real $3 \times 3$ matrices.

We now define the gravitational tensor $W_{\text{gr}}$, of curvature type, which includes the source term. Let $M$ be a space-time manifold with fundamental tensor $g$ and let $T$ be a symmetric tensor of type $(2,0)$ on $M$. Then the gravitational tensor $W_{\text{gr}}$ is defined by

$$W_{\text{gr}} := Rm + g \times T,$$

where $Rm$ is the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor of type $(4,0)$.

We are now in a position to give a geometric formulation of the generalized field equations of gravitation.

**Theorem 8** Let $W_{\text{gr}}$ denote the gravitational tensor defined by (94) with source tensor $T$. We denote by $\bar{W}_{\text{gr}}$ the linear transformation of $A^2_x(M)$ induced by $W_{\text{gr}}$. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $g$ satisfies the generalized field equations of gravitation (90);
2. $\bar{W}_{\text{gr}}$ commutes with $J_x$;
3. $\bar{W}_{\text{gr}}$ is a complex linear transformation of the complex vector space $A^2_x(M)$.

We shall call the triple $(M, g, T)$ a **generalized gravitational field** if any one of the conditions of Theorem 8 is satisfied. Generalized gravitational field
equations were introduced by the author in [44]. Their mathematical properties have been studied in [48, 45, 54]. In local coordinates, the generalized gravitational field equations can be written as

\[ R^{ij} - \frac{1}{4} R g^{ij} = - (T^{ij} - \frac{1}{4} T g^{ij}). \] (95)

We observe that the equation (95) does not lead to any relation between the scalar curvature and the trace of the source tensor, since both sides of equation (95) are trace-free. Taking divergence of both sides of equation (95) and using the Bianchi identities we obtain the generalized conservation condition

\[ \nabla_i T^{ij} - g^{ij} \Phi_i = 0, \] (96)

where \( \nabla_i \) is the covariant derivative with respect to the vector \( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \),

\[ \Phi_i = \frac{1}{4} (T - R) \] (97)

and \( \Phi_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Phi \). Using the function \( \Phi \) defined by equation (97), the field equations can be written as

\[ R^{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R g^{ij} - \Phi g^{ij} = - T^{ij}. \] (98)

In this form the new field equations appear as Einstein’s field equations with the cosmological constant replaced by the function \( \Phi \), which we may call the cosmological function. The cosmological function is intimately connected with the classical conservation condition expressing the divergence-free nature of the energy-momentum tensor as is shown by the following proposition.

**Proposition 9** The energy-momentum tensor satisfies the classical conservation condition

\[ \nabla_i T^{ij} = 0 \] (99)

if and only if the cosmological function \( \Phi \) is a constant. Moreover, in this case the generalized field equations reduce to Einstein’s field equations with cosmological constant.

We note that, if the energy-momentum tensor is non-zero but is localized in the sense that it is negligible away from a given region, then the scalar curvature acts as a measure of the cosmological constant. By setting the energy-momentum tensor to zero in (95) we obtain various characterizations of the usual gravitational instanton. Solutions of the generalized gravitational field equations which are not solutions of Einstein’s equations have been discussed in [13].

We note that the theorem (8) and the last condition in proposition (6) can be used to discuss the Petrov classification of gravitational fields (see Petrov [60]). The tensor \( W_{\tau r} \) can be used in place of \( R \) in the usual definition
of sectional curvature to define the gravitational sectional curvature on the Grassmann manifold of non-degenerate 2-planes over $M$ and to give a further geometric characterization of gravitational field equations. We observe that the generalized field equations of gravitation contain Einstein’s equations with or without the cosmological constant as special cases. Solutions of the source-free generalized field equations are called **gravitational instantons**. If the base manifold is Riemannian, then gravitational instantons correspond to Einstein spaces. A detailed discussion of the structure of Einstein spaces and their moduli spaces may be found in [7].

Over a compact, 4-dimensional, Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$, the gravitational instantons that are not solutions of the vacuum Einstein equations are critical points of the quadratic, Riemannian functional or action $A_2(g)$ defined by

$$A_2(g) = \int_M S^2 dv_g.$$

Furthermore, the standard **Hilbert-Einstein action**

$$A_1(g) = \int_M Sdv_g$$

also leads to the generalized field equations when the variation of the action is restricted to metrics of volume 1.

