

Max-Planck-Institut  
für Mathematik  
in den Naturwissenschaften  
Leipzig

Minimal graphic functions on manifolds of  
non-negative Ricci curvature

(revised version: February 2014)

by

*Qi Ding, Jürgen Jost, and Yuanlong Xin*

Preprint no.: 27

2014





# MINIMAL GRAPHIC FUNCTIONS ON MANIFOLDS OF NON-NEGATIVE RICCI CURVATURE

QI DING, J. JOST, AND Y.L. XIN

ABSTRACT. We study minimal graphic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds  $\Sigma$  with non-negative Ricci curvature, Euclidean volume growth and quadratic curvature decay. We derive global bounds for the gradients for minimal graphic functions of linear growth only on one side. Then we can obtain a Liouville type theorem with such growth via splitting for tangent cones of  $\Sigma$  at infinity. When, in contrast, we do not impose any growth restrictions for minimal graphic functions, we also obtain a Liouville type theorem under a certain non-radial Ricci curvature decay condition on  $\Sigma$ . In particular, the borderline for the Ricci curvature decay is sharp by our example in the last section.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The minimal surface equation on a Euclidean space,

$$(1.1) \quad \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \right) = 0$$

has been investigated extensively by many mathematicians. Let us recall some famous results that constitute a background for our present work. In 1961, J. Moser [37] derived Harnack inequalities for uniformly elliptic equations that imply weak Bernstein results for minimal graphs in any dimension. In 1969, Bombieri-De Giorgi-Miranda [4] showed interior gradient estimates for solutions to the minimal surface equation (see also the exposition in chapter 16 of [26]); the two-dimensional case had already been obtained by Finn [22] in 1954.

In this paper, we study the non-linear partial differential equation describing minimal graphs over complete Riemannian manifolds of non-negative Ricci curvature. Formally, the equation for a minimal graph over a Riemannian manifold  $\Sigma$  is the same as for Euclidean space,

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{div}_\Sigma \left( \frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \right) = 0,$$

where the divergence operator and the norm now are defined in terms of the Riemannian metric of  $\Sigma$ .

The geometric content of (1.2) is that a solution  $u$  is the height function in the product manifold  $N = \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$  of a minimal graph  $M$  in  $N$ . We therefore call a solution to (1.2) a *minimal graphic function*.

---

*Key words and phrases.* Minimal graphic function, minimal surface equation, non-negative Ricci curvature, gradient estimate, linear growth, Liouville theorem, tangent cone at infinity.

The second author is supported by the ERC Advanced Grant FP7-267087. The third author are supported partially by NSFC. He is also grateful to the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences in Leipzig for its hospitality and continuous support.

Of course, the Riemannian equation (1.2) is more difficult than its Euclidean version (1.1). In order to obtain strong results, one needs to restrict the class of underlying Riemannian manifolds. The linear analogue, the equation for harmonic functions on Riemannian manifolds, suggests that non-negative Ricci curvature should be a good geometric condition. In fact, harmonic functions on complete manifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature have been very successfully studied by S. T. Yau [44], Colding-Minicozzi [18], P. Li [32] and many others. Our problem can be considered as a non-linear generalization of harmonic functions on complete manifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature.

More precisely, we consider complete non-compact  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds  $\Sigma$  satisfying the three conditions:

- C1) Non-negative Ricci curvature;
- C2) Euclidean volume growth;
- C3) Quadratic decay of the curvature tensor.

Fischer-Colbrie and Schoen [23] studied stable minimal surfaces in 3-dimensional manifolds with nonnegative scalar curvature, and showed their rigidity. In our companion paper [21], we study minimal hypersurfaces in  $\Sigma$  and obtain existence and non-existence results for area-minimizing hypersurfaces in such an  $\Sigma$ . Here, we restrict the dimension  $n + 1 \geq 4$  for ambient product manifolds and investigate minimal graphs from the PDE point of view.

Cheeger and Colding [10, 11, 12] studied the structure of pointed Gromov-Hausdorff limits of sequences  $\{M_i^n, p_i\}$  of complete connected Riemannian manifolds with  $\text{Ric}_{M_i^n} \geq -(n - 1)$ . They showed that the singular set  $\mathcal{S}$  of such a space has dimension no bigger than  $n - 2$ . Subsequently, Cheeger-Colding-Tian [14] showed the stronger statement that  $\mathcal{S}$  has dimension no bigger than  $n - 4$  under some additional assumption. We should point out that conditions C1) –C3) still permit some nasty behavior of the manifold  $\Sigma$ . For instance, as G. Perelman pointed out, the tangent cones at infinity need not be unique. Our conditions C2) and C3) also have appeared in the investigation of the uniqueness of tangent cones at infinity for Ricci flat manifolds by Cheeger and Tian [15]. This theory has recently been further developed by Colding and Minicozzi [19].

In the last decade, minimal graphs in product manifolds received considerable attention. Concerning gradient estimates, J. Spruck [42] obtained interior gradient estimates via the maximum principle. He went on to apply them to the Dirichlet problem for constant mean curvature graphs. Recently, Rosenberg-Schulze-Spruck [39] obtained a new gradient estimate and then showed that there is no trivial positive entire minimal graph over any manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature and curvature bounded below.

For a complete manifold  $\Sigma$  with conditions C1), C2) and C3) we obtain the gradient estimates for minimal graphic functions by integral methods, see Theorem 3.3. Such results in Euclidean space were given by [4], [5]. Our results and methods are different from those in [42].

Let us now describe our results in more precise PDE terms. Theorem 3.3 enables us to obtain global bounds for gradients when the growth for the minimal graphic functions is linearly constrained only on one side. So linear growth is equivalent to linear growth on one side for minimal graphic functions. Since the Sobolev inequality and the Neumann-Poincaré inequality both hold on  $\Sigma$ , and thus also on the minimal graph  $M$  in  $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$  represented by a minimal graphic function with bounded gradient, this will then yield

mean value inequalities for subharmonic functions on the domains of  $M$ . Hence, we have the mean value equalities both in the extrinsic balls or the intrinsic balls of  $M$ . Therefore, we obtain a Liouville type theorem for minimal graphic functions with sub-linear growth on one side, see Theorem 3.6. It is interesting to compare this Liouville type theorem with the half-space theorem of Rosenberg-Schulze-Spruck [39]. The corresponding result for harmonic functions on manifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature is due to S. Y. Cheng [7]. Furthermore, we can relax sub-linear growth to linear growth in the above Liouville type theorem if  $\Sigma$  is not Euclidean space, and obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $u$  be an entire solution to (2.1) on a complete Riemannian manifold  $\Sigma$  with conditions C1), C2), C3). If  $u$  has at most linear growth on one side, then  $u$  must be a constant unless  $\Sigma$  is isometric to Euclidean space.*

For showing Theorem 1.1, using the harmonic coordinates of Jost-Karcher [31], we first obtain scale-invariant Schauder estimates for minimal graphic functions  $u$ . Then combining this with estimates for the Green function and a Bochner type formula, we get integral decay estimates for the Hessian of  $u$ . The Schauder estimates then imply point-wise decay estimates for the Hessian of  $u$ . Finally, by re-scaling the manifold  $\Sigma$  and the graphic function  $u$  we can show that  $\Sigma$  is asymptotic to a product of a Euclidean factor  $\mathbb{R}$  and the level set of  $u$  at the value 0. This will allow us to deduce Theorem 1.1. By the example in the last section, the assumption of linear growth cannot be removed. Moreover, one should compare this theorem with the result of Cheeger-Colding-Minicozzi [13] on the splitting of the tangent cone at infinity for complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature supporting linear growth harmonic functions.

We shall also investigate minimal graphic functions without growth restrictions. Analogously to [21] we prove that for any scaling sequence of a minimal graph  $M$  in  $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$  there exists a subsequence that converges to an area-minimizing cone  $T$  in  $\Sigma_\infty \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\Sigma_\infty$  is some tangent cone at infinity (not necessarily unique) of  $\Sigma$  satisfying conditions C1), C2) and C3). However, our proof here is more complicated than [21] as the ambient manifold  $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$  does no longer satisfy condition C3) unless  $\Sigma$  is flat. In the light of stability inequalities for minimal graphs, one might expect that  $T$  is vertical, namely,  $T = \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}$  for some cone  $\mathcal{X} \in N_\infty$ . However, since we lack Sobolev and Neumann-Poincaré inequalities on minimal graphs whose gradient is not globally bounded, it seems difficult to show verticality, although such inequalities hold for ambient Euclidean space [35], [5]. Fortunately, we are able to show that  $T$  is asymptotically vertical at infinity. This also suffices to estimate the measure of a 'bad' set and employ stability arguments, as in [21], to eliminate the unbounded situation of  $|Du|$ , when the lower bound  $\kappa$  for the curvature decay (see below) is large, that is, when the curvature can only slowly decay to 0 at infinity (more precisely, the curvature decay is quadratic, but the value of the lower bound must be above some critical threshold; actually that threshold is sharp showed in the last section). Thus combining Theorem 1.1 we obtain a Liouville type theorem for minimal graphic functions.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a complete  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold satisfying conditions C1), C2), C3) and with non-radial Ricci curvature satisfying  $\inf_{\partial B_\rho} \text{Ric}_\Sigma(\xi^T, \xi^T) \geq \kappa \rho^{-2} |\xi^T|^2$  for some constant  $\kappa$ , for sufficiently large  $\rho > 0$ , where  $\rho$  is the distance function from a fixed point in  $\Sigma$  and  $\xi^T$  stands for the part that is tangential to the geodesic sphere  $\partial B_\rho$  (at least away from the cut locus of the center), of a tangent vector  $\xi$  of  $\Sigma$  at the considered point. If  $\kappa > \frac{(n-3)^2}{4}$ , then any entire solution to (1.2) on  $\Sigma$  must be constant.*

In the last section, we construct a nontrivial minimal graph to show that the constant  $\frac{(n-3)^2}{4}$  in Theorem 1.2 is sharp. Our approach is inspired by the method developed by Simon [41], where for each strictly minimizing isoparametric cone  $C$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  he constructed an entire minimal graph in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  converging to  $C \times \mathbb{R}$  as tangent cylinder at  $\infty$ . Geometrically, our method is different from that of Simon, but analytically, it is quite similar.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $(\Sigma, \sigma)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complete non-compact manifold with Riemannian metric  $\sigma = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_{ij} dx_i dx_j$  in a local coordinate. Set  $(\sigma^{ij})$  be the inverse matrix of  $(\sigma_{ij})$  and  $E_i$  be the dual frame of  $dx_i$ . Denote  $Du = \sum_{i,j} \sigma^{ij} u_i E_j$  and  $|Du|^2 = \sum_{i,j} \sigma^{ij} u_i u_j$ . Let  $\text{div}_\Sigma$  be the divergence of  $\Sigma$ . We shall study the following quasi-linear elliptic equation for a minimal graphic function on  $\Sigma$

$$(2.1) \quad \text{div}_\Sigma \left( \frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}} \right) \triangleq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \sigma_{kl}}} \partial_j \left( \sqrt{\det \sigma_{kl}} \frac{\sigma^{ij} u_i}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}} \right) = 0.$$

A solution  $\{(x, u(x)) \in \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \mid x \in \Sigma\}$  represents a minimal hypersurface in the product manifold  $N \triangleq \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$  with the product metric

$$ds^2 = dt^2 + \sigma = dt^2 + \sum_{i,j} \sigma_{ij} dx_i dx_j.$$

Let  $M$  denote this hypersurface, i.e.,  $M = \{(x, u(x)) \in N \mid x \in \Sigma\}$ , with the induced metric  $g$  from  $N$

$$g = \sum_{i,j} g_{ij} dx_i dx_j = \sum_{i,j} (\sigma_{ij} + u_i u_j) dx_i dx_j,$$

where  $u_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}$  and  $u_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$  in the sequel. Moreover,  $\det g_{ij} = (1 + |Du|^2) \det \sigma_{ij}$  and  $g^{ij} = \sigma^{ij} - \frac{u^i u^j}{1+|Du|^2}$  with  $u^i = \sum_j \sigma^{ij} u_j$ .

Let  $\Delta, \nabla$  be the Laplacian operator and Levi-Civita connection of  $(M, g)$ , respectively. The equation (2.1) then becomes

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g_{kl}}} \partial_j \left( \sqrt{\det g_{kl}} g^{ij} u_i \right) = 0.$$

Thus,  $u$  is a harmonic function on the hypersurface  $M$ , which in turn depends on  $u$ .

Similar to the Euclidean case ([43] or by Lemma 2.1 in [21]), any minimal graph over a bounded domain  $\Omega$  is an area-minimizing hypersurface in  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ . From the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [21], it is not hard to see that any minimal graph over  $\Sigma$  is an area-minimizing hypersurface in  $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\bar{\nabla}$  and  $\bar{R}$  be the Levi-Civita connection and curvature tensor of  $(N, h)$ . Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the inner product on  $N$  with respect to its metric. Let  $\nu$  denote the unit normal vector field of  $M$  in  $N$  defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \nu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}} (-Du + E_{n+1}).$$

Choose a local orthonormal frame field  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  in  $M$ . Set the coefficients of the second fundamental form  $h_{ij} = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} e_j, \nu \rangle$  and the squared norm of the second fundamental form  $|B|^2 = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} h_{ij}$ . Then the mean curvature  $H = \sum_i h_{ii} = 0$  as  $M$  is minimal.

Let  $\overline{Ric}$  be the Ricci curvature of  $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ . Due to the Codazzi equation  $h_{ijk} = h_{kji} - \langle \overline{R}_{e_k e_i} e_j, \nu \rangle$  (see [43], for example), we obtain a Bochner type formula

$$(2.4) \quad \Delta \langle E_{n+1}, \nu \rangle = -(|B|^2 + \overline{Ric}(\nu, \nu)) \langle E_{n+1}, \nu \rangle.$$

Let  $Ric$  denote the Ricci curvature of  $\Sigma$ . With (2.3), then

$$(2.5) \quad -\Delta \log \langle E_{n+1}, \nu \rangle = |B|^2 + \frac{Ric(Du, Du)}{1 + |Du|^2} + |\nabla \log \langle E_{n+1}, \nu \rangle|^2.$$

The above formula will play a similar role as Bochner's formula for the squared length of the gradient of a harmonic function.

In the present paper we usually suppose that  $\Sigma$  is an  $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional complete non-compact Riemannian manifold satisfying the following three conditions:

- C1) Nonnegative Ricci curvature:  $Ric \geq 0$ ;
- C2) Euclidean volume growth: for the geodesic balls  $B_r(x)$  in  $\Sigma$ ,

$$V_\Sigma \triangleq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Vol(B_r(x))}{r^n} > 0;$$

C3) Quadratic decay of the curvature tensor: for sufficiently large  $\rho(x) = d(x, p)$ , the distance from a fixed point in  $N$ ,

$$|R(x)| \leq \frac{c}{\rho^2(x)}.$$

By the Bishop-Gromov volume comparison theorem  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Vol(B_r(x))}{r^n}$  is monotonically nonincreasing, and hence

$$(2.6) \quad V_\Sigma r^n \leq Vol(B_r(x)) \leq \omega_n r^n \quad \text{for all } x \in \Sigma \text{ and } r > 0,$$

where  $\omega_n$  is the volume of the standard  $n$ -dimensional unit ball in Euclidean space. The above three conditions have been used in [21] to study minimal hypersurfaces in such manifolds. Now we list some properties of  $\Sigma$  satisfying conditions C1), C2), C3) (see [21] for completeness), which will be employed in the following text.

- By [8], there is a sufficiently small constant  $\delta_0 > 0$  depending only on  $n, c, V_\Sigma$  so that for any  $0 < \delta < \delta_0$  the injectivity radius at  $q \in \partial B_{\Omega r}(p)$  satisfies  $i(q) \geq r$ , where  $\Omega = \left(\frac{\sqrt{c}}{\delta} + 1\right)$ .
- Let  $G(p, \cdot)$  be the Green function on  $\Sigma$  with  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\partial B_r(p)} |Gr^{n-2} - 1| = 0$  and  $b = G^{\frac{1}{2-n}}$  (see [36] and [17] for details). Then

$$(2.7) \quad \Delta_\Sigma b^2 = 2n|Db|^2$$

with  $|Db| \leq 1$  and  $c'r \leq b(x) \leq r$  for any  $n \geq 3$ ,  $x \in \partial B_r(p)$  and some constant  $c' > 0$ . Moreover, we have asymptotic estimates

$$(2.8) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{x \in \partial B_r} \left( \left| \frac{b}{r} - \left(\frac{V_\Sigma}{\omega_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} \right| + \left| Db - \left(\frac{V_\Sigma}{\omega_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} \right| \right) \right) = 0,$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{x \in \partial B_r} \left| \text{Hess}_{b^2} - 2 \left(\frac{V_\Sigma}{\omega_n}\right)^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \sigma \right| \right) = 0.$$

- By Gromov's compactness theorem [28] and Cheeger-Colding's theory [9], for any sequence  $\bar{\epsilon}_i \rightarrow 0$  there is a subsequence  $\{\epsilon_i\}$  converging to zero such that  $\epsilon_i \Sigma = (\Sigma, \epsilon_i \sigma, p)$  converges to a metric cone  $(\Sigma_\infty, d_\infty)$  with vertex  $o$  in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense.  $\Sigma_\infty$  is called the tangent cone at infinity and  $\Sigma_\infty = CX = \mathbb{R}^+ \times_\rho X$  for some  $(n-1)$ -dimensional smooth compact manifold  $X$  of  $\text{Diam}(X) \leq \pi$  and the metric  $s_{ij} d\theta_i d\theta_j$  with  $s_{ij} \in C^{1,\alpha}(X)$  (see also [27][38]). For any compact domain  $K \subset \Sigma_\infty \setminus \{o\}$ , there exists a diffeomorphism  $\Phi_i : K \rightarrow \Phi_i(K) \subset \epsilon_i \Sigma$  such that  $\Phi_i^*(\epsilon_i \sigma)$  converges as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  to  $\sigma_\infty$  in the  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -topology on  $K$ .

