

Max-Planck-Institut
für Mathematik
in den Naturwissenschaften
Leipzig

Stability result for abstract evolution problems

by

Alexander Ramm

Preprint no.: 56

2011



Stability result for abstract evolution problems

A. G. Ramm^{†‡}

[†]Mathematics Department, Kansas State University,
Manhattan, KS 66506-2602, USA

Abstract

Consider an abstract evolution problem in a Hilbert space H

$$\dot{u} = A(t)u + G(t, u) + f(t), \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad (1)$$

where $A(t)$ is a linear, closed, densely defined operator in H with domain independent of $t \geq 0$, $G(t, u)$ is a nonlinear operator such that $\|G(t, u)\| \leq a(t)\|u\|^p$, $p = \text{const} > 1$, $\|f(t)\| \leq b(t)$. We allow the spectrum of $A(t)$ to be in the right half-plane $\text{Re}(\lambda) < \lambda_0(t)$, $\lambda_0(t) > 0$, but assume that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_0(t) = 0$.

Under suitable assumption on $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ we prove boundedness of $\|u(t)\|$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. If $f(t) = 0$, the Lyapunov stability of the zero solution to problem (1) with $u_0 = 0$ is established. For $f \neq 0$, sufficient conditions for Lyapunov stability are given. The novel point in the paper is the possibility for the linear operator $A(t)$ to have spectrum in the half-plane $\text{Re}(\lambda) < \lambda_0(t)$ with $\lambda_0(t) > 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_0(t) = 0$ at a suitable rate.

Keywords. Stability; evolution problems
MSC: 34E05; 35R30; 74J25

1 Introduction and the results.

The main results of this paper are formulated in Lemma 1 and Theorem 2. Lemma 1 is proved in Section 2. In Section 3 an example of applications of our result is given.

There is a large literature [1, 2, 3] on the stability of the solutions to differential equation of the form

$$\dot{u} = A(t)u + G(t, u) + f(t), \quad u(0) = u_0; \quad \dot{u} = \frac{du}{dt}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

where $A(t)$ is a linear, closed, densely defined in a Hilbert space H operator, with domain $D(A(t))$ independent of t , $G(t, u)$ is a nonlinear operator,

$$\|G(t, u)\| \leq a(t)\|u\|^p, \quad p > 1, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

$f(t)$ is a function on $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ with values in H ,

$$\|f(t)\| \leq b(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (3)$$

[‡]Corresponding author. Email: ramm@math.ksu.edu

We assume that $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ are non-negative continuous functions, that $G(t, u)$ is locally Lipschitz with respect to u in the ball $B(u_0, R) = \{u : \|u - u_0\| \leq R\}$ and is a continuous in the operator norm function of t . We assume that problem (1) has a unique local solution.

This assumption holds, for example, if $A(t)$ is a generator of C_0 semigroup. In this case, problem (1) is equivalent to

$$u(t) = U(t, 0)u_0 + \int_0^t U(t, s)G(s, u(s))ds + \int_0^t U(t, s)f ds := T(u), \quad (4)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial U(t, s)}{\partial t} = A(t)U(t, s), \quad U(s, s) = I, \quad t \geq s, \quad (5)$$

I is the identity operator, and $U(t, s)$ is a bounded operator in H :

$$U(t, s) = I + \int_s^t A(\tau)U(\tau, s)d\tau, \quad t \geq s. \quad (6)$$

Indeed, a standard calculation shows that u , defined in (4), solved problem (1):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s)ds &= U(t, t)f + \int_0^t \frac{\partial U(t, s)}{\partial t} f(s)ds \\ &= f(t) + \int_0^t A(t)U(t, s)f ds \\ &= f(t) + A(t) \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s)ds, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} U(t, 0)u_0 &= A(t)U(t, 0)u_0, \quad U(0, 0)u_0 = u_0, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t U(t, s)G(s, u(s))ds &= G(t, u(t)) + A(t) \int_0^t U(t, s)G(s, u(s))ds. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that u , defined in (4), solves problem (1). If problem (1) is equivalent to problem (4) and $U(t, s)$ are bounded operators, then the operator $T(u)$ in (4) is locally Lipschitz since $G(t, u)$ has this property. Consequently, problem (4) (and therefore problem (1)) has a unique local solution. This solution is global if it satisfies a uniform with respect to t bound

$$\sup_t \|u(t)\| \leq c, \quad (7)$$

where the supremum is taken over all $t \in [0, T)$ for which $u(t)$ exists (see, e.g., [5]).