The generalized field equations of gravitation in the Euclidean theory can be obtained by considerations similar to those given above. It is these equations with the source the dilaton field that appear in Perelman’s modification of the Ricci flow. We give a brief discussion of his work in the next section.

### 11.1 Geometrization Conjecture and Gravity

The classification problem for low dimensional manifolds is a natural question after the success of the case of surfaces by the uniformization theorem. In 1905, Poincaré formulated his famous conjecture which states in the smooth case: A closed, simply-connected 3-manifold is diffeomorphic to $S^3$, the standard sphere. A great deal of work in three dimensional topology in the next 100 years was motivated by this. In the 1980s Thurston studied hyperbolic manifolds. This led him to his “Geometrization Conjecture” about the existence of homogeneous metrics on all 3-manifolds. It includes the Poincaré conjecture as a special case. In the case of 4-manifolds, there is at present no analogue of the geometrization conjecture. We discuss briefly the current state of these problems in the next two subsections.

The Ricci flow equations

$$\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t} = -2R_{ij}$$
for a Riemannian metric $g$ were introduced by Hamilton in [25]. They form a system of nonlinear second order partial differential equations. Hamilton proved that this equation has a unique solution for a short time for any smooth metric on a closed manifold. The evolution equation for the metric leads to the evolution equations for the curvature and Ricci tensors and for the scalar curvature. By developing a maximum principle for tensors, Hamilton proved that the Ricci flow preserves the positivity of the Ricci tensor in dimension three and that of the curvature operator in dimension four [26]. In each of these cases he proved that the evolving metrics converge to metrics of constant positive curvature (modulo scaling). These and a series of further papers led him to conjecture that the Ricci flow with surgeries could be used to prove the Thurston geometrization conjecture. In a series of e-prints Perelman developed the essential framework for implementing the Hamilton program. We would like to add that the full Einstein equations with dilaton field as source play a fundamental role in Perelman’s work (see, arXiv.math.DG/0211159, 0303109, 0307245) on the geometrization conjecture. A corollary of this work is the proof of the long standing Poincaré conjecture. A complete proof of the geometrization conjecture by applying the Hamilton-Perelman theory of the Ricci flow has just appeared in [14] in a special issue dedicated to the memory of S.-S. Chern, one of the greatest mathematicians of the twentieth century.

The Ricci flow is perturbed by a scalar field which corresponds in string theory to the dilaton. It is supposed to determine the overall strength of all interactions. The low energy effective action of the dilaton field coupled to gravity is given by the action functional

$$\mathcal{F}(g, f) = \int_M (R + |\nabla f|^2)e^{-f} \, dv.$$  

Note that when $f$ is the constant function the action reduces to the classical Hilbert-Einstein action. The first variation can be written as

$$\delta \mathcal{F}(g, f) = \int_M [ -\delta g^{ij} (R_{ij} + \nabla_i f \nabla_j f) + \frac{1}{2} \delta g^{ij} (g_{ij} - \delta f) (2\Delta f - |\nabla f|^2 + R)] dm,$$

where $dm = e^{-f} \, dv$. If $m = \int_M e^{-f} \, dv$ is kept fixed, then the second term in the variation is zero and then the symmetric tensor $- (R_{ij} + \nabla_i f \nabla_j f)$ is the $L^2$ gradient flow of the action functional $\mathcal{F}^m = \int_M (R + |\nabla f|^2) dm$. The choice of $m$ is similar to the choice of a gauge. All choices of $m$ lead to the same flow, up to diffeomorphism, if the flow exists. We remark that in the quan-

---

3 I first met Prof. Chern and his then newly arrived student S.-T. Yau in 1973 at the AMS summer workshop on differential geometry held at Stanford University. Chern was a gourmet and his conference dinners were always memorable. I attended the first one in 1973 and the last one in 2002 on the occasion of the ICM satellite conference at his institute in Tianjin. In spite of his advanced age and poor health he participated in the entire program and then continued with his duties as President of the ICM in Beijing.
tum field theory of the two-dimensional nonlinear $\sigma$-model, Ricci flow can be considered as an approximation to the renormalization group flow. This suggests gradient-flow like behaviour for the Ricci flow, from the physical point of view. Perelman's calculations confirm this result. The functional $\mathcal{F}^m$ has also a geometric interpretation in terms of the classical Bochner-Lichnerowicz formulas with the metric measure replaced by the dilaton twisted measure $dm$.