### 3. GRADIENT ESTIMATES AND APPLICATIONS

Let  $u$  be a minimal graphic function on a Riemannian manifold  $\Sigma$ . For

$$v \triangleq \frac{1}{\langle E_{n+1}, \nu \rangle} = \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2},$$

we have derived the Bochner type formula (2.5). Let  $B_r(x)$  be the geodesic ball in  $\Sigma$  with radius  $r$  and centered at  $x \in \Sigma$ . Sometimes we write  $B_r$  instead of  $B_r(p)$  for simplicity. Let  $d\mu$  be the volume element of  $M$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose  $\Sigma$  has nonnegative Ricci curvature and  $u(p) = 0$ , then for any constant  $\beta > 0$*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{B_r \cap \{|u| < \beta r\}} \log v d\mu \leq (1 + 10\beta) \left( 2 + \beta + r^{-1} \sup_{B_{3r}} u \right) \text{Vol}(B_{3r}).$$

*Proof.* We define a function  $u_s$  by

$$u_s = \begin{cases} \beta s & \text{if } u \geq \beta s \\ u & \text{if } |u| < \beta s \\ -\beta s & \text{if } u \leq -\beta s. \end{cases}$$

$\rho(x)$  is a global Lipschitz function with  $|D\rho| \equiv 1$  almost everywhere. We define a Lipschitz function  $\zeta(r)$  on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying  $\text{supp} \zeta \subset [0, 2r]$ ,  $\zeta|_{[0,r]} \equiv 1$  and  $|D\zeta| \leq \frac{1}{r}$ . Then  $\eta(x) = \zeta(\rho(x))$  is a Lipschitz function with  $\text{supp} \eta \subset \bar{B}_{2r}$ ,  $\eta|_{B_r} \equiv 1$  and  $|D\eta| \leq \frac{1}{r}$ . Then by using (2.1) and integrating by parts we have

$$(3.2) \quad 0 = - \int \text{div}_\Sigma \left( \frac{Du}{v} \right) \eta u_r d\mu_\Sigma \geq \int_{B_r \cap \{|u| < \beta r\}} \frac{|Du|^2}{v} d\mu_\Sigma + \int \frac{Du \cdot D\eta}{v} u_r d\mu_\Sigma,$$

which implies

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B_r \cap \{|u| < \beta r\}} 1 d\mu &\leq \int_{B_r \cap \{|u| < \beta r\}} \left( \frac{|Du|^2}{v} + 1 \right) d\mu_\Sigma \\ &\leq \text{Vol}(B_r) + \beta r \int_{B_{2r}} |D\eta| d\mu_\Sigma \leq (1 + \beta) \text{Vol}(B_{2r}). \end{aligned}$$



From integrating by parts, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad 0 &= - \int \operatorname{div}_\Sigma \left( \frac{Du}{v} \right) \cdot (u_r + \beta r) \eta \log v \, d\mu_\Sigma \\
 &\geq \int_{B_r \cap \{|u| < \beta r\}} \frac{|Du|^2}{v} \log v \, d\mu_\Sigma + \int \frac{Du \cdot D\eta}{v} (u_r + \beta r) \log v \, d\mu_\Sigma \\
 &\quad + \int \frac{Du \cdot D \log v}{v} (u_r + \beta r) \eta \, d\mu_\Sigma \\
 &\geq \int_{B_r \cap \{|u| < \beta r\}} \frac{|Du|^2}{v} \log v \, d\mu_\Sigma - 2\beta r \int_{B_{2r} \cap \{u > -\beta r\}} |D\eta| \log v \, d\mu_\Sigma \\
 &\quad - 2\beta r \int_{B_{2r} \cap \{u > -\beta r\}} |D \log v| \eta \, d\mu_\Sigma,
 \end{aligned}$$

then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad \int_{B_r \cap \{|u| < \beta r\}} \log v \, d\mu &\leq \int_{B_r \cap \{|u| < \beta r\}} \left( \frac{|Du|^2}{v} + 1 \right) \log v \, d\mu_\Sigma \\
 &\leq (1 + 2\beta) \int_{B_{2r} \cap \{u > -\beta r\}} \log v \, d\mu_\Sigma + 2\beta r \int_{B_{2r} \cap \{u > -\beta r\}} |D \log v| \eta \, d\mu_\Sigma.
 \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, (2.5) implies  $\Delta \log v \geq |\nabla \log v|^2$ , then for any  $\xi \in C_0^1(B_{2r} \times \mathbb{R})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad \int |\nabla \log v|^2 \xi^2 \, d\mu &\leq \int \xi^2 \Delta \log v \, d\mu = -2 \int \xi \nabla \xi \cdot \nabla \log v \, d\mu \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla \log v|^2 \xi^2 \, d\mu + 2 \int |\nabla \xi|^2 \, d\mu.
 \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\xi(x, t) = \eta(x) \tau(t)$  for  $(x, t) \in \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$ ,  $\tau \equiv 1$  in  $(-\beta r, \sup_{B_{2r}} u)$ ,  $\tau \equiv 0$  outside  $(-(1 + \beta)r, r + \sup_{B_{2r}} u)$ ,  $|\tau'| < \frac{1}{r}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad \int |\nabla \log v|^2 \xi^2 \, d\mu &\leq 4 \int |\nabla \xi|^2 \, d\mu \leq 8 \int (|\nabla \eta|^2 \tau^2 + |\nabla \tau|^2 \eta^2) \, d\mu \\
 &\leq \frac{16}{r^2} \int_{B_{2r} \cap \{u > -(1 + \beta)r\}} 1 \, d\mu.
 \end{aligned}$$

Together with

$$(3.8) \quad |\nabla \log v|^2 = \sum_{i,j} g^{ij} \partial_i \log v \cdot \partial_j \log v = |D \log v|^2 - \frac{|Du \cdot D \log v|^2}{1 + |Du|^2} \geq \frac{|D \log v|^2}{1 + |Du|^2},$$

and (3.7) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad &\int_{B_{2r} \cap \{u > -\beta r\}} |D \log v| \eta \, d\mu_\Sigma \leq \int_{B_{2r} \cap \{u > -\beta r\}} |\nabla \log v| \eta \, v \, d\mu_\Sigma \\
 &\leq \int_{B_{2r} \cap \{u > -\beta r\}} \left( \frac{|\nabla \log v|^2 \eta^2 r}{8} + \frac{2}{r} \right) v \, d\mu_\Sigma \\
 &\leq \frac{r}{8} \int |\nabla \log v|^2 \xi^2 \, d\mu + \frac{2}{r} \int_{B_{2r} \cap \{u > -\beta r\}} v \, d\mu_\Sigma \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{r} \int_{B_{2r} \cap \{u > -(1 + \beta)r\}} 1 \, d\mu + \frac{2}{r} \int_{B_{2r} \cap \{u > -\beta r\}} v \, d\mu_\Sigma \\
 &\leq \frac{4}{r} \int_{B_{2r} \cap \{u > -(1 + \beta)r\}} v \, d\mu_\Sigma.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\log v \leq v$  as  $v \geq 1$ , substituting (3.9) into (3.5) we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B_r \cap \{|u| < \beta r\}} \log v d\mu &\leq (1 + 2\beta) \int_{B_{2r} \cap \{u > -\beta r\}} v d\mu_\Sigma + 8\beta \int_{B_{2r} \cap \{u > -(1+\beta)r\}} v d\mu_\Sigma \\ &\leq (1 + 10\beta) \int_{B_{2r} \cap \{u > -(1+\beta)r\}} v d\mu_\Sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\tilde{\eta}$  be a Lipschitz function with  $\text{supp } \tilde{\eta} \subset \bar{B}_{3r}$  with  $\tilde{\eta}|_{B_{2r}} \equiv 1$  and  $|D\tilde{\eta}| \leq \frac{1}{r}$ . Then

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= - \int_{B_{3r}} \text{div}_\Sigma \left( \frac{Du}{v} \right) \tilde{\eta} \cdot \max\{u + (1 + \beta)r, 0\} d\mu_\Sigma \\ &\geq \int_{B_{2r} \cap \{u > -(1+\beta)r\}} \frac{|Du|^2}{v} d\mu_\Sigma + \int_{B_{3r}} \frac{Du \cdot D\tilde{\eta}}{v} \max\{u + (1 + \beta)r, 0\} d\mu_\Sigma \\ &\geq \int_{B_{2r} \cap \{u > -(1+\beta)r\}} \frac{|Du|^2}{v} d\mu_\Sigma - \frac{1}{r} \int_{B_{3r}} \max\{u + (1 + \beta)r, 0\} d\mu_\Sigma, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B_{2r} \cap \{u > -(1+\beta)r\}} v d\mu_\Sigma &\leq \int_{B_{2r} \cap \{u > -(1+\beta)r\}} \left( \frac{|Du|^2}{v} + 1 \right) d\mu_\Sigma \\ &\leq \int_{B_{2r}} d\mu_\Sigma + \frac{1}{r} \int_{B_{3r}} \left( \sup_{B_{3r}} u + (1 + \beta)r \right) d\mu_\Sigma \\ &\leq \left( 2 + \beta + r^{-1} \sup_{B_{3r}} u \right) \text{Vol}(B_{3r}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.10) and (3.12) we complete the proof of the Lemma.  $\square$

For any  $z_i = (x_i, t_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , denote the distance function  $\bar{\rho}$  on  $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\bar{\rho}_{z_1}(z_2) = \sqrt{\rho_{x_1}^2(x_2) + (t_2 - t_1)^2}.$$

For any  $q, x \in \Sigma$  there are  $\tilde{q} = (q, u(q)) \in M$  and  $\tilde{x} = (x, u(x)) \in M$  such that  $\bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}(\tilde{x}) = \sqrt{\rho_q^2(x) + (u(x) - u(q))^2}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose the sectional curvature  $R(x) \leq K^2$  on  $B_{i(q)}(q)$  with injective radius  $i(q)$  at  $q$ , then we have*

$$\Delta \bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}^2(\tilde{x}) \geq 2 + 2(n-1)K\rho_q(x) \cot(K\rho_q(x)) \quad \text{for } 0 < \rho_q(x) < \min \left\{ i(q), \frac{\pi}{2K} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* By the Hessian comparison theorem, for any  $\xi \perp \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_q}$  we have

$$\text{Hess}_{\rho_q}(\xi, \xi) \geq K \cot(K\rho_q) |\xi|^2.$$

Let  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a local orthonormal frame field of  $M$ . Note that  $M$  is minimal, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \bar{\rho}_q^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} \bar{\rho}_q^2 - (\nabla_{e_i} e_i) \bar{\rho}_q^2) \\
 (3.13) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\rho}_q^2 - (\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} e_i) \bar{\rho}_q^2) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} e_i - \nabla_{e_i} e_i) \bar{\rho}_q^2 \\
 &= \Delta_N \bar{\rho}_q^2 - \overline{\text{Hess}}_{\bar{\rho}_q^2}(\nu, \nu) \\
 &= \Delta_\Sigma \rho_q^2 + 2 - \frac{1}{v^2} \text{Hess}_{\rho_q^2}(Du, Du) - \frac{2}{v^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $Du \neq 0$ , we set  $(Du)^T = Du - \left\langle Du, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_q} \right\rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_q}$ . Let  $\{E_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \cup \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_q}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $T\Sigma$  with  $E_1 = (Du)^T |(Du)^T|^{-1}$ . Combining  $\text{Hess}_{\rho_q^2}(E_\alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_q}) = 0$  and  $\text{Hess}_{\rho_q^2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_q}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_q}) = 2$  give

$$(3.14) \quad \text{Hess}_{\rho_q^2}(Du, Du) = \text{Hess}_{\rho_q^2}((Du)^T, (Du)^T) + 2 \left\langle Du, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_q} \right\rangle^2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.15) \quad \Delta \bar{\rho}_q^2 &= \sum_{\alpha} \text{Hess}_{\rho_q^2}(E_\alpha, E_\alpha) + 4 - \frac{1}{v^2} \text{Hess}_{\rho_q^2}((Du)^T, (Du)^T) - \frac{2}{v^2} \left\langle Du, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_q} \right\rangle^2 - \frac{2}{v^2} \\
 &= \sum_{\alpha} \text{Hess}_{\rho_q^2}(E_\alpha, E_\alpha) + 2 - \frac{|(Du)^T|^2}{v^2} \text{Hess}_{\rho_q^2}(E_1, E_1) + \frac{2}{v^2} |(Du)^T|^2 \\
 &\geq 2(n-2)K\rho_q \cot(K\rho_q) + 2 + \left(2 - 2\frac{|(Du)^T|^2}{v^2}\right) K\rho_q \cot(K\rho_q) + \frac{2}{v^2} |(Du)^T|^2 \\
 &\geq 2(n-1)K\rho_q \cot(K\rho_q) + 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $|Du| = 0$ , clearly  $\Delta \bar{\rho}_q^2 = \Delta_\Sigma \rho_q^2 \geq 2(n-1)K\rho_q \cot(K\rho_q) + 2$ .  $\square$

Suppose that  $\Sigma$  satisfies conditions C1), C2) and C3). For sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$  depending only on  $n, c, V_\Sigma$  and any fixed  $q \in \partial B_{\Omega r}(p)$  with  $\Omega = \left(\frac{\sqrt{c}}{\delta} + 1\right)$ , by [8] the injectivity radius at  $q$  satisfies  $i(q) \geq r$  and

$$d(p, x) \geq \frac{\sqrt{c}}{\delta} r, \quad \text{for any } x \in B_r(q).$$

Then by condition C3)

$$(3.16) \quad |R(x)| \leq \frac{\delta^2}{r^2}, \quad \text{for any } x \in B_r(q).$$

Hence  $\rho_q(x)$  is smooth for  $x \in B_r(q) \setminus \{q\}$ . For  $\tilde{q} = (q, u(q)) \in M$ , we denote  $\mathbb{B}_s(\tilde{q}) = \{z \in N \mid \bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}(z) < s\}$  and  $D_s(\tilde{q}) = \mathbb{B}_s(\tilde{q}) \cap M$ . If  $\tilde{x} = (x, u(x)) \in D_s(\tilde{q})$ , then obviously  $x \in B_s(q)$ .

For any  $t \in [0, 1)$  we have  $\cos t \geq 1 - t$ , then

$$\left( \tan t - \frac{t}{1-t} \right)' = \frac{1}{\cos^2 t} - \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \leq 0.$$

So on  $[0, 1)$

$$\tan t \leq \frac{t}{1-t}.$$

Hence on  $D_r(\tilde{q})$  we have

$$\Delta \bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}^2(\tilde{x}) \geq 2 + 2(n-1) \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{r} \rho_q(x)\right) \geq 2n - \frac{2n\delta\rho_q(x)}{r}.$$

For any smooth function  $f$  on  $M$ , combining the above inequalities we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.17) \quad & 2n \int_{D_s(\tilde{q})} f \left(1 - \frac{\delta\rho_q}{r}\right) \leq \int_{D_s(\tilde{q})} f \Delta \bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}^2 = \int_{D_s(\tilde{q})} \operatorname{div} (f \nabla \bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}^2) - \int_{D_s(\tilde{q})} \nabla f \cdot \nabla \bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}^2 \\ & = \int_{\partial D_s(\tilde{q})} f \nabla \bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}^2 \cdot \frac{\nabla \bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}}{|\nabla \bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}|} - \int_{D_s(\tilde{q})} \operatorname{div} ((\bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}^2 - s^2) \nabla f) + \int_{D_s(\tilde{q})} (\bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}^2 - s^2) \Delta f \\ & = 2s \int_{\partial D_s(\tilde{q})} f |\nabla \bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}| + \int_{D_s(\tilde{q})} (\bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}^2 - s^2) \Delta f. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (3.18) \quad & |\nabla \bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}|^2 = \frac{1}{4\bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}^2} g^{ij} \partial_i (\rho_q^2 + (u - u(q))^2) \cdot \partial_j (\rho_q^2 + (u - u(q))^2) \\ & = \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}^2} \left( \rho_q^2 \left(1 - \frac{|Du \cdot D\rho_q|^2}{v^2}\right) + 2\rho_q(u - u(q)) \frac{Du \cdot D\rho_q}{v^2} + (u - u(q))^2 \frac{|Du|^2}{v^2} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}^2} \left( \rho_q^2 + (u - u(q))^2 \frac{1}{v^2} + (u - u(q))^2 \frac{|Du|^2}{v^2} \right) \\ & = 1, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.19) \quad & \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( s^{-n} \int_{D_s(\tilde{q})} \log v \right) = -ns^{-n-1} \int_{D_s(\tilde{q})} \log v + s^{-n} \int_{\partial D_s(\tilde{q})} \frac{\log v}{|\nabla \bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}|} \\ & \geq -ns^{-n-1} \int_{D_s(\tilde{q})} \log v + s^{-n} \int_{\partial D_s(\tilde{q})} \log v |\nabla \bar{\rho}_{\tilde{q}}| \\ & \geq -ns^{-n-1} \int_{D_s(\tilde{q})} \log v + s^{-n} \frac{n}{s} \int_{D_s(\tilde{q})} \left(1 - \frac{\delta\rho_q}{r}\right) \log v \\ & \geq -\frac{n\delta}{r} s^{-n} \int_{D_s(\tilde{q})} \log v. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\omega_n$  be the volume of the standard  $n$ -dimensional unit ball in Euclidean space. For  $0 < s \leq r$  integrating the above inequality implies

$$(3.20) \quad \log v(\tilde{q}) \leq \frac{e^{\frac{n\delta s}{r}}}{\omega_n s^n} \int_{D_s(\tilde{q})} \log v.$$

We say that a function  $f$  has *at most linear growth* on  $\Sigma$  if

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(x)|}{\rho(x)} < \infty.$$

and say that  $f$  has *linear growth* on  $\Sigma$  if

$$0 < \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(x)|}{\rho(x)} < \infty.$$

Denote  $f_+ = \max\{f, 0\}$  and  $f_- = \min\{f, 0\}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $u$  be a minimal graphic function on a complete Riemannian manifold  $\Sigma$  which satisfies conditions C1), C2) and C3). Then we have gradient estimates*

$$(3.21) \quad |Du(x)| \leq C_1 e^{C_2 r^{-1} (u(x) - \sup_{y \in B_{(\Omega+1)r}(p)} u(y))}$$

for any  $r > 0$  and  $x \in \partial B_{\Omega r}(p)$ , where  $C_1, C_2$  are positive constants depending only  $n$ , and  $\Omega$  is a constant depending only on  $n, c, V_\Sigma$ . Moreover, if  $u_+$  (or  $u_-$ ) has at most linear growth, then  $|Du|$  is uniformly bounded on all of  $\Sigma$ .

*Proof.* For any  $p \in \Sigma$  fixed, let  $\Omega = \left(\frac{\sqrt{c}}{\delta} + 1\right)$  as before. For any  $r > 0$ ,  $x \in \partial B_{\Omega r}(p)$ , combining (3.20) and (3.1) with  $\beta = 1$  and  $u(x) - u$  replacing  $u$ , we have

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \log |Du(x)| &\leq \log v(\tilde{x}) \leq \frac{e^{n\delta}}{\omega_n r^n} \int_{D_r(\tilde{x})} \log v \\ &\leq 11e^{n\delta} \left( 3 + \frac{\sup_{y \in B_r(x)} (u(x) - u(y))}{r} \right) \frac{\text{Vol}(B_{3r})}{\omega_n r^n} \\ &\leq 11e^{n\delta} \left( 3 + \frac{\sup_{y \in B_{(\Omega+1)r}(p)} (u(x) - u(y))}{r} \right) \frac{\text{Vol}(B_{3r})}{\omega_n r^n}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{x} = (x, u(x))$ . Take  $0 < \delta < 1$ , then invoking (2.6) we obtain

$$(3.23) \quad \log |Du(x)| \leq 11(3e)^n \left( 3 + \frac{u(x) - \sup_{y \in B_{(\Omega+1)r}(p)} u(y)}{r} \right).$$

Thus (3.21) holds. Obviously, we can substitute  $u$  in (3.21) by  $-u$ . Hence if  $u_+$  or  $u_-$  has at most linear growth, then letting  $r \rightarrow \infty$  implies that  $|Du|$  is uniformly bounded on all of  $\Sigma$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a Riemannian manifold with conditions C1), C2) C3), and  $u$  be a smooth solution to (2.1) on  $\Sigma$  with at most linear growth. Then for any nonnegative subharmonic(superharmonic) function  $\varphi^+(\varphi^-)$  on  $M$ , we have*

$$\sup_{D_{\lambda r}(q)} \varphi^+ \leq \frac{C_1}{r^n} \int_{D_r(q)} \varphi^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{D_{\lambda r}(q)} \varphi^- \geq \frac{C_2}{r^n} \int_{D_r(q)} \varphi^-$$

for arbitrary  $r > 0$  and some constant  $0 < \lambda < 1$  independent of  $r$ . Here  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  are constants depending only on  $n, c, V_\Sigma, \lambda$ .