Let us derive bound (7). This derivation is based on an application of a new nonlinear differential inequality, stated in Lemma 1, below. Our basic result, Theorem 2, is also based on this inequality.

Take inner product of (1) with $u(t)$, then take real part of the resulting equation, and denote $\|u(t)\| = g(t)$. The result is:

$$g\dot{g} \leq \operatorname{Re}(A(t)u, u) + |(G(t, u), u)| + b(t)g(t). \quad (8)$$

Assume that

$$\operatorname{Re}(A(t)u, u) \leq \gamma(t)g^2, \quad \|G(t, u)\| \leq \alpha(t, g), \quad (9)$$

where $\gamma(t) \geq 0$ and $\alpha(t, g) \geq 0$ are continuous functions of t , and

$$\alpha(t, g) \leq a(t)g^p, \quad p > 1. \quad (10)$$

Then inequality (8) implies

$$\dot{g} \leq \gamma(t)g + a(t)g^p + b(t), \quad g(0) = \|u_0\|. \quad (11)$$

We assume that

$$a(t) > 0, \quad \dot{a}(t) < 0, \quad (12)$$

and $\gamma(t)$ and $b(t)$ tend to zero monotonically as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

We need the following lemma, which is proved in Section 2.

Lemma 1 *If there exists a function*

$$\mu(t) > 0, \quad \dot{\mu}(t) < 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(t) = d > 0, \quad (13)$$

and

$$\gamma(t) + a(t)\mu^{-p+1}(t) + b(t)\mu(t) + \frac{\dot{\mu}}{\mu} \leq 0, \quad \mu(0)g(0) \leq 1, \quad (14)$$

then any non-negative solution g to (11) satisfies inequality

$$0 \leq g(t) \leq \mu^{-1}(t) \leq \frac{1}{d}. \quad (15)$$

Applying Lemma 1 with $\mu(t) = d + q(t)$, $d = \text{const} > 0$, $q(t) > 0$, $\dot{q}(t) < 0$, one gets from (14) the following inequalities:

$$[d + q(t)]\gamma(t) + a(t)[d + q(t)]^{2-p} + b(t)[d + q(t)]^2 \leq -\dot{q}(t) = |\dot{q}(t)|, \quad (16)$$

$$[d + q(0)]g(0) \leq 1. \quad (17)$$

If $g(0) \neq 0$ and $[d + q(0)] \leq g^{-1}(0)$, then (17) holds. If $g(0) = 0$, then (17) holds for any d and $q(0)$. Fix d and $q(0)$ such that (17) holds. Then (16) holds if $q(t)$ is such that

$$C[\gamma(t) + a(t) + b(t)] \leq |\dot{q}(t)|. \quad (18)$$

Here $C = \text{const} > 0$,

$$C = \max\{d + q(0), [d + q(0)]^2, [d + q(0)]^{2-p}, d^{2-p}\}. \quad (19)$$

We have used monotone decay of $q(t)$ and the inequalities: $[d + q(t)]^{2-p} \leq [d + q(0)]^{2-p}$ if $2 \geq p$, and $[d + q(t)]^{2-p} \leq d^{2-p}$ if $2 \leq p$.