The corresponding variational equations are

$$R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{ij} = - (\nabla_i \nabla_j f - \frac{1}{2} (\Delta f) g_{ij}).$$

These are the usual Einstein equations with the energy-momentum tensor of the dilaton field as source. They lead to the decoupled evolution equations

$$(g_{ij})_t = -2 (R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f), \quad f_t = - R - \Delta f.$$

After applying a suitable diffeomorphism these equations lead to the gradient flow equations. This modified Ricci flow can be pushed through the singularities by surgery and rescaling. A detailed case by case analysis is then used to prove Thurston's geometrization conjecture. This includes as a special case the classical Poincaré conjecture.

We have seen that QFT calculations have their counterparts in string theory. One can speculate that this is a topological quantum gravity (TQG) interpretation of a result in TQFT, in the Euclidean version of the theories. If modes of vibration of a string are identified with fundamental particles, then their interactions are already built into the theory. Consistency with known physical theories requires string theory to include supersymmetry. While supersymmetry has had great success in mathematical applications, its physical verification is not yet available. However, there are indications that it may be the theory that unifies fundamental forces in the standard model at energies close to those at currently existing and planned accelerators. Perturbative supersymmetric string theory (at least up to lower loop levels) avoids the ultraviolet divergences that appear in conventional attempts at quantizing gravity. Recent work relating the Hartle-Hawking wave function to string partition function can be used to obtain a wave function for the metric fluctuations on $S^3$ embedded in a Calabi-Yau manifold. This may be a first step in a realistic quantum cosmology relating the entropy of certain black holes with the topological string wave function. While a string theory model unifying all fundamental forces is not yet available, a number of small results (some of which we have discussed in this paper) are emerging to suggest that supersymmetric string theory could play a fundamental role in constructing such a model. Developing a theory and phenomenology of 4-dimensional string vacua and relating them to experimental physics and cosmological data would be a major step in this direction. New mathematical ideas may be needed for the completion of this project.
We would like to think of this work as part of a new area called “physical mathematics”. Many other aspects of physical mathematics are considered in my forthcoming book “Topics in Physical Mathematics”, Springer Verlag (2009). It is well known that the roots of “physical mathematics” go back to the very beginning of man’s attempts to understand nature. Abstracting some of what he observed in the motion of heavenly bodies led to the early developments in mathematics. Indeed mathematics was an integral part of natural philosophy. Rapid growth of the physical sciences aided by technological progress and increasing abstraction in mathematical research caused a separation of the sciences and mathematics in the 20th century. Physicists methods were often rejected by mathematicians as imprecise and mathematicians approach to physical theories was not understood by the physicists. We have already given many examples of this. However, theoretical physics did influence development of some areas of mathematics. Two fundamental physical theories, Relativity and Quantum theory now over a century old sustained interest in geometry and functional analysis and group theory. Yang-Mills theory, now over half a century old was abandoned for many years before its relation to the theory of connections in a fiber bundle was found. It has paid rich dividends to the geometric topology of low dimensional manifolds in the last quarter century. Secondary characteristic classes were given less than secondary attention when they were introduced. Now a major conference celebrating twenty years of Chern-Simons theory is planned by the Max Planck and the Hausdorff institutes in Bonn in August 2009. Many areas such as statistical mechanics, conformal field theory and string theory that we have not included in this work have already led to new developments in mathematics. The scope of physical mathematics continues to expand rapidly. Even in the topics that we have considered in this book a number of new results are appearing and new connections between old results are emerging. In fact, the recent lecture\(^d\) by Curtis McMullen (Fields medal, ICM 1998, Berlin) was entitled “From Platonic Solids to Quantum Topology”. McMullen weaves a fascinating tale from ancient to modern mathematics pointing out unexpected links between various areas of mathematics and theoretical physics. He concludes with the statement of a special case of the volume conjecture interpreting it as the equality between a gauge theoretic invariant and a topological gravity invariant. The vast and exciting landscape of physical mathematics is open for exploration.
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