*Proof.* In the manifold  $\Sigma$  we have the Sobolev and Neumann-Poincaré inequalities. So we have those inequalities also on the manifold  $M$  by the boundedness of  $|Du|$ . Then we can use De Giorgi-Moser-Nash's theory and the volume doubling condition to obtain the mean value inequality(see [37] for the details).  $\square$

Denote  $|Du|_0 = \sup_{x \in \Sigma} |Du(x)|$ . Now we want to use the above Lemma to deduce the mean value equalities for the bounded gradient of  $u$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a Riemannian manifold with conditions C1), C2) C3), and  $u$  be a smooth solution to (2.1) on  $\Sigma$  with at most linear growth. Then we have mean value equalities on both exterior balls and interior balls:*

$$(3.24) \quad |Du|_0^2 = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(D_r(z))} \int_{D_r(z)} |Du|^2 d\mu,$$

and

$$(3.25) \quad |Du|_0^2 = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{Vol(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |Du|^2 d\mu_\Sigma.$$

*Proof.* Denote  $\phi_{\max} \triangleq \sup_{z \in M} \log v(z)$ . If  $u$  has linear growth at most, for any  $\tilde{q} \in M$  we have

$$(3.26) \quad \frac{1}{r^n} \int_{D_r(\tilde{q})} (\phi_{\max} - \log v) \leq C (\phi_{\max} - \log v(\tilde{q})),$$

which implies for any  $z \in M$

$$(3.27) \quad \phi_{\max} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{Vol(D_r(z))} \int_{D_r(z)} \log v < \infty.$$

Since  $e^{2 \log v} - 1 = |Du|^2$  is a bounded subharmonic function on  $M$ , we obtain (3.24).

For any  $0 < \epsilon < |Du|_0$  and any fixed point  $z = (x, u(x))$  there is an  $r_0 > 0$  such that for any  $r \geq r_0$

$$\frac{1}{Vol(D_r(z))} \int_{D_r(z)} |Du|^2 d\mu > |Du|_0^2 - \epsilon^2.$$

Denote  $\Omega_r \triangleq \{(q, u(q)) \in D_r(z) \mid |Du|^2(q) < |Du|_0^2 - \epsilon\}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |Du|_0^2 - \epsilon^2 &< \frac{1}{Vol(D_r(z))} \left( \int_{D_r(z) \setminus \Omega_r} |Du|_0^2 d\mu + \int_{\Omega_r} |Du|^2 d\mu \right) \\ &\leq \left( 1 - \frac{Vol(\Omega_r)}{Vol(D_r(z))} \right) |Du|_0^2 + \frac{Vol(\Omega_r)}{Vol(D_r(z))} (|Du|_0^2 - \epsilon) \\ &= |Du|_0^2 - \epsilon \frac{Vol(\Omega_r)}{Vol(D_r(z))}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$Vol(\Omega_r) \leq \epsilon Vol(D_r(z)).$$

Let  $\Omega_r^T$  be the projection from  $\Omega_r$  to  $\Sigma$  defined by

$$\{q \in \Sigma \mid (q, u(q)) \in \Omega_r\} = \{q \in \Sigma \mid (q, u(q)) \in D_r(z), |Du|^2(q) < |Du|_0^2 - \epsilon\}.$$

There exists a constant  $C > 1$  such that the projection of  $D_{Cr}(z)$  contains  $B_r(x)$  for any  $r \geq r_0$ . By the definition of  $D_{Cr}(z)$ , it follows that

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{Vol(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |Du|^2 d\mu_\Sigma &\geq \frac{1}{Vol(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x) \setminus \Omega_{Cr}^T} \left( \sup_{\Sigma} |Du|^2 - \epsilon \right) d\mu_\Sigma \\ &\geq \frac{1}{Vol(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} \left( \sup_{\Sigma} |Du|^2 - \epsilon \right) d\mu_\Sigma - \frac{1}{Vol(B_r(x))} \int_{\Omega_{Cr}^T} \left( \sup_{\Sigma} |Du|^2 - \epsilon \right) d\mu_\Sigma \\ &\geq \sup_{\Sigma} |Du|^2 - \epsilon - \frac{Vol(\Omega_{Cr})}{Vol(B_r(x))} \left( \sup_{\Sigma} |Du|^2 - \epsilon \right) \\ &\geq \sup_{\Sigma} |Du|^2 - \epsilon - \epsilon \frac{Vol(D_{Cr}(z))}{Vol(B_r(x))} \left( \sup_{\Sigma} |Du|^2 - \epsilon \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  implies that (3.25) holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *If the minimal graphic function  $u$  on a complete manifold with conditions C1), C2) and C3) has sub-linear growth for its negative part, namely,*

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|u_-(x)|}{\rho(x)} = 0,$$

where  $u_- = \min\{u, 0\}$ . Then  $u$  is a constant.

*Proof.* From Theorem 3.3,  $|Du|$  is globally bounded. For any small  $\delta > 0$ , there is a  $C_\delta > 0$  such that  $u(x) \geq -C_\delta - \delta\rho(x)$ . Lemma 3.4 implies a Harnack inequality for positive harmonic functions on  $M$ . Hence there is an absolute constant  $C > 1$  so that for any  $r > 0$  and  $q \in M$  we have

$$\sup_{x \in D_{\lambda r}(q)} \left( u(x) - \inf_{D_r(q)} u + 1 \right) \leq C \inf_{x \in D_{\lambda r}(q)} \left( u(x) - \inf_{D_r(q)} u + 1 \right) \leq C \left( u(q) - \inf_{D_r(q)} u + 1 \right).$$

Thus

$$(3.29) \quad \sup_{x \in D_{\lambda r}(q)} u(x) \leq Cu(q) + (C - 1) \left( 1 - \inf_{D_r(q)} u \right) \leq Cu(q) + (C - 1)(1 + C_\delta + \delta r)$$

Therefore, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $r_0 > 0$  such that for any  $x$  with  $\rho(x) \geq r_0$  we have

$$|u(x)| < \epsilon\rho(x).$$

For any  $r \geq r_0$ , let  $\eta$  be a Lipschitz function on  $M$  with  $\text{supp}\eta \subset D_{2r}$  with  $\eta|_{D_r} \equiv 1$  and  $|\nabla\eta| \leq \frac{1}{r}$  on  $D_{2r} \setminus D_r$ . Due to  $\Delta u = 0$ , we see that

$$(3.30) \quad 0 = - \int_M u\eta^2 \Delta u = \int_M \nabla u \cdot \nabla(u\eta^2) = \int_M |\nabla u|^2 \eta^2 + 2 \int_M u\eta \nabla u \cdot \nabla \eta.$$

From the Cauchy inequality we conclude that

$$(3.31) \quad \int_{D_r} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \int_M |\nabla u|^2 \eta^2 \leq 4 \int_M |\nabla \eta|^2 u^2 \leq \frac{4}{r^2} \int_{D_{2r} \setminus D_r} u^2 \leq 16\epsilon^2 \text{Vol}(D_{2r}).$$

With  $|\nabla u|^2 = \frac{|Du|^2}{v^2}$ , we get

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_{y \in \Sigma} |Du|^2(y) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(D_r)} \int_{D_r} |Du|^2 d\mu \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 + |Du|_0^2}{\text{Vol}(D_r)} \int_{D_r} |\nabla u|^2 d\mu \\ &\leq 16\epsilon^2 (1 + |Du|_0^2) \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Vol}(D_{2r})}{\text{Vol}(D_r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Forcing  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  gives  $|Du| \equiv 0$ , namely,  $u$  is a constant.  $\square$

Actually, if  $\Sigma$  is not Euclidean space, we can give a stronger theorem by replacing sub-linear growth by linear growth in the following section.

#### 4. A LIOUVILLE THEOREM VIA SPLITTING FOR TANGENT CONES AT INFINITY

Let  $\Sigma$  be an  $n$ -dimensional manifold with conditions C1), C2), C3), and  $u$  be a smooth linear growth solution to (2.1). We shall now derive pointwise estimates for the Hessian

of  $u$ . We first rewrite (2.1), in order to apply elliptic regularity theory as can found, for instance in [26, 30]. In a local coordinate, (2.1) is

$$(4.1) \quad \partial_j \left( \sqrt{\sigma} \frac{\sigma^{ij} u_i}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \right) = 0,$$

where  $\sqrt{\sigma} = \sqrt{\det \sigma_{kl}}$ . Take derivatives and set  $w = \partial_k u$  to turn this equation into

$$(4.2) \quad \partial_j \left( \frac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \left( \sigma^{ij} - \frac{u^i u^j}{1 + |Du|^2} \right) w_i \right) + \partial_j f_k^j = 0,$$

where

$$f_k^j = \frac{u_i}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \partial_k (\sqrt{\sigma} \sigma^{ij}) - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\sigma} \sigma^{ij} \frac{u_i u_p u_q}{(1 + |Du|^2)^{3/2}} \partial_k \sigma^{pq}.$$

Define an operator  $L$  on  $C^2(\Sigma)$  by

$$Lf = \partial_j \left( \frac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \left( \sigma^{ij} - \frac{u^i u^j}{1 + |Du|^2} \right) f_i \right),$$

then

$$Lw + \partial_j f_k^j = 0.$$

Since  $\Sigma$  satisfies conditions C1), C2) and C3), by [3, 27, 38] there exist harmonic coordinates satisfying the estimates of [31]. That is, for a fixed point  $p \in \Sigma$ , there are positive constants  $\alpha' = \alpha'(n, c, V_\Sigma)$ ,  $\theta = \theta(n, c, V_\Sigma) \in (0, 1)$  and  $C = C(n, c, V_\Sigma, \alpha')$  such that for any  $q \in \partial B_r(p)$  and  $r > 0$  there is a harmonic coordinate system  $\{x_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  on  $B_{\theta r}(q)$  satisfying

$$(4.3) \quad \Delta_\Sigma x_i \equiv 0, \quad (\sigma_{ij})_{n \times n} \geq \frac{1}{C}, \quad \sigma_{ij} + r |D\sigma_{ij}| + r^{1+\alpha'} [D\sigma_{ij}]_{\alpha', B_{\theta r}(q)} \leq C,$$

where  $0 < \alpha' < 1$ ,  $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)$  and

$$[\varphi]_{\alpha', B_{\theta r}(q)} = \sup_{x, y \in B_{\theta r}(q), x \neq y} \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{d(x, y)^{\alpha'}}.$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *For any  $q \in \partial B_r(p) \subset \Sigma$ ,  $r > 0$  and  $s \leq \theta r$  we have*

$$(4.4) \quad \text{osc}_{B_s(q)} Du \leq C s^\alpha r^{-\alpha},$$

where  $C = C(n, |Du|_0, c, V_\Sigma)$  and  $\alpha = \alpha(n, |Du|_0, c, V_\Sigma) \leq \alpha' < 1$  are positive constants.

*Proof.* For any fixed  $s \leq \frac{1}{4}\theta r$ , denote  $M_4(s) = \sup_{B_{4s}(q)} w$ ,  $m_4(s) = \inf_{B_{4s}(q)} w$ ,  $M_1(s) = \sup_{B_s(q)} w$ ,  $m_1(s) = \inf_{B_s(q)} w$ . Then we have

$$L(M_4 - w) = \partial_i f_k^i, \quad L(w - m_4) = -\partial_i f^i \quad \text{on } B_{\theta r}(q).$$

Due to (4.3), it is not hard to find out that  $|f_k^i| \leq \frac{C}{r}$  on  $B_{\theta r}(q)$ . By the weak Harnack inequality (Theorem 8.18 of [26]), we have

$$(4.5) \quad s^{-n} \int_{B_{2s}(q)} (M_4(s) - w) d\mu_\Sigma \leq C \left( M_4(s) - M_1(s) + \frac{s}{r} \right),$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad s^{-n} \int_{B_{2s}(q)} (w - m_4(s)) d\mu_\Sigma \leq C \left( m_1(s) - m_4(s) + \frac{s}{r} \right),$$



where  $C = C(n, |Du|_0, c, V_\Sigma)$ . Denote  $\omega(s) = \text{osc}_{B_s(q)} w = M_1(s) - m_1(s)$ . Combining (2.6), (4.5) and (4.6) gives

$$(4.7) \quad 2^n V_\Sigma \omega(4s) \leq \frac{\text{Vol}(B_{2s}(q))}{s^n} \omega(4s) \leq C \left( \omega(4s) - \omega(s) + \frac{2s}{r} \right),$$

which implies that there is a  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  such that for all  $s \in [0, \frac{1}{4}\theta r]$

$$\omega(s) \leq \gamma \omega(4s) + \frac{2s}{r}.$$

By an iterative trick (see Lemma 8.23 in [26]), we complete the proof.  $\square$

The above Lemma implies the following Hölder continuity for the gradient of  $u$ .

**Corollary 4.2.** *For any  $q \in \partial B_r(p) \subset \Sigma$ ,  $r > 0$  we have*

$$(4.8) \quad [Du]_{\alpha, B_{\theta r}(q)} \leq Cr^{-\alpha},$$

where  $C = C(n, |Du|_0, c, V_\Sigma)$  and  $\alpha = \alpha(n, |Du|_0, c, V_\Sigma) < 1$  are positive constants.

Standard elliptic regularity theory (the scale-invariant Schauder estimates, see [26, 30]) implies that there exists a constant  $C = C(n, |Du|_0, c, V_\Sigma, \alpha)$  such that for  $q \in \partial B_r(p)$

$$(4.9) \quad \sup_{B_{\frac{\theta r}{2}}(q)} |D^2 u| \leq Cr^{-2} \sup_{B_{\theta r}(q)} |u|,$$

and

$$(4.10) \quad [D^2 u]_{\alpha, B_{\frac{\theta r}{2}}(q)} \leq Cr^{-2-\alpha} \sup_{B_{\theta r}(q)} |u|.$$

**Theorem 4.3.** *If  $u$  is solution to (2.1) with linear growth, then*

$$(4.11) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left( r \sup_{\partial B_r(p)} |D^2 u| \right) = 0.$$

*Proof.* For the fixed point  $p \in \Sigma$ , there is a constant  $C$  such that  $\{(x, u(x)) \mid x \in B_r(p)\} \subset D_{Cr}(p)$ . Let  $b$  be the function defined on  $\Sigma$  in section 2. Together with (2.7) and the computation in (3.13), we have

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta b &= \Delta_\Sigma b - \frac{1}{v^2} \text{Hess}_b(Du, Du) \\ &= (n-1) \frac{|Db|^2}{b} - \frac{1}{2v^2 b} (\text{Hess}_{b^2}(Du, Du) - 2\langle Db, Du \rangle^2). \end{aligned}$$

By the properties of the function  $b$ , there is a large  $r_0$  so that for any  $x$  with  $b(x) \geq r_0$  one has

$$|\Delta b| \leq \frac{n+2}{b}.$$

Denote  $U_s = \{(x, u(x)) \in M \mid x \in \{b < s\}\}$  for  $s > 0$ . Let  $\zeta$  be a nonnegative smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  with  $\text{supp} \zeta \subset [0, 2r]$ ,  $\zeta|_{[0, r]} \equiv 1$ ,  $|\zeta'| \leq \frac{C}{r}$  and  $|\zeta''| \leq \frac{C}{r^2}$ . Set

$$\eta(x) = \zeta(b(x)) \quad \text{for any } x \in \Sigma.$$

Then  $\eta$  is a smooth function with  $\text{supp}\eta \subset U_{2r}$ ,  $\eta|_{U_r} \equiv 1$ ,  $|\nabla\eta| \leq \frac{C}{r}$  and  $|\Delta\eta| \leq \frac{C}{r^2}$  for sufficiently large  $r$ . Recalling (2.5) and  $b(x) \leq \rho(x)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.13) \quad \int_{B_r} |\text{Hess}_u|^2 d\mu_\Sigma &\leq \int_{\{b < r\}} |\text{Hess}_u|^2 d\mu_\Sigma \leq \int_{U_{2r}} |\text{Hess}_u|^2 \eta d\mu \\
&\leq C \int_{U_{2r}} |B|^2 \eta \leq C \int_{U_{2r}} \eta \Delta (\log v - \phi_{\max}) \\
&= C \int_{U_{2r}} (\log v - \phi_{\max}) \Delta \eta \leq \frac{C}{r^2} \int_{U_{2r}} (\phi_{\max} - \log v),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi_{\max} = \sup_{z \in M} \log v(z)$  as before. Due to (3.27) we see that

$$(4.14) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \int_{B_r} |\text{Hess}_u|^2 d\mu_\Sigma \right) = 0.$$

From (4.9) we have

$$(4.15) \quad \sup_{\partial B_r(p)} |D^2 u| \leq \frac{C}{r}$$

for some fixed  $C = C(n, |Du|_0, c, V_\Sigma, \alpha)$ . If

$$(4.16) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left( r \sup_{\partial B_r(p)} |D^2 u| \right) > 0,$$

then there exist  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $r_i \rightarrow \infty$  and  $q_i \in \partial B_{r_i}(p)$  such that

$$(4.17) \quad r_i |D^2 u(q_i)| \geq \epsilon.$$

By (4.10), we conclude that

$$(4.18) \quad [D^2 u]_{\alpha, B_{\frac{\theta r_i}{2}}(q_i)} \leq C r_i^{-1-\alpha}.$$

There is a sufficiently small  $\delta = \delta(n, |Du|_0, c, V_\Sigma, \alpha, \epsilon) \in (0, \frac{\theta}{2})$  such that for any  $y \in B_{\delta r_i}(q_i)$

$$(4.19) \quad |D^2 u(q_i)| - |D^2 u(y)| \leq [D^2 u]_{\alpha, B_{\frac{\theta r_i}{2}}(q_i)} d(y, q_i)^\alpha \leq C r_i^{-1-\alpha} (\delta r_i)^\alpha < \frac{\epsilon}{2r_i},$$

which together with (4.17) implies

$$(4.20) \quad |D^2 u(y)| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2r_i}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.21) \quad &\frac{1}{r_i^{n-2}} \int_{B_{(1+\delta)r_i}(p) \setminus B_{(1-\delta)r_i}(p)} |D^2 u|^2 d\mu_\Sigma \geq \frac{1}{r_i^{n-2}} \int_{B_{\delta r_i}(q_i)} |D^2 u|^2 d\mu_\Sigma \\
&\geq \frac{1}{r_i^{n-2}} \int_{B_{\delta r_i}(q_i)} \frac{\epsilon^2}{4r_i^2} d\mu_\Sigma \geq \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \delta^n V_\Sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting  $r_i \rightarrow \infty$  deduces a contradiction to (4.14). This completes the proof.  $\square$