To satisfy inequality (18), one may choose $q(t)$ using the relation

$$-q(t) + q(0) = C \int_0^t [\gamma(s) + a(s) + b(s)] ds := CQ(t), \quad (20)$$

or

$$q(t) = q(0) - CQ(t). \quad (21)$$

If the number

$$Q_\infty := \int_0^\infty [\gamma(t) + a(t) + b(t)] dt$$

is sufficiently small, then one can choose the constant $q(0)$, so that the function $q(t)$, defined in (21), is positive for all $t \geq 0$.

Applying inequality (15), we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 2 *Assume that $u(t)$ solves problem (1) and inequalities (2),(3),(9), (10), hold. If the function $q(t)$, defined in (21), with C defined in (19), is positive for all $t \geq 0$, then $u(t)$ exists for all $t \geq 0$, and is globally bounded:*

$$\|u(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{d + q(t)} \leq \frac{1}{d}, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (22)$$

Remark. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a fixed small number. If $g(0) = \|u(0)\| \leq \delta$, where $\delta > 0$ is a sufficiently small number, then one can choose $d = \delta^{-1}$, define $\epsilon = \delta$, and obtain:

$$\|u(t)\| \leq \epsilon, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (23)$$

The statement in the above Remark shows that the solution to evolution problem (1) is Lyapunov stable.

2 Proof of Lemma 1.

Consider the problem:

$$\dot{v}_n = \gamma(t)v_n + a(t)v_n^p + f(t) + \frac{1}{n}, \quad v_n(0) = g(0), \quad (24)$$

where $n > 0$ is an integer. This problem has a unique local solution. Clearly, $\dot{v}_n > \dot{g}(0)$. Therefore, there is an interval $(0, T)$, such that

$$v_n(t) > g(t), \quad 0 < t < T, \quad (25)$$

where $T > 0$ is some number. The interval $[0, T)$ is the maximal interval of the existence of the local solution to (24), and if

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} |v_n(t)| < \infty, \quad (26)$$

then $T = \infty$.

Indeed, if inequality (26) holds but $T < \infty$, then one can solve the problem

$$\dot{w} = \gamma(t)w + a(t)w^p + f(t) + \frac{1}{n}, \quad w(T - \frac{l}{2}) = v_n(T - \frac{l}{2}), \quad (27)$$

where l is the length of the interval of the local existence of the solution to problem (27). If (26) holds, then the length l does not depend on the choice of the Cauchy data. Thus,

w exists on the interval $(T - \frac{1}{2}, T + \frac{1}{2})$ and is equal to $v_n(t)$ on the interval $(T - \frac{1}{2}, T)$. Consequently, by the uniqueness of the solution to the Cauchy problem for equation (24), $v_n(t)$ is defined on the interval $[0, T + \frac{1}{2})$, so T is not the maximal interval of the existence of v_n . This contradiction shows that $T = \infty$, as claimed, and inequality (25) holds for any $t > 0$.

Passing to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (25), one obtains

$$0 \leq g(t) \leq v(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (28)$$

where

$$\dot{v} = \gamma(t)v + a(t)v^p + f(t), \quad v(0) = g(0). \quad (29)$$

Inequality (14) can be written as

$$-\frac{\dot{\mu}}{\mu^2} = \left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)' \geq \frac{\gamma(t)}{\mu(t)} + \frac{a(t)}{\mu^p(t)} + b(t), \quad \frac{1}{\mu(0)} \geq v(0), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (30)$$

By the argument similar to the given above, one obtains

$$\frac{1}{\mu(t)} \geq v(t) \geq g(t) \geq 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (31)$$

From (31) the conclusion (15) of Lemma 1 follows, because $\frac{1}{\mu(t)} \leq \frac{1}{d}$.

Lemma 1 is proved. \square

A lemma, similar to Lemma 1, but with $\gamma(t) < 0$, was proved in [4] by a different argument. In [2] and [5] one can find proofs of some comparison results for ordinary differential equations. The ideas of these proofs are close to the idea of our proof of Lemma 1.