Re-scale the metric by  $\sigma \rightarrow r^{-2}\sigma$  and denote the inner product, norm, gradient, Hessian and volume element for this re-scaled metric by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_r$ ,  $|\cdot|_r$ ,  $D^r$ ,  $\text{Hess}^r$  and  $d\mu_r$ , respectively. Set  $\tilde{u}_r = r^{-1}u$  and let  $B_s^r$  be the ball with radius  $s$  and centered at  $p$  for this re-scaled

metric. Namely,  $B_s^r$  is the ball with radius  $s$  and centered at  $p$  in  $r^{-2}\Sigma = (\Sigma, r^{-2}\sigma, p)$ . Then for any fixed  $r_0 > 0$  (3.25) implies

$$(4.22) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B_{r_0}^r)} \int_{B_{r_0}^r} |D^r \tilde{u}_r|_r^2 d\mu_r = \sup_{\Sigma} |Du|^2,$$

and (4.11) implies that there is a constant  $C > 0$  so that

$$(4.23) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\partial B_{r_0}^r} |\text{Hess}_{\tilde{u}_r}^r|_r = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\partial B_{r_0}^s} |\text{Hess}_{\tilde{u}_r}^s|_s < \frac{C}{r_0} \quad \text{for any } s > 0.$$

For any  $x, y \in B_{r_0}^r(p)$  there exists a minimal normal geodesic  $\gamma_{xy}$  connecting  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $\gamma_{xy}(0) = x$ ,  $\gamma_{xy}(1) = y$  and  $|\dot{\gamma}_{xy}| = l_{xy}$  is a constant. Clearly,  $l_{xy} \leq 2r_0$ . Parallel translating the vector  $D^r \tilde{u}_r(x)$  along  $\gamma_{xy}(t)$  produces a unique vector at  $y$  denoted by  $D_{\pi_y}^r \tilde{u}_r(x)$ . Denote by  $C$  a constant depending only on  $n$ . We have

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| D_{\pi_y}^r \tilde{u}_r(x) - D^r \tilde{u}_r(y) \right|_r &= \left| D^r \tilde{u}_r(x) - D_{\pi_x}^r \tilde{u}_r(y) \right|_r \\ &\leq C \cdot l_{xy} \int_0^1 |\text{Hess}_{\tilde{u}_r}|_r(\gamma_{xy}(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

For any fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ , together with (4.22)(4.23) and the above inequality we get

$$(4.25) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\gamma_{xy} \in B_{r_0}^r \setminus B_{\epsilon}^r} \left| D_{\pi_y}^r \tilde{u}_r(x) - D^r \tilde{u}_r(y) \right|_r = 0,$$

and

$$(4.26) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{x \in B_{r_0}^r \setminus B_{\epsilon}^r} |D^r \tilde{u}_r(x)|_r = \sup_{\Sigma} |Du|.$$

Note that  $|Du|_0 \triangleq \sup_{\Sigma} |Du| > 0$ . Suppose  $u(p) = \tilde{u}_r(p) = 0$ . Set

$$\Gamma_r = \tilde{u}_r^{-1}(0) = \{x \in r^{-2}\Sigma \mid \tilde{u}_r(x) = 0\}.$$

For any  $x \in B_{r_0}^r$ , there exists  $x_0 \in B_{2r_0}^r \cap \Gamma_r$  so that

$$d_r(x, x_0) = d_r(x, \Gamma_r) \triangleq \inf_{z \in \Gamma_r} d_r(x, z).$$

Here  $d_r$  is the distance function on  $r^{-2}\Sigma$ . Set the signed distance function  $d_{\Gamma_r}(x) = d_r(x, \Gamma_r)$  for  $\tilde{u}_r(x) \geq 0$ , and  $d_{\Gamma_r}(x) = -d_r(x, \Gamma_r)$  for  $\tilde{u}_r(x) \leq 0$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *For any fixed  $r_0 > 0$  there is*

$$(4.27) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in B_{r_0}^r} |\tilde{u}_r(y) - |Du|_0 \cdot d_{\Gamma_r}(y)| = 0$$

*Proof.* For any  $r > 0$  there is a  $y_r \in B_{r_0}^r$  such that

$$\left| \tilde{u}_r(y_r) - |Du|_0 \cdot d_{\Gamma_r}(y_r) \right| = \sup_{z \in B_{r_0}^r} \left| \tilde{u}_r(z) - |Du|_0 \cdot d_{\Gamma_r}(z) \right|.$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose  $\tilde{u}_r(y_r), d_{\Gamma_r}(y_r) > 0$ . Clearly,

$$\tilde{u}_r(y_r) - |Du|_0 \cdot d_{\Gamma_r}(y_r) \leq 0.$$

For any  $\epsilon > 0$  we set

$$\Gamma_r^{\epsilon} = \tilde{u}_r^{-1}(\epsilon |Du|_0) = \{x \in r^{-2}\Sigma \mid \tilde{u}_r(x) = \epsilon |Du|_0\}.$$

Hence, for any  $x_r \in \Gamma_r^\epsilon$  one has  $x_r \in r^{-2}\Sigma \setminus B_\epsilon^r$ . For any fixed  $\epsilon > 0$  from (4.22) and (4.23) there is an  $r_* > 0$  so that for any  $r \geq r_*$  we have  $|D^r \tilde{u}_r|_r \Big|_{\Gamma_r^\epsilon \cap B_{2r_0}^r} \geq \frac{1}{2}|Du|_0$ . Clearly there exist  $z_r \in \Gamma_r^\epsilon$  satisfying  $d_r(y_r, z_r) = d_{\Gamma_r^\epsilon}(y_r)$  and a unique normal geodesic  $\gamma_r$  connecting  $y_r, z_r$  with  $\gamma_r(0) = z_r, \gamma_r(l_r) = y_r$  and  $|\dot{\gamma}_r|_r = 1$ , where  $l_r = d_{\Gamma_r^\epsilon}(y_r)$ . Smoothness of  $\Gamma_r^\epsilon$  implies  $\dot{\gamma}_r(0) = -D^r \tilde{u}_r(z_r)/|D^r \tilde{u}_r(z_r)|_r$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.28) \quad \tilde{u}_r(y_r) &= \tilde{u}_r(y_r) - \tilde{u}_r(z_r) = \int_0^{l_r} \langle D^r \tilde{u}_r(\gamma_r(t)), \dot{\gamma}_r(t) \rangle_r dt \\
&= \int_0^{l_r} \left\langle D^r \tilde{u}_r(\gamma_r(t)) - D_{\pi_{\gamma_r(t)}}^r \tilde{u}_r(z_r), \dot{\gamma}_r(t) \right\rangle_r dt + \int_0^{l_r} |D^r \tilde{u}_r(z_r)|_r dt \\
&\geq - \int_0^{l_r} \left| D_{\pi_{\gamma_r(t)}}^r \tilde{u}_r(z_r) - D^r \tilde{u}_r(\gamma_r(t)) \right|_r dt + |D^r \tilde{u}_r(z_r)|_r d_{\Gamma_r^\epsilon}(y_r) \\
&\geq -C \int_0^{l_r} \int_0^t |\text{Hess}_{\tilde{u}_r}(\gamma_r(s))|_r ds dt + |D^r \tilde{u}_r(z_r)|_r d_{\Gamma_r^\epsilon}(y_r).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.23) and (4.26) implies

$$(4.29) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\tilde{u}_r(y_r) - |Du|_0 \cdot d_{\Gamma_r^\epsilon}(y_r)) \geq 0.$$

Letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** Analogously to the proof of Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in B_{r_0}^r} |\tilde{u}_r(y) - |Du|_0 (d_{\Gamma_r^s}(y) + s)| = 0$$

for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\Gamma_r^s = \tilde{u}_r^{-1}(s|Du|_0) = \{x \in r^{-2}\Sigma \mid \tilde{u}_r(x) = s|Du|_0\}$ .

For any  $x, y \in \Gamma_r^s$ , let  $\gamma_{r,xy}^s$  be a normal geodesic joining  $x$  to  $y$  with length  $l_{r,xy}^s$ . Since

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \tilde{u}_r(\gamma_{r,xy}^s(t)) = \text{Hess}_{\tilde{u}_r}(\dot{\gamma}_{r,xy}^s(t), \dot{\gamma}_{r,xy}^s(t)),$$

then by the Newton-Leibniz formula we conclude that

$$(4.30) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x, y \in \Gamma_r^s \cap B_{r_0}^r} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, l_{r,xy}^s]} |\tilde{u}_r(\gamma_{r,xy}^s(t)) - s|Du|_0| \right) = 0.$$

For any sequence  $r_i \rightarrow \infty$  there is a subsequence  $r_{i_j}$  such that  $r_{i_j}^{-1}\Sigma = (\Sigma, r_{i_j}^{-1}\sigma, p)$  converges to a regular metric cone  $\Sigma_0$  with vertex  $o$  in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense. Clearly, the geodesic  $\gamma_{r_{i_j}, px}^s$  should converge to a radial line starting from  $o$  in  $\Sigma_0$ . Therefore, combining (4.30) and Remark 4.5 we know that  $\Gamma_{r_{i_j}}^s$  must converge to an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional cone  $\mathcal{CY}_s$  in  $\Sigma_0$ . Moreover, for any  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{CY}_s$  the geodesic joining  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  in  $\Sigma_0$  must live in  $\mathcal{CY}_s$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a connected component of  $\Sigma_0 \setminus \mathcal{CY}_s$  and  $z_3, z_4 \in \Omega$ . Then the geodesic  $\gamma_{z_3, z_4}$  joining  $z_3$  and  $z_4$  in  $\Sigma_0$  satisfies  $\gamma_{z_3, z_4} \setminus \mathcal{CY}_s \subset \Omega$ .

Consider  $y, z \in \{x \in r^{-2}\Sigma \mid \tilde{u}_r(x) \geq 0\}$ . For any  $x \in \Gamma_r$ ,  $d_{\Gamma_r}(y) \leq d_r(y, x) \leq d_r(x, z) + d_r(y, z)$ . Taking all the points in  $\Gamma_r$  it follows that

$$d_{\Gamma_r}(y) \leq d_{\Gamma_r}(z) + d_r(y, z).$$

The same method implies

$$d_{\Gamma_r}(z) \leq d_{\Gamma_r}(y) + d_r(y, z).$$

Hence

$$(4.31) \quad |d_{\Gamma_r}(z) - d_{\Gamma_r}(y)| \leq d_r(y, z).$$

For some fixed point  $z$  with  $\tilde{u}_r(z) > 0$  and small  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small, then there is an  $x \in \Gamma_r$  such that

$$d_{\Gamma_r}(z) + \epsilon\delta \geq d_r(z, x).$$

Let  $l(t)$  be a minimal geodesic connecting  $z$  and  $x$ , and denote  $y \in l(t) \cap \partial B_\delta^r$ . Then

$$d_{\Gamma_r}(z) + \epsilon d_r(z, y) \geq d_r(y, x) + d_r(z, y) \geq d_{\Gamma_r}(y) + d_r(z, y).$$

Combining this with (4.31) we conclude that  $|D^r d_{\Gamma_r}|_r \equiv 1$  almost everywhere.

**Lemma 4.6.** *For any fixed  $r_1 > 0$*

$$(4.32) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{r_1}^r} |D^r (\tilde{u}_r - |Du|_0 \cdot d_{\Gamma_r})|_r^2 = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\eta$  be a Lipschitz function with  $\eta|_{B_{r_1}^r} \equiv 0$ ,  $|D^r \eta|_r \leq 1$  and  $\text{supp } \eta \subset \overline{B_{2r_1}^r}$ . Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_r$  and  $\text{div}_r$  be the inner product and divergence in  $r^{-2}\Sigma$ , then

$$(4.33) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{r_1}^r} |D^r (\tilde{u}_r - |Du|_0 \cdot d_{\Gamma_r})|_r^2 \leq \int_{B_{2r_1}^r} |D^r (\tilde{u}_r - |Du|_0 \cdot d_{\Gamma_r})|_r^2 \eta^2 \\ & = \int_{B_{2r_1}^r} (|Du|_0^2 \cdot |D^r d_{\Gamma_r}|_r^2 - |D^r \tilde{u}_r|_r^2) \eta^2 - 2 \int_{B_{2r_1}^r} \langle D^r \tilde{u}_r, D^r (|Du|_0 \cdot d_{\Gamma_r} - \tilde{u}_r) \rangle_r \eta^2 \\ & = \int_{B_{2r_1}^r} (|Du|_0^2 - |D^r \tilde{u}_r|_r^2) \eta^2 \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{B_{2r_1}^r} (2\eta \langle D^r \eta, D^r \tilde{u}_r \rangle_r + \eta^2 \text{div}_r (D^r \tilde{u}_r)) (|Du|_0 \cdot d_{\Gamma_r} - \tilde{u}_r). \end{aligned}$$

Together with (4.22)(4.23) and Lemma (4.4), we complete the proof.  $\square$

If  $(Z, d)$  is a metric space and  $S_1, S_2 \subset Z$ , then we set

$$d(S_1, S_2) = \inf\{d(s_1, s_2) \mid s_1 \in S_1, s_2 \in S_2\}, \quad B(S_1, \epsilon) = \{z \in Z \mid d(z, S_1) < \epsilon\}.$$

And we define *Hausdorff distance*  $d_H$  on  $S_1, S_2$  by

$$d_H(S_1, S_2) = \inf\{\epsilon > 0 \mid S_1 \subset B(S_2, \epsilon), S_2 \subset B(S_1, \epsilon)\}.$$

If  $Z_1, Z_2$  are both metric spaces, then an *admissible metric* on the disjoint union  $Z_1 \amalg Z_2$  is a metric that extends the given metrics on  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ . With this one can define the *Gromov-Hausdorff distance* as

$$d_{GH}(Z_1, Z_2) = \inf\{d_H(Z_1, Z_2) \mid \text{admissible metrics on } Z_1 \amalg Z_2\}.$$

Now we consider a mapping  $B_{r_1}^r \rightarrow B_{(p,0)}(r_1, \Gamma_r \times \mathbb{R}) : y \mapsto (y_0, |Du|_0^{-1} \tilde{u}_r(y))$ , where  $y_0 \in \Gamma_r$  satisfies  $d_r(y, y_0) = d_{\Gamma_r}(y)$  and  $B_{(p,0)}(r_1, \Gamma_r \times \mathbb{R})$  denotes the ball in  $\Gamma_r \times \mathbb{R}$  with radius  $r_1$  and centered at  $(p, 0)$ . Together with Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.6, using Theorem 3.6 in [9], we obtain

$$(4.34) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} d_{GH}(B_{r_1}^r, B_{(p,0)}(r_1, \Gamma_r \times \mathbb{R})) = 0.$$

In fact, we can also obtain (4.34) through the following Lemma.

**Lemma 4.7.** *For any fixed  $r_1 > 0$*

$$(4.35) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y, z \in B_{r_1}^r} \left| (\tilde{u}_r(y) - \tilde{u}_r(z))^2 - |Du|_0^2 \cdot (d_r(y, z)^2 - d_r(y_0, z_0)^2) \right| = 0,$$

where  $y_0, z_0 \in \Gamma_r$  satisfy  $d_r(y, y_0) = d_{\Gamma_r}(y)$  and  $d_r(z, z_0) = d_{\Gamma_r}(z)$ .

*Proof.* We shall use the idea of the proof of (23.16) in [24] to show our Lemma. For any small  $\delta > 0$ , let  $\epsilon_i(r)$  be a general positive function satisfying  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_i(r) = 0$ , which depends only on  $n, r_1, c, V_\Sigma, \delta$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| (\tilde{u}_r(y) - \tilde{u}_r(z))^2 - |Du|_0^2 \cdot (d_r(y, z)^2 - d_r(y_0, z_0)^2) \right| \leq \epsilon_1(r) + \delta$$

for any  $y, z \in B_{r_1}^r$ . Suppose  $d_{\Gamma_r}(y) \geq d_{\Gamma_r}(z) \geq 0$  ( $d_{\Gamma_r}(y)d_{\Gamma_r}(z) \leq 0$  is similar). Let  $l_1 : [0, d_{\Gamma_r}(y)] \rightarrow r^{-2}\Sigma$  be a normal minimal geodesic joining  $y_0$  to  $y$ , and  $l_2 : [0, d_{\Gamma_r}(z)] \rightarrow r^{-2}\Sigma$  be a normal minimal geodesic joining  $z_0$  to  $z$ . When  $d_{\Gamma_r}(z) \leq t \leq d_{\Gamma_r}(y)$ , we set  $l_2(t) = z$  for convenience. Let  $Q(t) = d_r(l_1(t), l_2(t))$  and  $\gamma_t = \gamma_{t,r} : [0, Q(t)] \rightarrow r^{-2}\Sigma$  be a normal minimal geodesic joining  $l_2(t)$  to  $l_1(t)$ .

Let  $h_t(s) = \tilde{u}_r(\gamma_t(s))$ , then

$$(4.36) \quad \left| \frac{d^2 h_t}{ds^2} \right| = |\text{Hess}_{\tilde{u}_r}(\dot{\gamma}_t(s), \dot{\gamma}_t(s))| \leq |\text{Hess}_{\tilde{u}_r}|_r.$$

Note that  $\gamma_{t,r_{i_j}}$  converges to a normal minimal geodesic  $\tilde{\gamma}_t$  as  $r_{i_j}^{-1}\Sigma$  converges to a cone  $\Sigma_0$ . Hence due to  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{r_{i_j}}^s$  converging to a cone  $C\mathcal{Y}_s \subset \Sigma_0$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_t$  living on one side of  $C\mathcal{Y}_{\frac{3t}{4|Du|_0}}$  we obtain  $\gamma_{t,r_{i_j}}(s) \in r^{-2}\Sigma \setminus B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2|Du|_0}}^r$  for any  $0 \leq s \leq Q(t)$  and  $t \geq \epsilon$  if  $r$  is sufficiently large. Since we can choose any sequence  $r_i$  and then choose a suitable subsequence, we conclude  $\gamma_{t,r} \in r^{-2}\Sigma \setminus B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2|Du|_0}}^r$  for  $t \geq \epsilon$  and sufficiently large  $r$ . Hence combining (4.23) and the Newton-Leibniz formula we have

$$(4.37) \quad \left| h_t(Q(t)) - h_t(0) - Q(t) \frac{dh_t}{ds}(Q(t)) \right| \leq \epsilon_2(r)$$

for any fixed  $t \geq \epsilon$ . Note  $h_t(Q(t)) = \tilde{u}_r(l_1(t))$  and  $h_t(0) = \tilde{u}_r(l_2(t))$ , Lemma 4.4 implies

$$(4.38) \quad \begin{aligned} |h_t(Q(t)) - |Du|_0 t| &\leq \epsilon_3(r) && \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq d_{\Gamma_r}(y), \\ |h_t(0) - |Du|_0 t| &\leq \epsilon_4(r) && \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq d_{\Gamma_r}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume  $d_{\Gamma_r}(y) > \epsilon$ . So we obtain

$$(4.39) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| Q(t) \frac{dh_t}{ds}(Q(t)) \right| &\leq \epsilon_5(r) && \text{for } \epsilon \leq t < \max\{d_{\Gamma_r}(z), \epsilon\}, \\ \left| Q(t) \frac{dh_t}{ds}(Q(t)) - |Du|_0(t - d_{\Gamma_r}(z)) \right| &\leq \epsilon_6(r) && \text{for } \max\{d_{\Gamma_r}(z), \epsilon\} \leq t \leq d_{\Gamma_r}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously we get

$$(4.40) \quad \left| Q(t) \frac{dh_t}{ds}(0) \right| + \left| Q(t) \frac{dh_t}{ds}(Q(t)) \right| \leq 2\epsilon_5(r) \quad \text{for } \epsilon \leq t \leq \max\{d_{\Gamma_r}(z), \epsilon\}.$$

Note initial data  $Q(0) = d_r(y_0, z_0)$ , then for  $0 \leq t \leq d_{\Gamma_r}(z)$  we have

$$(4.41) \quad \begin{aligned} |Q^2(t) - d_r(y_0, z_0)^2| &\leq 2 \int_0^t \left| Q(s) \frac{dQ}{ds} \right| ds \\ &= 2 \int_0^t Q(s) \left| \left\langle \dot{\gamma}_s(Q(s)), \dot{l}_1(s) \right\rangle_r - \left\langle \dot{\gamma}_s(0), \dot{l}_2(s) \right\rangle_r \right| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left| \frac{dh_t}{ds} \Big|_{t=0} + \left| \frac{dh_t}{ds} \Big|_{t=Q(s)} \right| = \left| \left\langle \dot{\gamma}_s(0), D^r \tilde{u}_r \Big|_{l_2(s)} \right\rangle_r \right| + \left| \left\langle \dot{\gamma}_s(Q(s)), D^r \tilde{u}_r \Big|_{l_1(s)} \right\rangle_r \right|,$$

then combining (4.40) and (4.41) gets

$$(4.42) \quad \begin{aligned} |Du|_0 |Q^2(t) - d_r(y_0, z_0)| &\leq 2 \int_0^t Q(s) \left| \left\langle \dot{\gamma}_s(Q(s)), |Du|_0 \dot{l}_1(s) - D^r \tilde{u}_r \Big|_{l_1(s)} \right\rangle_r \right| ds \\ &+ 2 \int_0^t Q(s) \left| \left\langle \dot{\gamma}_s(0), |Du|_0 \dot{l}_2(s) - D^r \tilde{u}_r \Big|_{l_2(s)} \right\rangle_r \right| ds + 4\epsilon_5(r) + C\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  stands for a general positive constant. Lemma 4.4 indicates

$$(4.43) \quad \int_0^t \left( |Du|_0 - \left\langle \dot{l}_i(s), D^r \tilde{u}_r \Big|_{l_i(s)} \right\rangle_r \right) ds \leq \epsilon_6(r)$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then

$$(4.44) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left( \int_0^t \left| |Du|_0 \dot{l}_i(s) - D^r \tilde{u}_r \Big|_{l_i(s)} \right|_r ds \right)^2 \leq t \int_0^t \left| |Du|_0 \dot{l}_i(s) - D^r \tilde{u}_r \Big|_{l_i(s)} \right|_r^2 ds \\ &= t \int_0^t \left( |Du|_0^2 + |D^r \tilde{u}_r(l_i(s))|_r^2 - 2|Du|_0 \left\langle \dot{l}_i(s), D^r \tilde{u}_r \Big|_{l_i(s)} \right\rangle_r \right) ds \\ &\leq t \int_0^t \left( |D^r \tilde{u}_r(l_i(s))|_r^2 - |Du|_0^2 \right) ds + 2t^2 |Du|_0 \epsilon_6(r) \leq 2t^2 |Du|_0 \epsilon_6(r) \leq (\epsilon_7(r))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence combining (4.42) and (4.44) we obtain

$$(4.45) \quad |Du|_0 |Q^2(t) - d_r(y_0, z_0)|^2 \leq \epsilon_8(r) + C\epsilon.$$

For  $d_{\Gamma_r}(z) \leq t \leq d_{\Gamma_r}(y)$  we have

$$(4.46) \quad \left| \frac{dh_t}{ds}(Q(t)) - |Du|_0 \frac{dQ}{dt}(t) \right| = \left| \left\langle \dot{\gamma}_t(Q(t)), D^r \tilde{u}_r \Big|_{l_1(s)} \right\rangle_r - |Du|_0 \left\langle \dot{\gamma}_t(Q(t)), \dot{l}_1(t) \right\rangle_r \right|,$$

then similar to the argument for  $t \leq \max\{d_{\Gamma_r}(z), \epsilon\}$ , employing (4.43) and (4.46), and integrating the second inequality in (4.39) give

$$(4.47) \quad \left| Q^2(d_{\Gamma_r}(y)) - Q^2(d_{\Gamma_r}(z)) - (d_{\Gamma_r}(y) - d_{\Gamma_r}(z))^2 \right| \leq \epsilon_9(r) + C\epsilon,$$

where  $C$  is a constant. Note  $d_r(y, z) = Q(d_{\Gamma_r}(y))$ . Combining (4.45), (4.47) and Lemma 4.4 we have

$$(4.48) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left| (\tilde{u}_r(y) - \tilde{u}_r(z))^2 - |Du|_0^2 \cdot (d_r(y, z)^2 - d_r(y_0, z_0)^2) \right| \\ &\leq \left| (\tilde{u}_r(y) - \tilde{u}_r(z))^2 - |Du|_0^2 \cdot (Q^2(d_{\Gamma_r}(y)) - Q^2(d_{\Gamma_r}(z))) \right| \\ &\quad + |Du|_0^2 |Q^2(d_{\Gamma_r}(z)) - d_r(y_0, z_0)^2| \\ &\leq |Du|_0 (\epsilon_8(r) + C\epsilon) + |Du|_0^2 (\epsilon_9(r) + C\epsilon) \\ &\quad + \left| (\tilde{u}_r(y) - \tilde{u}_r(z))^2 - |Du|_0^2 \cdot (d_{\Gamma_r}(y) - d_{\Gamma_r}(z))^2 \right| \\ &= \epsilon_{10}(r) + C\epsilon + \left| (\tilde{u}_r(y) - \tilde{u}_r(z))^2 - (|Du|_0 \cdot d_{\Gamma_r}(y) - |Du|_0 \cdot d_{\Gamma_r}(z))^2 \right| \\ &\leq \epsilon_{11}(r) + C\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we complete the proof.  $\square$

For any sequence  $r_i \rightarrow \infty$  there is a subsequence  $r_{i_j}$  such that  $r_{i_j}^{-1}\Sigma = (\Sigma, r_{i_j}^{-1}\sigma, p)$  converges to a metric cone  $C\mathfrak{X}$  with vertex  $o$  over some smooth manifold  $\mathfrak{X}$  in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense. Let  $\mathfrak{B}_r$  be the geodesic ball with radius  $r$  and centered at  $o$  in  $C\mathfrak{X}$ . Then with (4.34) we get

$$(4.49) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} d_{GH}(\mathfrak{B}_{r_1}, B_{(p,0)}(r_1, \Gamma_{r_{i_j}} \times \mathbb{R})) = 0.$$

By the previous argument, there exists an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional cone  $\mathcal{Y}$  so that  $\Gamma_{r_{i_j}}$  converges to  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Hence  $C\mathfrak{X} = \mathcal{Y} \times \mathbb{R}$ , namely, any tangent cone of  $\Sigma$  at infinity splits off a factor  $\mathbb{R}$  isometrically.

Let  $B_s^r(z)$  be the ball with radius  $s$  and centered at  $z$  in  $r^{-2}\Sigma = (\Sigma, r^{-2}\sigma, p)$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $s > 0$ , by volume comparison theorem and condition C3), there is a sufficiently large  $r_0 > 0$  such that

$$\text{Vol}(B_s^{r_i}(z_i)) \geq (\omega_n - \epsilon)s^n$$

for each  $z_i \in r_i^{-2}\Sigma$  with  $d_{r_i}(z_i, p) \geq r_0 + s$  and  $r_i \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\mathfrak{B}_s(z)$  be the geodesic ball with radius  $s$  and centered at  $z$  in  $C\mathfrak{X}$ . Taking limit in the above inequality gets

$$(4.50) \quad \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{B}_s(z)) \geq (\omega_n - \epsilon)s^n$$

for any  $z \in C\mathfrak{X}$  with  $d_\infty(z, o) \geq r_0 + s$ , where  $d_\infty$  is the distance function on  $C\mathfrak{X}$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_s(y)$  be the geodesic ball with radius  $s$  and centered at  $y$  in  $C\mathcal{Y}$ . Let  $z = (y, t_z) \in \mathcal{Y} \times \mathbb{R}$ , then (4.50) implies

$$(4.51) \quad \int_{-s}^s \text{Vol}(\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{\sqrt{s^2-t^2}}(y)) dt \geq (\omega_n - \epsilon)s^n.$$

Let  $r_0 \rightarrow \infty$ , and we fix  $y$ , then  $t_z \rightarrow \infty$ . So we obtain (4.51) for any  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Hence

$$\int_{-s}^s \text{Vol}(\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{\sqrt{s^2-t^2}}(y)) dt \geq \omega_n s^n,$$

which means

$$(4.52) \quad \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{B}_s(z)) \geq \omega_n s^n \quad \text{for any } z \in C\mathfrak{X} \text{ and } s > 0.$$

Since  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Vol}(B_r(x))}{r^n}$  is monotonically nonincreasing, then (4.52) implies

$$(4.53) \quad \text{Vol}(B_s(z)) \geq \omega_n s^n \quad \text{for any } z \in \Sigma \text{ and } s > 0.$$

By the Bishop volume estimate,  $\Sigma$  is isometric to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (see also the proof of Theorem 0.3 in [16]).

Altogether, we obtain the following Liouville type theorem for minimal graphic functions with linear growth. This should be compared with the harmonic function theory in [13].

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $u$  be an entire solution to (2.1) on a complete Riemannian manifold  $\Sigma$  with conditions C1), C2), C3). If  $u$  has at most linear growth on one side, then  $u$  must be a constant unless  $\Sigma$  is isometric to Euclidean space.*



## 5. A LIOUVILLE THEOREM FOR MINIMAL GRAPHIC FUNCTIONS WITHOUT GROWTH CONDITIONS

Let  $G(p, \cdot)$  be the Green function on  $\Sigma^n (n \geq 3)$  and  $b = G^{\frac{1}{2-n}}$  as before. Now we set

$$\tilde{b} = \left( \frac{\omega_n}{V_\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} b$$

and define a function  $\mathcal{R}$  in  $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\mathcal{R}(x, t) = \sqrt{\tilde{b}^2(x) + t^2}, \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Then

$$(5.1) \quad \Delta_N \mathcal{R}^2 = 2n |\nabla \tilde{b}|^2 + 2, \quad |\bar{\nabla} \mathcal{R}|^2 = \frac{\tilde{b}^2 |\nabla \tilde{b}|^2 + t^2}{\tilde{b}^2 + t^2} \leq \left( \frac{\omega_n}{V_\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{2}{n-2}}.$$

Let  $\mathbb{B}_r$  be the ball in  $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$  with radius  $r$  and centered at  $(p, 0)$ . By the properties (2.7)(2.8)(2.9) of the function  $b$  we have

$$(5.2) \quad \Delta_N \mathcal{R}^2 - 2n |\bar{\nabla} \mathcal{R}|^2 = 2 + 2n \frac{t^2}{\mathcal{R}^2} \left( |\nabla \tilde{b}|^2 - 1 \right),$$

$$(5.3) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{\partial \mathbb{B}_r} \left| \frac{\mathcal{R}}{r} - 1 \right| + \sup_{\partial \mathbb{B}_r} \left| |\bar{\nabla} \mathcal{R}| - 1 \right| \right) = 0,$$

and

$$(5.4) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{\partial \mathbb{B}_r \times \mathbb{R}} |\overline{\text{Hess}} \mathcal{R}^2 - 2\bar{g}| \right) = 0.$$

Let  $\nu$  be the unit normal vector on  $M$  as before. A simple calculation gives

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta \mathcal{R}^2 &= \Delta_N \mathcal{R}^2 - \overline{\text{Hess}} \mathcal{R}^2(\nu, \nu) = 2n |\nabla \tilde{b}|^2 + 2 - \overline{\text{Hess}} \mathcal{R}^2(\nu, \nu) \\ &= 2n |\bar{\nabla} \mathcal{R}|^2 + 2 + 2n \frac{t^2}{\mathcal{R}^2} \left( |\nabla \tilde{b}|^2 - 1 \right) - \overline{\text{Hess}} \mathcal{R}^2(\nu, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M$  is an entire graph,

$$\lim_{r_i \rightarrow \infty} \left( \inf \left\{ d(x) \mid \text{there is a } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } (x, t) \in M \setminus \mathbb{B}_{\sqrt{r_i}} \right\} \right) = \infty.$$

Combining (5.4)(5.5)(2.8) there exists a sequence  $\delta_i \rightarrow 0^+$  such that on  $M \setminus \mathbb{B}_{\sqrt{r_i}}$  we have

$$(5.6) \quad |\Delta \mathcal{R}^2 - 2n |\bar{\nabla} \mathcal{R}|^2| \leq 2\delta_i |\bar{\nabla} \mathcal{R}|^2.$$

Obviously  $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$  has nonnegative Ricci curvature. Therefore,  $\text{Vol}(\partial \mathbb{B}_r) \leq |\mathbb{S}^n| r^n$ , where  $|\mathbb{S}^n|$  is the volume of  $n$ -dimensional unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Since  $M$  is an area-minimizing hypersurface in  $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$  by Lemma 2.1 in [21], then

$$\text{Vol}(M \cap \mathbb{B}_r) \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Vol}(\partial \mathbb{B}_r) \leq \frac{|\mathbb{S}^n|}{2} r^n.$$

With (5.3),

$$r^{-n} \int_{M \cap \{\mathcal{R} \leq r\}} |\bar{\nabla} \mathcal{R}|^2 d\mu$$

is uniformly bounded for any  $r \in (0, \infty)$ , and so, there exists a sequence  $r_i \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left( r^{-n} \int_{M \cap \{\mathcal{R} \leq r\}} |\bar{\nabla} \mathcal{R}|^2 d\mu \right) = \lim_{r_i \rightarrow \infty} \left( r_i^{-n} \int_{M \cap \{\mathcal{R} \leq r_i\}} |\bar{\nabla} \mathcal{R}|^2 d\mu \right).$$

With the proof of Lemma 5.2 in [21], we obtain the following Lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *There is a sequence  $\delta_i \rightarrow 0^+$  such that for any constants  $K_2 > K_1 > 0$  and  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  and any bounded Lipschitz function  $f$  on  $N \setminus \mathbb{B}_1$  we have*

$$(5.7) \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left( \frac{\delta_i}{K_2 r_i} \right)^n \int_{M \cap \{\mathcal{R} \leq \frac{K_2 r_i}{\delta_i}\}} f |\bar{\nabla} \mathcal{R}|^2 - \left( \frac{\delta_i}{K_1 r_i} \right)^n \int_{M \cap \{\mathcal{R} \leq \frac{K_1 r_i}{\delta_i}\}} f |\bar{\nabla} \mathcal{R}|^2 \right| \\ \leq C \epsilon^n \sup_{N \setminus \mathbb{B}_1} |f| + \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\frac{K_1 r_i}{\delta_i}}^{\frac{K_2 r_i}{\delta_i}} \left( s^{-n-1} \int_{M \cap \{\frac{\epsilon K_1 r_i}{\delta_i} < \mathcal{R} \leq s\}} \mathcal{R} \nabla f \cdot \nabla \mathcal{R} \right) ds.$$

There is a subsequence  $\{\epsilon_i\}$  of  $\{\delta_i^2 r_i^{-2}\}$  converging to zero such that  $\epsilon_i \Sigma = (\Sigma, \epsilon_i \sigma, p)$  converges to a metric cone  $(\Sigma_\infty, d_\infty)$  with vertex  $o$  in the measured Gromov-Hausdorff sense. Denote  $\epsilon_i = \delta_i^2 r_i^{-2}$  for simplicity. The cone  $\Sigma_\infty$  is over some  $(n-1)$ -dimensional smooth compact manifold  $X$  with  $C^{1,\alpha}$  Riemannian metric and  $\text{Diam } X \leq \pi$ , namely,  $\Sigma_\infty = CX \triangleq \mathbb{R}^+ \times_\rho X$ .

Let  $B_r^i(x)$  be the geodesic ball with radius  $r$  and centered at  $x$  in  $(\Sigma, \epsilon_i \sigma)$ , and  $\mathcal{B}_r(x)$  be the geodesic ball with radius  $r$  and centered at  $x$  in  $\Sigma_\infty$ . In particular,  $X = \partial \mathcal{B}_1(o)$ . Note that for convenience our definitions of  $B_r^i(x)$  are different from the previous ones in section 4. Let  $\mathbb{B}_r^i(x)$  be the geodesic ball with radius  $r$  and centered at  $x$  in  $(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}, \epsilon_i(\sigma + dt^2))$ , and  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_r(x)$  be the geodesic ball with radius  $r$  and centered at  $x$  in  $\Sigma_\infty \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\epsilon_i M = (M, \epsilon_i g)$  and  $D_r^i(x) = \epsilon_i M \cap \mathbb{B}_r^i(x)$ . We always omit  $x$  in  $D_r^i(x)$  (or  $\mathbb{B}_r^i(x), \mathcal{B}_r(x), \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_r(x)$ ) if  $x = p$  (or  $x = (p, 0), o, (o, 0)$ ) respectively, for simplicity. Clearly,  $\epsilon_i M$  is still a minimal graph in  $(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}, \epsilon_i(\sigma + dt^2))$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *There exists a subsequence  $\{\epsilon_{i_j}\} \subset \{\epsilon_i\}$  such that  $\epsilon_{i_j} M$  converges to an area-minimizing cone  $T = CY \triangleq \mathbb{R}^+ \times_\rho Y$  in  $\Sigma_\infty \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $Y \in \partial \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(o)$  is an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff set.*

*Proof.* For any fixed  $r > 1$  let  $\Upsilon_i : \left( \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{r+1} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{2r}} \right) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \epsilon_i \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$  be a mapping defined by  $\Upsilon_i(x, t) = (\Phi_i(x), t) \in \epsilon_i \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\Phi_i$  is a diffeomorphism from  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{r+1} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{2r}}$  to  $\Phi_i(\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{r+1} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{2r}}) \subset \epsilon_i \Sigma$  such that  $\Phi_i^*(\epsilon_i \sigma)$  converges as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  to  $\sigma_\infty$  in the  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -topology on  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{r+1} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{2r}}$ . Thus  $\Upsilon_i^*(\epsilon_i \sigma + \epsilon_i dt^2)$  converges as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  to  $\sigma_\infty + dt^2$  in the  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -topology on  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{r+1} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\frac{1}{2r}}$ . By compactness of currents (see [2], [34], [40] or [21]), there is a subsequence of  $\epsilon_{i_j}$  such that

$$\Upsilon_{i_j}^{-1} \left( \epsilon_{i_j} M \cap \left( B_r^{i_j} \setminus B_{\frac{1}{r}}^{i_j} \right) \times \mathbb{R} \right) \rightarrow T \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $T$  is an integral-rectifiable current in  $\Sigma_\infty \times \mathbb{R}$ . By choosing a diagonal sequence, we can assume that the above limit holds for any  $r > 1$ . For convenience, we still write  $\epsilon_i$  instead of  $\epsilon_{i_j}$ .