The *principally novel* result in our paper is Theorem 2, because it gives sufficient conditions for Lyapunov stability of the solution to evolution problem (1) under the assumptions which allow the linear operator $A(t)$ to have spectrum in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$. Such a result is possible to obtain because this spectrum tends sufficiently fast to the imaginary axis as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

3 Example

Let us illustrate our result by a simple example. As H we take \mathbb{R}^2 , as $A(t)$ we take $m(t)I$, where I is the unit matrix, $m(t) = c_1(1+t)^{-m_1}$, $G(t, u)$ is a quadratic nonlinearity, $\|G(t, u)\| \leq n(t)g^2$, so $p = 2$, $g^2 = x^2(t) + y^2(t)$, vector u has two components, $u(t) := \{x(t), y(t)\}$, $n(t) = c_2(1+t)^{-m_2}$, constants $c_j, m_j > 0$ will be chosen later. Inequality (11) with $b(t) = 0$ takes the form

$$\dot{g} \leq c_1(1+t)^{-m_1}g + c_2(1+t)^{-m_2}g^2, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (32)$$

Choose $\mu(t) = d + c_3(1+t)^{-m_3}$, where the constants $d, c_3, m_3 > 0$ will be fixed later. Then (14) (with $b(t) = 0$) takes the form:

$$\frac{c_1}{(1+t)^{m_1}} + \frac{c_2}{(1+t)^{m_2}[d + c_3(1+t)^{-m_3}]} \leq \frac{m_3 c_3}{(1+t)^{m_3+1}[d + c_3(1+t)^{-m_3}]}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (33)$$

and

$$(d + c_3)g(0) \leq 1. \quad (34)$$

Let us check that inequalities (33) and (34) can be satisfied if the parameters d, c_j, m_j are properly chosen. Assume that

$$m_3 + 1 \leq \min\{m_1, m_2\}. \quad (35)$$

Then (33) holds if

$$c_1 + c_2d^{-1} \leq c_3m_3(d + c_3)^{-1}. \quad (36)$$

Inequality (34) holds if

$$d + c_3 = \frac{1}{g(0)}. \quad (37)$$

Choose $c_3 = d$. Then

$$d = \frac{1}{2g(0)}, \quad g(0) = \|u(0)\|. \quad (38)$$

Let $g(0) \leq \delta$, where $\delta > 0$ is a small number. Then $d \geq (2\delta)^{-1}$, and inequality (36) holds if

$$c_1 + 2\delta c_2 \leq c_3m_3(2d)^{-1} \leq c_3m_3\delta. \quad (39)$$

This inequality holds if c_1, c_2 are sufficiently small. For example, let

$$c_1 \leq 0.5c_3m_3\delta, \quad c_2 \leq 0.25c_3m_3. \quad (40)$$

Then inequalities (33) and (34) hold, and Lemma 1 yields:

$$0 \leq \|u(t)\| \leq [d + c_3(1 + t)^{-m_3}]^{-1} \leq d^{-1}, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (41)$$

This estimate obviously yields global boundedness of $\|u(t)\|$, and also Lyapunov stability. Indeed, if $\|u(0)\| \leq \delta$, and $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small, then $d \geq (2\delta)^{-1}$ can be chosen sufficiently large, and consequently, inequality (41) yields the estimate $\|u(t)\| \leq \epsilon, \forall t \geq 0$, where $\epsilon = 2\delta$ is arbitrary small if $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small.

Acknowledgment. This paper was written when the author visited in 2011 Max Planck Institute (MPI) for mathematics in the sciences, in Leipzig. The author thanks MPI for hospitality.

References

- [1] Yu. L. Daleckii, M. G. Krein, *Stability of solutions of differential equations in Banach spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1974.
- [2] P. Hartman, *Ordinary differential equations*, J.Wiley, New York, 1964.
- [3] R. Temam, *Infinite-dimensional dynamical systems in mechanics and physics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [4] A.G.Ramm, Asymptotic stability of solutions to abstract differential equations, Journ. of Abstract Diff. Equations and Applications (JADEA), 1, N1, (2010), 27-34.
- [5] A. G. Ramm, *Dynamical systems method for solving operator equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2007.