Let  $\Omega_0$  be an arbitrary bounded domain in  $T$ , and  $W_0$  be an arbitrary bounded set with induced metric in  $\Sigma_\infty \times \mathbb{R}$  with  $\partial \Omega_0 = \partial W_0$ . There is a constant  $R > 0$  such that  $\Omega_0 \cup W_0 \subset \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_R$ . For any small  $\delta > 0$  let  $\Omega_i = \Upsilon_i(\Omega_0 \setminus (\mathcal{B}_\delta \times [-R, R])) \subset \epsilon_i M$  and  $W_i = \Upsilon_i(W_0 \setminus (\mathcal{B}_\delta \times [-R, R]))$  with induced metrics in  $\epsilon_i N$ . Then there exists  $U_0 \subset \partial(\mathcal{B}_\delta \times [-R, R])$  (possibly empty) such that  $\partial \Omega_i = \partial(W_i \cup U_i)$  with  $U_i = \Upsilon_i(U_0) \subset \epsilon_i N$ .

Since  $\epsilon_i M$  is an area-minimizing hypersurface in  $\epsilon_i N$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 H^n(\Omega_0 \setminus (\mathcal{B}_\delta \times [-R, R])) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H^n(\Omega_i) \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H^n(W_i \cup U_i) \\
 (5.8) \quad &\leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H^n(W_i) + \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H^n(U_i) = H^n(W_0 \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\delta) + H^n(U_0) \\
 &\leq H^n(W_0) + H^n(\partial(\mathcal{B}_\delta \times [-R, R])).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  to obtain

$$H^n(\Omega_0) \leq H^n(W_0).$$

Namely,  $T$  is an area-minimizing set in  $\Sigma_\infty \times \mathbb{R}$ .

For any  $f \in C^1(\partial\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1)$ , we could extend  $f$  to  $\Sigma_\infty \times \mathbb{R} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  by defining

$$f(\rho\theta) = f(\theta)$$

for any  $\rho > 0$  and  $\theta \in \partial\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$ . Let  $\Pi_i$  be the map of rescaling from  $(N, \sigma + dt^2)$  to  $\epsilon_i N = (N, \epsilon_i \sigma + \epsilon_i dt^2)$ . Set  $U_s = B_s \times \mathbb{R}$  for  $s > 0$ . Note  $\epsilon_i = \delta_i^2 r_i^{-2}$ , then similar to the proof of (4.12) and (4.13) in [21], for any  $K_2 > K_1 > 0$  we have

$$(5.9) \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{K_2 r_i}{\delta_i}} \setminus U_{\frac{\epsilon K_1 r_i}{\delta_i}}} |\langle \bar{\nabla}(f \circ \Upsilon_i^{-1} \circ \Pi_i), \bar{\nabla} \mathcal{R}^2 \rangle| = 0,$$

and

$$(5.10) \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{K_2 r_i}{\delta_i}} \setminus U_{\frac{\epsilon K_1 r_i}{\delta_i}}} (\mathcal{R} |\bar{\nabla}(f \circ \Upsilon_i^{-1} \circ \Pi_i)|) < \infty,$$

Now we can extend the function  $f \circ \Upsilon_i^{-1} \circ \Pi_i$  to a uniformly bounded function  $F_i$  in  $\mathbb{B}_{\frac{K_2 r_i}{\delta_i}} \setminus U_{\frac{\epsilon K_1 r_i}{\delta_i}}$  with  $F_i = f \circ \Upsilon_i^{-1} \circ \Pi_i$  on  $\mathbb{B}_{\frac{K_2 r_i}{\delta_i}} \setminus U_{\frac{\epsilon K_1 r_i}{\delta_i}}$ . Obviously, we can extend  $F_i$  to a  $C^1$ -function on  $\mathbb{B}_{\frac{K_2 r_i}{\delta_i}} \cap U_{\frac{\epsilon K_1 r_i}{\delta_i}}$  with  $|F_i| \leq 2|f_0|_{C^0(\partial\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1)}$ .

Note  $\mathcal{R}^2(x, t) = \tilde{b}^2(x) + t^2$  for any  $(x, t) \in \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ . Due to the proof of Lemma 5.3 in [21], it is sufficient to show that there is a sequence  $\tau_i \in [\epsilon, 2\epsilon]$  so that

$$(5.11) \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\frac{K_1 r_i}{\delta_i}}^{\frac{K_2 r_i}{\delta_i}} \left( \frac{1}{s^{n+1}} \int_{M \cap \{\frac{\tau_i K_1 r_i}{\delta_i} < \mathcal{R} \leq s\} \cap (\{\tilde{b} \leq \frac{\tau_i K_1 r_i}{\delta_i}\} \times \mathbb{R})} \mathcal{R} \nabla F_i \cdot \nabla \mathcal{R} \right) ds < C\epsilon$$

for some absolute constant  $C > 0$ .

We show (5.11) by the following consideration.

Let  $\Omega_{s, i, \tau} = M \cap \{\frac{\tau K_1 r_i}{\delta_i} < \mathcal{R} \leq s\} \cap (\{\tilde{b} \leq \frac{\tau K_1 r_i}{\delta_i}\} \times \mathbb{R})$  for  $s \in (\frac{K_1 r_i}{\delta_i}, \frac{K_2 r_i}{\delta_i})$ . Integrating by parts implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.12) \quad &\int_{\Omega_{s, i, \tau}} \nabla F_i \cdot \nabla \mathcal{R}^2 + \int_{\Omega_{s, i, \tau}} F_i \Delta \mathcal{R}^2 = \int_{\Omega_{s, i, \tau}} \operatorname{div}_M (F_i \nabla \mathcal{R}^2) \\
 &= \int_{\partial\Omega_{s, i, \tau}} F_i \langle \nabla \mathcal{R}^2, \nu_{\partial\Omega_{s, i, \tau}} \rangle \leq \int_{\partial\Omega_{s, i, \tau}} F_i |\nabla \mathcal{R}^2| \leq C_1 s \int_{\partial\Omega_{s, i, \tau}} 1
 \end{aligned}$$

for some absolute constant  $C_1$ . Recall  $|\overline{\nabla \mathcal{R}}| \leq \left(\frac{\omega_n}{V_\Sigma}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}$ . It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{V_\Sigma}{\omega_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} \frac{K_1 r_i}{\delta_i} \int_\epsilon^{\infty} \left( \int_{\partial \Omega_{s,i,\tau}} 1 \right) d\tau \leq \frac{K_1 r_i}{\delta_i} \int_\epsilon^{\infty} \left( \int_{\partial \Omega_{s,i,\tau}} \frac{1}{|\overline{\nabla \mathcal{R}}|} \right) d\tau \\
& \leq \text{Vol} \left( M \cap \{\mathcal{R} \leq s\} \cap \left( \left\{ \frac{\epsilon K_1 r_i}{\delta_i} \leq \tilde{b} \leq \frac{2\epsilon K_1 r_i}{\delta_i} \right\} \times \mathbb{R} \right) \right) \\
& \quad + \text{Vol} \left( M \cap \left\{ \frac{\epsilon K_1 r_i}{\delta_i} \leq \mathcal{R} \leq \frac{2\epsilon K_1 r_i}{\delta_i} \right\} \right) \\
(5.13) \quad & \leq \text{Vol} \left( \partial \left( \{\mathcal{R} \leq s\} \cap \left( \left\{ \frac{\epsilon K_1 r_i}{\delta_i} \leq \tilde{b} \leq \frac{2\epsilon K_1 r_i}{\delta_i} \right\} \times \mathbb{R} \right) \right) \right) \\
& \quad + \text{Vol} \left( \partial \left( \left\{ \frac{\epsilon K_1 r_i}{\delta_i} \leq \mathcal{R} \leq \frac{2\epsilon K_1 r_i}{\delta_i} \right\} \right) \right) \\
& \leq C_2 s \left( \frac{\epsilon K_1 r_i}{\delta_i} \right)^{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

for some absolute constant  $C_2$ . Hence, for every  $i$  there exists a  $\tau_i \in [\epsilon, 2\epsilon]$  such that

$$(5.14) \quad \int_{\partial \Omega_{s,i,\tau_i}} 1 \leq C_3 s \left( \frac{\epsilon K_1 r_i}{\delta_i} \right)^{n-2}.$$

Through a simple calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\Omega_{s,i,\tau_i}} F_i \Delta \mathcal{R}^2 \right| \leq C_4 \text{Vol}(\Omega_{s,i,\tau_i}) \\
(5.15) \quad & \leq C_4 \text{Vol} \left( \partial \left( \left\{ \frac{\tau K_1 r_i}{\delta_i} < \mathcal{R} \leq s \right\} \cap \left( \left\{ \tilde{b} \leq \frac{\tau K_1 r_i}{\delta_i} \right\} \times \mathbb{R} \right) \right) \right) \\
& \leq C_5 s \left( \frac{\epsilon K_1 r_i}{\delta_i} \right)^{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(5.16) \quad \int_{\Omega_{s,i,\tau_i}} \nabla F_i \cdot \nabla \mathcal{R}^2 \leq - \int_{\Omega_{s,i,\tau_i}} F_i \Delta \mathcal{R}^2 + C_1 s \int_{\partial \Omega_{s,i,\tau_i}} 1 \leq C_6 s^2 \left( \frac{\epsilon K_1 r_i}{\delta_i} \right)^{n-2},$$

which implies that (5.11) holds. Then, as in Lemma 5.3 in [21], we can show that

$$(5.17) \quad \frac{1}{K_2^n} \int_{T \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{K_2}} f = \frac{1}{K_1^n} \int_{T \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{K_1}} f$$

for arbitrary  $f$ . This means  $T$  is a cone in  $\Sigma_\infty \times \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, we complete the proof.  $\square$

Set  $s_i = (\epsilon_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  for convenience. The definitions of  $D^{s_i}$ ,  $\tilde{u}_{s_i}$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{s_i}$ ,  $|\cdot|_{s_i}$  are as in section 4. We define  $\rho_\infty(x) = d_\infty(o, x)$  and  $\bar{\rho}_\infty(x) = \bar{d}_\infty(o, x)$  as distance functions on  $\Sigma_\infty$  and  $N_\infty$ , respectively. Let  $\rho_i(x)$  be the distance function on  $\epsilon_i \Sigma$  from  $o$  to  $x$ , and  $\bar{\rho}_i(z)$  be the distance function on  $\epsilon_i(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R})$  from  $(o, 0)$  to  $z$ .

For each  $x \in \epsilon_i \Sigma$  there is a minimal normal geodesic  $\gamma_x^i$  from  $p$  to  $x$  such that  $D^{s_i} \rho_i(x) = \dot{\gamma}_x^i$ . When  $\epsilon_i = 1$ , we define  $D\rho(x)$  corresponding to the normal geodesic  $\dot{\gamma}_x$ . Hence  $D^{s_i} \rho_i(x)$  depends on the choice of  $\gamma_x^i$ . Note that  $\rho_i(x)$  is just a Lipschitz function on  $\epsilon_i \Sigma$ , but the definition of  $D^{s_i} \rho_i(x)$  is equivalent to the common one if  $\rho_i$  is  $C^1$  at the considered point.

Let  $T_i = \epsilon_i M \cap ((B_2^i \setminus B_\epsilon^i) \times [\frac{1}{\delta}, \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon}])$  for  $\delta > 0$  and a 'bad' set

$$(5.18) \quad E_i \triangleq \left\{ z = (x, t) \in T_i \mid |D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}(x)|_{s_i} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \text{ or } \left| (D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}(x))^T \right|_{s_i}^2 \leq (1 - \epsilon^4) |D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}(x)|_{s_i}^2 \right\},$$

where  $\xi^T = \xi - \langle \xi, D^{s_i} \rho_i \rangle_{s_i} D^{s_i} \rho_i$  for any local vector field  $\xi$  on  $\epsilon_i \Sigma$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *Suppose  $\sup_\Sigma |Du| = \infty$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a sufficiently small  $\delta_0$  such that for any  $0 < \delta < \delta_0$  there is a sufficiently large  $i_0$  so that for  $i \geq i_0$  we have*

$$H^n(E_i) < \epsilon^n.$$

*Proof.* Let  $U_j$  be the subgraph of  $\tilde{u}_{s_i}$  in  $\epsilon_i \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\{(x, t) \in \epsilon_i \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \mid t < \tilde{u}_{s_i}(x)\}.$$

By Rellich theorem, for any compact  $K \subset \Sigma_\infty$  there is a subsequence of the characteristic functions  $\chi_{U_j}$  converging to  $\chi_{\tilde{U}}$  in  $L^1(K)$  up to a diffeomorphism (see Proposition 16.5 in [25] for the Euclidean case). Clearly,  $\tilde{U}$  can be represented as a subgraph of some generalized function  $\mathbf{u}$  (possibly equal to  $\pm\infty$  somewhere) in  $\Sigma_\infty \times \mathbb{R}$ , namely,

$$\tilde{U} = \{(x, t) \in \Sigma_\infty \times \mathbb{R} \mid t < \mathbf{u}(x)\}.$$

Note  $T = \partial\tilde{U}$ .  $\mathbf{u}(x)$  is a homogeneous function of degree 1 in  $\Sigma_\infty \setminus \{o\}$  as  $T$  is a cone through  $o$ .

If  $\sup_\Sigma |Du| = \infty$ ,  $T$  contains a half line  $\{o\} \times (0, \infty)$  or  $\{o\} \times (-\infty, 0)$ . Without loss generality, we assume  $\{o\} \times (0, \infty) \subset T$ . Now we define a set  $P$  by  $\{x \in \Sigma_\infty \mid \mathbf{u}(x) = +\infty\}$ . Since  $T$  is a cone through the point  $o$ , then  $P$  is also a cone through  $o$  in  $\Sigma_\infty$ . In fact, for any  $x \in P$  we have  $\mathbf{u}(x) = +\infty$ . In particular, there is  $(r, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times_\rho X = CX$  so that  $x = (r, \theta)$ . Then  $\mathbf{u}(tx) = +\infty$  for  $tx = (tr, \theta)$ , which means that  $P$  is a cone.

For any  $z \in T \cap ((\mathcal{B}_2 \setminus \mathcal{B}_\epsilon) \times \{s\})$ , the slope of the line connecting  $z$  and  $o$  becomes larger and larger as  $s$  increases to infinity. Hence for any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  we have

$$(5.19) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} d_{GH} \left( T \cap \left( (\mathcal{B}_2 \setminus \mathcal{B}_\epsilon) \times \left[ \frac{1}{\delta}, \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} \right] \right), \left( \partial P \cap (\mathcal{B}_2 \setminus \mathcal{B}_\epsilon) \right) \times \left[ 0, \frac{2}{\epsilon} \right] \right) = 0.$$

Combining (3.17)-(3.20) and that  $M$  is area-minimizing, it is clear that there is a constant  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  depending only on  $\Sigma$  so that

$$\epsilon_0 r^n \leq \int_{M \cap \mathbb{B}_r(z)} 1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Vol}(\partial \mathbb{B}_r(z)) \leq \frac{|\mathbb{S}^n|}{2} r^n \quad \text{for any } r > 0, z \in M.$$

Since  $\epsilon_i M \rightarrow T$ , for any  $r > 0$  and  $y \in T$  we have

$$\epsilon_0 r^n \leq \int_{T \cap \mathcal{B}_r(y)} 1 \leq \frac{|\mathbb{S}^n|}{2} r^n.$$

Due to (5.19) and  $\{o\} \times (0, \infty) \subset T$ , it is not hard to see that

$$(5.20) \quad H^{n-1}(\partial P \cap (\mathcal{B}_2 \setminus \mathcal{B}_\epsilon)) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H^{n-2}(\partial P \cap \partial \mathcal{B}_1) > 0$$

as  $P$  is a cone through  $o$ .

Let  $\Phi_i : \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\frac{2}{\delta}} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\epsilon \rightarrow \Phi(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\frac{2}{\delta}} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\epsilon) \subset \epsilon_i \Sigma$  be a diffeomorphism such that  $\Phi_i^*(\epsilon_i \sigma)$  converges as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  to  $\sigma_\infty$  in the  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -topology on  $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\frac{2}{\delta}} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\epsilon$ , and  $\Upsilon_i(x, t) = (\Phi_i(x), t)$  for any  $x \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\frac{2}{\delta}} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\epsilon$ . Note that  $\Phi_i$  and  $\Upsilon_i$  depend on  $\delta$ . Obviously,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho_i \circ \Phi_i = \rho_\infty$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\frac{2}{\delta}} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\epsilon$

and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\rho}_i \circ \Upsilon_i = \bar{\rho}_\infty$  in  $(\mathcal{B}_{\frac{2}{\delta}} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\epsilon) \times (-\frac{2}{\delta}, \frac{2}{\delta})$ . Since  $\epsilon_i M \cap (\mathbb{B}_{\frac{4}{\delta}}^i \setminus \mathbb{B}_\epsilon^i)$  converges to  $T \cap (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\frac{4}{\delta}} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\epsilon)$  in the varifold sense, for any compact set  $\tilde{K} \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\frac{4}{\delta}} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\epsilon$  we have

$$(5.21) \quad 0 = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\epsilon_i M \llcorner \Upsilon_i(\tilde{K})) (\bar{\omega}^* \circ \Upsilon_i^{-1}) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\epsilon_i M \cap \Upsilon_i(\tilde{K})} \langle \bar{\omega}^* \circ \Upsilon_i^{-1}, \nu_i \rangle d\mu_i,$$

where  $\bar{\omega}^*$  is the dual form of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\rho}_\infty}$  in  $TN_\infty$ . For any compact set  $K \subset (\mathcal{B}_2 \setminus \mathcal{B}_\epsilon) \times [\frac{1}{\delta}, \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon}]$ , we let  $K_s = \{x \mid (x, s) \in K\}$  be a slice of  $K$  for  $s \in [\frac{1}{\delta}, \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon}]$ .

Since  $\epsilon_i M \rightarrow T$ , (5.19) implies

$$(5.22) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H^n \left( \left\{ (x, t) \in T_i \mid |D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}(x)|_{s_i} \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \right\} \right) = 0.$$

Thus from (5.21) we obtain

$$(5.23) \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\frac{1}{\delta}}^{\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon}} \left( \int_{\epsilon_i M \cap \Phi_i(K_s)} \left\langle \omega^* \circ \Phi_i^{-1}, \frac{D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}}{\sqrt{1 + |D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}|_{s_i}^2}} \right\rangle_{s_i} \right) ds \right| \leq C \frac{\delta}{\epsilon}$$

for some constant  $C$ , where  $\omega^*$  is the dual form of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_\infty}$  in  $T\Sigma_\infty$ . Note that  $D^{s_i} \rho_i \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_\infty}$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  on any compact set in  $\Sigma_\infty \setminus \{o\}$  up to a diffeomorphism  $\Phi_i$ , then it follows that

$$(5.24) \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\frac{1}{\delta}}^{\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon}} \left( \int_{\epsilon_i M \cap \Phi_i(K_s)} \frac{\langle D^{s_i} \rho_i, D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i} \rangle_{s_i}}{\sqrt{1 + |D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}|_{s_i}^2}} \right) ds \right| \leq C \frac{\delta}{\epsilon}$$

Together with (5.22) and (5.24), we complete the proof.  $\square$

Since  $M$  is a minimal graph in  $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ , it is stable, and  $\epsilon_i M$  is also a stable minimal hypersurface in  $\epsilon_i(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R})$ . Let  $B^i$  be the second fundamental form of  $\epsilon_i M$  in  $\epsilon_i N$  and  $Ric_{\epsilon_i N}$  be Ricci curvature of  $\epsilon_i N$ . For any Lipschitz function  $\phi$  with compact support in  $\epsilon_i M$  we have

$$(5.25) \quad \int_{\epsilon_i M} (|B^i|^2 + Ric_{\epsilon_i N}(\nu_i, \nu_i)) \phi^2 \leq \int_{\epsilon_i M} |\nabla^i \phi|^2,$$

where  $\nabla^i$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $\epsilon_i M$ .

Now we suppose that there exists sufficiently large  $r_0 > 0$  such that the non-radial Ricci curvature of  $\Sigma$  satisfies

$$(5.26) \quad \inf_{\partial B_r} Ric_\Sigma(\xi^T, \xi^T) \geq \frac{\kappa}{r^2} > 0$$

for all  $r \geq r_0$  and  $n \geq 3$ , where  $\xi$  is a local vector field on  $\Sigma$  with  $\xi^T = \xi - \langle \xi, D\rho \rangle D\rho$  and  $|\xi^T| = 1$ . The definition of  $D\rho$  is as before.

**Lemma 5.4.** *If  $\sup_\Sigma |Du| = \infty$ , then  $\kappa$  in (5.26) satisfies  $\kappa \leq \frac{(n-3)^2}{4}$ .*

*Proof.* By re-scaling we get

$$\inf_{\partial B_r^i} Ric_{\epsilon_i \Sigma}(\eta^T, \eta^T) \geq \frac{\kappa}{r^2} > 0$$

for all  $r \geq \sqrt{\epsilon_i} r_0$ , where  $\eta \in \Gamma(T(\epsilon_i N))$ ,  $\eta^T = \eta - \langle \eta, D^{s_i} \rho_i \rangle_{s_i} D^{s_i} \rho_i$  with  $|\eta^T|_{s_i} = 1$ . Noting that conditions C1) and C3) are both invariant under scaling, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.27) \quad Ric_{\epsilon_i N}(\nu_i, \nu_i) &= \frac{1}{1 + |D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}|_{s_i}^2} Ric_{\epsilon_i \Sigma}(D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}, D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{1 + |D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}|_{s_i}^2} \left( Ric_{\epsilon_i \Sigma}((D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i})^T, (D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i})^T) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2 \langle D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}, D^{s_i} \rho_i \rangle_{s_i} Ric_{\epsilon_i N}((D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i})^T, D^{s_i} \rho_i) \right) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{1 + |D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}|_{s_i}^2} \left( \kappa' |(D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i})^T|_{s_i}^2 - c' |(D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i})^T|_{s_i} \langle D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}, D^{s_i} \rho_i \rangle_{s_i} \right) \rho_i^{-2}
 \end{aligned}$$

for some absolute constant  $c' > 0$ .

Let  $\eta$  be the Lipschitz function on  $\epsilon_i \Sigma$  defined by

$$\eta(x) = (\rho_i(x))^{\frac{3-n}{2}} \sin\left(\pi \frac{\log \rho_i(x)}{\log \epsilon}\right)$$

in  $B_1^i \setminus B_\epsilon^i$  and  $\eta = 0$  in other places. Let  $\tau$  be a Lipschitz function on  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $\tau \equiv 1$  on  $[\frac{1}{\delta} + 1, \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} - 1]$ ,  $\tau(t) \equiv 0$  for  $t \in (-\infty, \frac{1}{\delta}] \cup [\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon}, \infty)$ , and  $|\tau'| \leq 1$ .

For  $z = (x, t) \in \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$  set  $\varphi(z) = \eta(x)\tau(t)$ . Let  $\bar{\nabla}^i$  be the Levi-Civita connection of  $\epsilon_i N$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.28) \quad \int_{\epsilon_i M} Ric_{\epsilon_i N}(\nu_i, \nu_i) \varphi^2 &\leq \int_{\epsilon_i M} |\bar{\nabla}^i \varphi|^2 = \int_{\epsilon_i M} (|D^{s_i} \eta|_{s_i}^2 \tau^2 + \eta^2 |\tau'|^2) \\
 &\leq \int_{\frac{1}{\delta}}^{\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon}} \left( \int_{\epsilon_i M \cap \epsilon_i \Sigma \times \{t\}} |D^{s_i} \eta|_{s_i}^2 \right) dt + \left( \int_{\frac{1}{\delta}}^{\frac{1}{\delta} + 1} + \int_{\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} - 1}^{\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon}} \right) \left( \int_{\epsilon_i M \cap \epsilon_i \Sigma \times \{t\}} \eta^2 \right) dt \\
 &= \int_{\frac{1}{\delta}}^{\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon}} \left( \int_{\epsilon_i M \cap (B_1^i \setminus B_\epsilon^i) \times \{t\}} \left( \frac{3-n}{2} \sin\left(\pi \frac{\log \rho_i}{\log \epsilon}\right) + \frac{\pi}{\log \epsilon} \cos\left(\pi \frac{\log \rho_i}{\log \epsilon}\right) \right)^2 \rho_i^{1-n} \right) dt \\
 &\quad + \left( \int_{\frac{1}{\delta}}^{\frac{1}{\delta} + 1} + \int_{\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} - 1}^{\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon}} \right) \left( \int_{\epsilon_i M \cap (B_1^i \setminus B_\epsilon^i) \times \{t\}} \sin^2\left(\pi \frac{\log \rho_i}{\log \epsilon}\right) \rho_i^{3-n} \right) dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Denote  $E_i$  as (5.18). For any  $z \in T_i \setminus E_i$  we have  $|D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}(z)|_{s_i} > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$  and

$$|\langle D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}(z), D^{s_i} \rho_i \rangle_{s_i}| \leq \epsilon^2 |D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}(z)|_{s_i}.$$

Note  $Ric_{\epsilon_i N}(\nu_i, \nu_i) = (1 + |D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}|_{s_i}^2)^{-1} Ric(D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}, D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i})$ . Combining (5.27) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\epsilon_i M} Ric_{\epsilon_i N}(\nu_i, \nu_i) \varphi^2 \geq \int_{\epsilon_i M \cap \{\frac{1}{\delta} + 1 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} - 1\}} Ric_{\epsilon_i N}(\nu_i, \nu_i) \eta^2 \\
& \geq \int_{\frac{1}{\delta} + 1}^{\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} - 1} \left( \int_{(\epsilon_i M \setminus E_i) \cap (B_1^i \setminus B_\epsilon^i) \times \{t\}} \frac{1}{\rho_i^2} \frac{1}{1 + |D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}|_{s_i}^2} \left( \kappa |(D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i})^T|_{s_i}^2 \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - c' |(D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i})^T|_{s_i} \langle D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}, D^{s_i} \rho_i \rangle_{s_i} \right) \sin^2 \left( \pi \frac{\log \rho_i}{\log \epsilon} \right) \rho_i^{3-n} \right) dt \\
(5.29) \quad & \geq \int_{\frac{1}{\delta} + 1}^{\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} - 1} \left( \int_{(\epsilon_i M \setminus E_i) \cap (B_1^i \setminus B_\epsilon^i) \times \{t\}} \frac{|D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}|_{s_i}^2}{1 + |D^{s_i} \tilde{u}_{s_i}|_{s_i}^2} \left( \kappa(1 - \epsilon^2) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - c' \epsilon^2 \right) \sin^2 \left( \pi \frac{\log \rho_i}{\log \epsilon} \right) \rho_i^{1-n} \right) dt \\
& \geq \frac{\kappa(1 - \epsilon^2) - c' \epsilon^2}{1 + \epsilon^2} \int_{\frac{1}{\delta} + 1}^{\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} - 1} \left( \int_{(\epsilon_i M \setminus E_i) \cap (B_1^i \setminus B_\epsilon^i) \times \{t\}} \sin^2 \left( \pi \frac{\log \rho_i}{\log \epsilon} \right) \rho_i^{1-n} \right) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Due to  $H^n(E_i) < \epsilon^n$  in Lemma 5.3, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\epsilon_i M} Ric_{\epsilon_i N}(\nu_i, \nu_i) \varphi^2 \geq \frac{\kappa - (\kappa + c') \epsilon^2}{1 + \epsilon^2} \int_{\frac{1}{\delta} + 1}^{\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} - 1} \left( -\epsilon^{1-n} H^n(E_i) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{\epsilon_i M \cap (B_1^i \setminus B_\epsilon^i) \times \{t\}} \sin^2 \left( \pi \frac{\log \rho_i}{\log \epsilon} \right) \rho_i^{1-n} \right) dt \\
(5.30) \quad & \geq \frac{\kappa - (\kappa + c') \epsilon^2}{1 + \epsilon^2} \int_{\frac{1}{\delta} + 1}^{\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} - 1} \left( \int_{\epsilon_i M \cap (B_1^i \setminus B_\epsilon^i) \times \{t\}} \sin^2 \left( \pi \frac{\log \rho_i}{\log \epsilon} \right) \rho_i^{1-n} \right) dt \\
& \quad - 2 \frac{1 - \epsilon}{1 + \epsilon^2} (\kappa - (\kappa + c') \epsilon^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (5.28) we let  $i \rightarrow \infty$  and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.31) \quad & \frac{\kappa - (\kappa + c') \epsilon^2}{1 + \epsilon^2} \int_{\frac{1}{\delta} + 1}^{\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} - 1} \left( \int_{CY \cap (B_1 \setminus B_\epsilon) \times \{t\}} \sin^2 \left( \pi \frac{\log \rho_\infty}{\log \epsilon} \right) \rho_\infty^{1-n} \right) dt \\
& \quad - 2 \frac{1 - \epsilon}{1 + \epsilon^2} (\kappa - (\kappa + c') \epsilon^2) \\
& \leq \int_{\frac{1}{\delta}}^{\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon}} \left( \int_{CY \cap (B_1 \setminus B_\epsilon) \times \{t\}} \left( \frac{3-n}{2} \sin \left( \pi \frac{\log \rho_\infty}{\log \epsilon} \right) + \frac{\pi}{\log \epsilon} \cos \left( \pi \frac{\log \rho_\infty}{\log \epsilon} \right) \right)^2 \rho_\infty^{1-n} \right) dt \\
& \quad + \left( \int_{\frac{1}{\delta}}^{\frac{1}{\delta} + 1} + \int_{\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} - 1} \right) \left( \int_{CY \cap (B_1 \setminus B_\epsilon) \times \{t\}} \sin^2 \left( \pi \frac{\log \rho_\infty}{\log \epsilon} \right) \rho_\infty^{3-n} \right) dt,
\end{aligned}$$



where  $\rho_\infty$  is the distance function on  $\Sigma_\infty = CX$  from the fixed point  $o$  to the considered point. Letting  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , and using (5.19) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\kappa - (\kappa + c')\epsilon^2}{1 + \epsilon^2} \int_1^{\frac{2}{\epsilon}-1} \left( \int_{\partial P \cap (\mathcal{B}_1 \setminus \mathcal{B}_\epsilon)} \sin^2 \left( \pi \frac{\log \rho_\infty}{\log \epsilon} \right) \rho_\infty^{1-n} \right) dt \\
 & \quad - 2 \frac{1 - \epsilon}{1 + \epsilon^2} (\kappa - (\kappa + c')\epsilon^2) \\
 (5.32) \quad & \leq \int_0^{\frac{2}{\epsilon}} \left( \int_{\partial P \cap (\mathcal{B}_1 \setminus \mathcal{B}_\epsilon)} \left( \frac{3-n}{2} \sin \left( \pi \frac{\log \rho_\infty}{\log \epsilon} \right) + \frac{\pi}{\log \epsilon} \cos \left( \pi \frac{\log \rho_\infty}{\log \epsilon} \right) \right)^2 \rho_\infty^{1-n} \right) dt \\
 & \quad + \left( \int_0^1 + \int_{\frac{2}{\epsilon}-1}^{\frac{2}{\epsilon}} \right) \left( \int_{\partial P \cap (\mathcal{B}_1 \setminus \mathcal{B}_\epsilon)} \sin^2 \left( \pi \frac{\log \rho_\infty}{\log \epsilon} \right) \rho_\infty^{3-n} \right) dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.33) \quad & \int_{\partial P \cap (\mathcal{B}_1 \setminus \mathcal{B}_\epsilon)} \sin^2 \left( \pi \frac{\log \rho_\infty}{\log \epsilon} \right) \rho_\infty^{1-n} = H^{n-2}(\partial P \cap \partial \mathcal{B}_1) \int_\epsilon^1 \sin^2 \left( \pi \frac{\log s}{\log \epsilon} \right) \frac{1}{s} ds \\
 & = \left( \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) H^{n-2}(\partial P \cap \partial \mathcal{B}_1) \int_0^1 \sin^2(\pi t) dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.34) \quad & \frac{\kappa - (\kappa + c')\epsilon^2}{1 + \epsilon^2} \left( \left( \frac{2}{\epsilon} - 2 \right) \left( \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) H^{n-2}(\partial P \cap \partial \mathcal{B}_1) \int_0^1 \sin^2(\pi t) dt - 2(1 - \epsilon) \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon} \int_{\partial P \cap (\mathcal{B}_1 \setminus \mathcal{B}_\epsilon)} \left( \frac{3-n}{2} \sin \left( \pi \frac{\log \rho_\infty}{\log \epsilon} \right) + \frac{\pi}{\log \epsilon} \cos \left( \pi \frac{\log \rho_\infty}{\log \epsilon} \right) \right)^2 \rho_\infty^{1-n} \\
 & \quad + 2 \int_{\partial P \cap (\mathcal{B}_1 \setminus \mathcal{B}_\epsilon)} \sin^2 \left( \pi \frac{\log \rho_\infty}{\log \epsilon} \right) \rho_\infty^{3-n} \\
 & \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon} H^{n-2}(\partial P \cap \partial \mathcal{B}_1) \int_\epsilon^1 \left( \frac{3-n}{2} \sin \left( \pi \frac{\log s}{\log \epsilon} \right) + \frac{\pi}{\log \epsilon} \cos \left( \pi \frac{\log s}{\log \epsilon} \right) \right)^2 \frac{1}{s} ds \\
 & \quad + 2 H^{n-2}(\partial P \cap \partial \mathcal{B}_1) \int_\epsilon^1 \sin^2 \left( \pi \frac{\log s}{\log \epsilon} \right) s ds \\
 & \leq 2 \left( \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) H^{n-2}(\partial P \cap \partial \mathcal{B}_1) \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{3-n}{2} \sin(\pi t) + \frac{\pi}{\log \epsilon} \cos(\pi t) \right)^2 + \sin^2(\pi t) \right) dt \\
 & = 2 \left( \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) H^{n-2}(\partial P \cap \partial \mathcal{B}_1) \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{(n-3)^2}{4} + \frac{\pi^2}{(\log \epsilon)^2} \right) + 1 \right) \int_0^1 \sin^2(\pi t) dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Together with (5.20) the above inequality implies

$$\kappa \leq \frac{(n-3)^2}{4} + o(\epsilon),$$

where  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow \infty} o(\epsilon) = 0$ . Therefore we complete the proof.  $\square$

Finally, combining Theorem 4.8 we obtain a Liouville theorem for minimal graphic functions without growth condition.

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $(\Sigma, \sigma)$  be a complete  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold satisfying conditions C1), C2), C3) and with its non-radial Ricci curvature satisfying  $\inf_{\partial B_\rho} Ric_\Sigma(\xi^T, \xi^T) \geq \kappa \rho^{-2}$  for some constant  $\kappa$  for sufficiently large  $\rho > 0$ , where  $\xi$  is a local vector field on  $\Sigma$*

with  $|\xi^T| = 1$  defined in (5.26). If  $\kappa > \frac{(n-3)^2}{4}$ , then any entire solution to (2.1) on  $\Sigma$  must be a constant.

The number  $\frac{(n-3)^2}{4}$  in Theorem 5.5 is sharp, and we will construct examples to show this in the following section.

## 6. NONTRIVIAL ENTIRE MINIMAL GRAPHS IN PRODUCT MANIFOLDS

Let  $\Sigma$  be an Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with a conformally flat metric

$$ds_\phi^2 = e^{\phi(r)} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} dx_i^2,$$

where  $r = |x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + dx_{n+1}^2}$  and  $\phi(|x|)$  is smooth in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Hence  $\Sigma$  is a smooth manifold. Set  $\tilde{\phi}(r) = \int_0^r e^{\frac{\phi(r)}{2}} dr$ . Let us define  $\rho = \tilde{\phi}(r)$  and  $\lambda(\rho) = r\tilde{\phi}'(r)$ , then the Riemannian metric in  $\Sigma$  can be written in polar coordinates as

$$ds^2 = d\rho^2 + \lambda^2(\rho)d\theta^2,$$

where  $d\theta^2$  is the standard metric on  $\mathbb{S}^n(1)$ . We assume  $0 \leq \lambda' \leq 1$ ,  $\lambda'' \leq 0$ ,

$$(6.1) \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(\rho)}{\rho} = \kappa, \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \left( \rho^2 \frac{1 - (\lambda'(\rho))^2}{\lambda^2(\rho)} \right) = \frac{1 - \kappa^2}{\kappa^2}, \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \left( \rho^2 \frac{\lambda''(\rho)}{\lambda(\rho)} \right) = 0.$$

From [21], there are examples satisfying the above conditions for every  $\kappa \in (0, 1]$ . Clearly,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r}\Sigma = CS_\kappa$  in the Gromov-Hausdorff measure, where  $S_\kappa$  is an  $n$ -sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with radius  $0 < \kappa \leq 1$ , namely,

$$S_\kappa = \{(x_1, \cdots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{n+1}^2 = \kappa^2\}.$$

Moreover, let  $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^n \cup \{\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\}$  be an orthonormal basis at the considered point of  $\Sigma$ . we calculate the sectional curvature and Ricci curvature of  $\Sigma$  as follows (see Appendix A in [33] for instance).

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} K_\Sigma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}, e_\alpha \right) &= -\frac{\lambda''}{\lambda}, \quad K_\Sigma(e_\alpha, e_\beta) = \frac{1 - (\lambda')^2}{\lambda^2}, \\ Ric_\Sigma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}, e_\alpha \right) &= 0, \quad Ric_\Sigma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right) = -n \frac{\lambda''}{\lambda}, \\ Ric_\Sigma(e_\alpha, e_\beta) &= \left( (n-1) \frac{1 - (\lambda')^2}{\lambda^2} - \frac{\lambda''}{\lambda} \right) \delta_{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $Ric_\Sigma \geq 0$  and  $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} (\rho^2 Ric_\Sigma(e_\alpha, e_\beta)) = \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} \delta_{\alpha\beta}$  if  $\kappa = \frac{2}{n} \sqrt{n-1}$ .

In theorem 3.4 of [21], we have showed that if  $n \geq 3$  and

$$\frac{2}{n} \sqrt{n-1} \leq \kappa < 1,$$

then any hyperplane through the origin in  $\Sigma$  is area-minimizing. Now we denote  $T = \{(x_1, \cdots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x_{n+1} = 0\}$  in  $CS_\kappa$  or  $\frac{1}{r}\Sigma$  for  $r > 0$ , and their induced metrics are determined by the ambient spaces. We will construct an entire minimal graph with non-constant graphic function in  $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$  for every  $\kappa \in [\frac{2}{n} \sqrt{n-1}, 1)$ , which obviously implies that the number  $\frac{(n-3)^2}{4}$  in Theorem 5.5 is sharp.

Let  $D$  be the Levi-Civita connection of  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$  be the dual vectors of  $\{dx_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$ . Let  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  be the Christoffel symbols of  $\Sigma$  with respect to the frame  $E_i$ , i.e.,  $DE_i E_j = \sum_k \Gamma_{ij}^k E_k$ . Set  $u^i = \sigma^{ij} u_j$ ,  $|Du|^2 = \sigma^{ij} u_i u_j$ ,  $D_i D_j u = u_{ij} - \Gamma_{ij}^k u_k$  and  $v = \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}$ . We introduce an operator  $\mathfrak{L}$  on a domain  $\Omega \subset \Sigma$  by

$$(6.3) \quad \mathfrak{L}F = (1 + |DF|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \operatorname{div}_\Sigma \left( \frac{DF}{\sqrt{1 + |DF|^2}} \right) = (1 + |DF|^2) \Delta_\Sigma F - F_{i,j} F^i F^j,$$

where  $F^i = \sigma^{ik} F_k$ , and  $F_{i,j} = F_{ij} - \Gamma_{ij}^k F_k$  is the covariant derivative.

Let  $p = \frac{n}{2}\kappa - \sqrt{\frac{n^2\kappa^2}{4} - (n-1)} \geq \frac{1}{\kappa}$ , then by Theorem 1.5 in [42] there is a solution  $u_j \in C^\infty(B_j)$  to the Dirichlet problem

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{cases} \mathfrak{L}u_j = 0 & \text{in } B_j \\ u_j = c_j x_{n+1} r^{p-1} & \text{on } \partial B_j \end{cases},$$

where  $c_j$  is a positive constant and  $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{n+1}^2}$ . By symmetry,  $u_j(x', x_{n+1}) + u_j(x', -x_{n+1}) = 0$  on  $B_j$  with  $x' = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ . By [21] and maximum principle, we have

$$(6.5) \quad |u_j| \geq c_j |x_{n+1}| r^{p-1} \quad \text{in } B_j.$$

If  $w_j$  is a solution of (6.4) with boundary  $d_j x_{n+1} r^{p-1}$  and  $0 < d_j < c_j$ , we have  $|u_j| > |w_j|$  on  $B_j \cap \{x_{n+1} \neq 0\}$ . By the uniqueness of the solution of (6.4) there is a  $c_j > 0$  such that  $\sup_{B_1} |Du_j| = 1$ .

Let  $\Gamma_j(s) = \{x \in B_j \mid u_j(x) = s\}$ . We claim  $u_j(x', t) \geq u_j(x', s)$  for all  $(x', t), (x', s) \in B_j$  and  $t > s$ . If not, without loss of generality there are  $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$  so that  $u_j(x', t_1) = u_j(x', t_2) = \tau_j < u_j(x', t_3)$ . It is not hard to see that there is a closed curve  $\vartheta_{\tau_j} \subset \Gamma_j(\tau_j)$  in the half plane  $\{(s, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid s = |x'| \geq 0\}$  such that  $u_j(z) > \tau_j$  for every  $z \in U_j$  and  $U_j$  is a domain in  $\{(s, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid s = |x'| \geq 0\}$ , which is enclosed by  $\vartheta_{\tau_j}$ . By the symmetry of  $u_j$ , rotating  $\vartheta_{\tau_j}$  on  $x' = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$  generates an  $n$ -dimensional set  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_j(\tau_j) \subset \Gamma_j(\tau_j)$ , which encloses a domain  $\widetilde{U}_j \subset B_j$ . Then  $u_j(x) > \tau_j$  for every  $x \in \widetilde{U}_j$ . But this is impossible as  $\operatorname{graph}_{u_j}$  is area-minimizing. Hence  $\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_{n+1}} \geq 0$ .

There is a subsequence  $\{j'\}$  of  $\{j\}$  such that  $u_{j'}$  converges to a function  $u$  defined on  $\Omega \subset \Sigma$  by varifold convergence, where  $\operatorname{graph}_u$  is also area-minimizing. By the symmetry of  $u$ , we deduce that  $\Omega$  is symmetric with respect to  $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n$ , and  $\Omega$  is symmetric with respect to  $x_{n+1}$ . Clearly,  $u$  is smooth,  $\mathfrak{L}u = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \partial\Omega^\pm \setminus T} u(x) = \pm\infty$ , where  $\Omega^\pm = \Omega \cap \{\pm x_{n+1} > 0\}$ . In particular,  $\Omega^- = \{(x', -x_{n+1}) \mid (x', x_{n+1}) \in \Omega^+\}$ ,  $u(x', x_{n+1}) + u(x', -x_{n+1}) = 0$ ,  $Du(0) = 0$  and  $\sup_{B_1} |Du| = 1$ . Moreover,  $u(x', t) \geq u(x', s)$  for all  $(x', t), (x', s) \in \Omega$  and  $t > s$ .

We want to show  $\Omega = \Sigma$ . If not,  $\partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$  and both of  $\partial\Omega^\pm$  are area-minimizing hypersurfaces in  $\Sigma$ . Since also  $\partial\Omega^+$  is symmetric with respect to  $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n$ , then  $\partial\Omega^+$  must be a graph on a domain of the half sphere, or else we cannot have an area-minimizing hypersurface  $\partial\Omega^+$ . If  $w$  is the graphic function of  $\partial\Omega^+$  on a closed half of unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^n$ , then  $w$  satisfies (see formula (2.6) in [20] for instance)

$$(6.6) \quad \Delta_{\mathbb{S}} w - 2 \frac{\lambda'(w)}{\lambda(w)} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}} w|^2 - n\lambda(w)\lambda'(w) = 0,$$

where  $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}}$  and  $\nabla_{\mathbb{S}}$  are Laplacian and Levi-Civita connection of  $\mathbb{S}^n$ , respectively. By the definition of  $\lambda$ , the equation (6.6) has a unique smooth solution for the Dirichlet problem

with fixed boundary if  $w > 0$ . So  $\partial\Omega^+$  is a smooth hypersurface in  $\Sigma$ .  $\frac{1}{r}\partial\Omega^+$  is also an area-minimizing hypersurface in  $\frac{1}{r}\Sigma$ , then  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r}\partial\Omega^+$  will converge to an area-minimizing cone  $CX$  over  $X$  in  $CS_\kappa$ , where  $X$  is contained in a closed half sphere. Hence  $X$  must be an equator and  $CX$  is just a hyperplane  $T$ .

Let  $S = \partial\Omega^+$ . The second variation formula implies that there is a Jacobi field operator  $L_S$  given by

$$(6.7) \quad L_S h = \Delta_S h + (|B|^2 + Ric_\Sigma(\nu, \nu)) h,$$

where  $\Delta_S$ ,  $B$  are the Laplacian and second fundamental form for  $S$  relative to the metric  $\sigma$ , and  $Ric_\Sigma$  is the Ricci curvature of  $\Sigma$  relative to  $\sigma$ . Let  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  be an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $\frac{1}{t}S$  converges to  $T = \mathbb{R}_+ \times_{\kappa\rho} \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , in terms of the coordinates  $(\rho, \alpha) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  we consider

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} L_T h &= \Delta_T h + \frac{n-1}{\rho^2} \left( \frac{1}{\kappa^2} - 1 \right) h \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \rho^{n-1} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \rho} \right) + \frac{1}{\kappa^2 \rho^2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h + \frac{n-1}{\rho^2} \left( \frac{1}{\kappa^2} - 1 \right) h \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left( \rho^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + (n-1)\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} + (n-1) \left( \frac{1}{\kappa^2} - 1 \right) \right) h. \end{aligned}$$

The only positive solutions of  $L_T h = 0$  on  $T$  are

$$(6.9) \quad h = \bar{c}_1 \rho^{-\lambda_-} + \bar{c}_2 \rho^{-\lambda_+},$$

where  $\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2$  are constants and  $\lambda_\pm = \frac{n-2}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{n^2}{4} - \frac{n-1}{\kappa^2}} > 0$ . By [6, 29], the equation  $L_T w = f_0$  with  $|f_0| \leq c\rho^{-2-\lambda_- - \delta}$ ,  $\delta > 0$  has a nonnegative solution

$$(6.10) \quad w = (\bar{c}_3 + \bar{c}_4 \log \rho) \rho^{-\lambda_-} + O(\rho^{-\lambda_- - \delta'}) (\delta' > 0) \quad \text{as } \rho \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\bar{c}_3, \bar{c}_4$  are constants, and  $\bar{c}_4 = 0$  in case  $\lambda_+ > \lambda_-$ , namely,  $\kappa > \frac{2}{n}\sqrt{n-1}$ .

Now we see  $S$  as a graph  $\{(x', f(x')) \mid x' \in T \setminus K\}$  outside some bounded domain in  $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, ds_\phi^2)$ , where  $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , and

$$f(x') = \int_0^{h(x')} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi(\sqrt{|x'|^2 + s^2})} ds$$

with  $|x'|^2 = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ . Let  $\gamma_{\rho\alpha}$  be a unit normal smooth line in the upper plane of  $\Sigma$  which corresponds to  $\{(x', x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x_{n+1} \geq 0, x' = x_\alpha\} \subset (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, ds_\phi^2)$  with  $x_\alpha$  being  $\rho\alpha$  in the polar coordinate and  $\gamma_{\rho\alpha}(0) \in T$ . Now  $S = \{\gamma_{\rho\alpha}(w(\rho\alpha)) \mid \rho\alpha \in T \setminus K\}$ , where  $w(\rho\alpha) = h(x')$  if  $x'$  is  $\rho\alpha$  in the polar coordinate. We can embed isometrically  $\Sigma$  into  $(n+2)$ -dimensional Euclidean space, then  $S$  is an  $n$ -dimensional submanifold in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  with mean curvature decaying as  $O(\frac{1}{r})$ . Hence by the Allard regularity theorem (see [1] or [40]), for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\rho_0 > 0$  such that

$$\rho^{-1} |w(\rho\alpha)| + |\nabla_T w(\rho\alpha)| \leq \epsilon \quad \text{for every } \rho \geq \rho_0, \alpha \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1},$$

where  $\nabla_T$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $T$  with induced metric in  $CS_\kappa$ . Since  $S$  is a graph with graphic function  $f$  outside a compact set in  $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, ds_\phi^2)$ , then the mean curvature is  $H = \frac{n}{2} \frac{\phi'(r_f)}{r_f} X^N$  with  $r_f = \sqrt{|x'|^2 + f^2(x')}$ , and  $(\dots)^N$  is the projection onto

the normal bundle  $NS$ , namely,  $f$  satisfies (after a simple computation)

$$(6.11) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} f_{ij} = \frac{n}{2} \frac{\phi'}{r_f} \left( - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i f_i + f \right),$$

where  $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + f_i f_j$  and  $(g^{ij})$  is the inverse matrix of  $(g_{ij})$ . Then by the estimates (6.10) and the Schauder estimates we obtain

$$(6.12) \quad \tilde{r}^{-1} |f(x')| + |\nabla_{\mathbb{R}} f(x')| + \tilde{r} |\nabla_{\mathbb{R}}^2 f(x')| \leq C \tilde{r}^{-\epsilon}$$

with  $\tilde{r} = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2}$  and some  $\epsilon, C > 0$ , where  $\nabla_{\mathbb{R}}$  is the standard Levi-Civita connection of Euclidean space. By the definition of  $w$  and applying a coordinate transformation, we deduce

$$(6.13) \quad \rho^{-1} |w(\rho\alpha)| + |\nabla_T w(\rho\alpha)| + \rho |\nabla_T^2 w(\rho\alpha)| \leq C' \rho^{-\epsilon'}$$

for some  $\epsilon', C' > 0$ . In particular,  $w$  satisfies (6.10).

The  $\text{graph}_u$  has a unique tangent cone at infinity: a cylinder  $T \times \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\Gamma_y = \Gamma_y(u) = \{x \in \Sigma \mid u(x) = y\}$  for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \partial\Omega \pm} u(x) = \pm\infty$  and  $u(x', t) \geq u(x', s)$  for each  $(x', t), (x', s) \in \Omega$  and  $t > s$ , we deduce  $\text{dist}(\Gamma_{y_2}, 0) \geq \text{dist}(\Gamma_{y_1}, 0) > 0$  for  $|y_2| \geq |y_1|$  and sufficiently large  $|y_1|$ . Moreover, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $y_0 = y_0(\epsilon)$  such that  $\Gamma_y$  is within  $\epsilon$  of  $S$  for any  $y \geq y_0$ . Now we use  $\rho\omega$  to represent the element of  $\Sigma$  with metric  $\sigma = d\rho^2 + \lambda^2(\rho)d\theta^2$ , and claim that

$$(6.14) \quad |Du(\rho\omega)| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad |u(\rho\omega)| + \rho \rightarrow \infty, \quad (\rho, \omega) \in ((0, \infty) \times_{\lambda} \mathbb{S}^n) \cap \Omega.$$

If we embed  $\Sigma$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  isometrically, then  $\text{graph}_u$  is an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional submanifold in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+3}$  with codimension 2. We check that the Allard regularity theorem still works in our case. Invoking elliptic regularity theory, if the minimal hypersurfaces  $M_k$  converge to a cone  $C$  in varifold sense, then the convergence is  $C^2$  near regular points of  $C$ . For any  $\mu_k, \lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$  it is clear that  $\text{graph}_{u-\mu_k} = \{(x, u(x) - \mu_k) \mid x \in \Sigma\}$  converges to  $S \times \mathbb{R} \subset \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$  in the varifold sense and  $\frac{1}{\lambda_k} (\text{graph}_{u-\mu_k})$  converges to  $T \times \mathbb{R} \subset CS_{\kappa} \times \mathbb{R}$  in the varifold sense. So we can show the above claim by  $C^2$  convergence (see also the proof of Theorem 4 in [41]).

Denote  $\tilde{T} = T \times \mathbb{R}$ . In terms of the coordinates  $(\rho, \alpha, y) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$  we can write the operator  $L_{\tilde{T}}$  as

$$(6.15) \quad \begin{aligned} L_{\tilde{T}} h &= \Delta_T h + \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial y^2} + \frac{n-1}{\rho^2} \left( \frac{1}{\kappa^2} - 1 \right) h \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \rho^{n-1} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \rho} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial y^2} + \frac{1}{\kappa^2 \rho^2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h + \frac{n-1}{\rho^2} \left( \frac{1}{\kappa^2} - 1 \right) h. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$v(\rho, y) = \rho^{\lambda_-} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(\rho\alpha, y) d\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad f(\rho, y) = \rho^{\lambda_-} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \tilde{f}(\rho\alpha, y) d\alpha$$

with  $\lambda_- = \frac{n-2}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{n^2}{4} - \frac{n-1}{\kappa^2}} > 0$ , then  $L_{\tilde{T}} = \tilde{f}$  implies that

$$(6.16) \quad \rho^{-1-\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \rho^{1+\beta} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} = f$$

with  $\beta = 2\sqrt{\frac{n^2}{4} - \frac{n-1}{\kappa^2}}$ . The left of the above equation is a uniform elliptic operator for  $\rho \geq c$  with any positive constant  $c$ .

By the Allard regularity theorem, there are a constant  $\rho_1 > 0$  and a domain

$$G = \{(\rho\alpha, y) \mid \rho \geq \rho_1, y \in \mathbb{R}, \rho\alpha \in T\} \subset T \times \mathbb{R} = \tilde{T}$$

such that  $\text{graph}_u$  can be written as a graph in  $G$  with graphical function  $W$  outside some compact set  $\tilde{K}$  in  $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ . Namely,  $\text{graph}_u \setminus \tilde{K} = \text{graph}_W = \{(\gamma_{\rho\alpha}(W(\rho\alpha, y)), y) \mid \rho\alpha \in T, \rho \geq \rho_1, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$  and  $\gamma_{\rho\alpha}$  is defined as before. Similar to (6.13) we have

$$(6.17) \quad \rho^{-1}|W(\rho\alpha)| + |\nabla_{\tilde{T}}W(\rho\alpha)| + \rho|\nabla_{\tilde{T}}^2W(\rho\alpha)| \leq C\rho^{-\delta}$$

for some  $\delta, C > 0$ , where  $\nabla_{\tilde{T}}$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $\tilde{T}$  with induced metric in  $CS_\kappa \times \mathbb{R}$ . Then by Theorem 1 in [41], we obtain for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$(6.18) \quad |y|^\epsilon \rho^{\lambda-\epsilon} \frac{\partial W}{\partial y}(\rho\alpha, y) \geq C_2 \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, y \geq \rho \geq C_1,$$

where  $C_1, C_2$  are constants independent of  $y$  and  $\rho$ . It is clear that

$$(6.19) \quad \frac{\partial W}{\partial y}(\rho\alpha, y) = \frac{1}{|Du(\xi)|}$$

where  $(\rho\alpha, y) \in G$ ,  $y = u(\xi)$  and  $\xi = \gamma_{\rho\alpha}(W(\rho\alpha, y))$ . Fix  $\rho$ , we have  $|Du(\xi)| \leq C_3|u(\xi)|^\epsilon$  with constant  $C_3$  depending on  $\rho$ . Hence  $|D(u(\xi))^{1-\epsilon}|$  is bounded when  $\xi$  approaches  $S$  in  $\gamma_{\rho\alpha}$ , which contradicts  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \partial\Omega \pm \setminus T} u(x) = \pm\infty$ . Therefore, we deduce  $\Omega = \Sigma$ , namely, we get a smooth entire minimal graph  $\{(x, u(x)) \mid x \in \Sigma\}$  on  $\Sigma$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold described in the front of this section. If  $n \geq 3$  and  $\frac{2}{n}\sqrt{n-1} \leq \kappa < 1$ , then there exists a smooth entire minimal graph  $\{(x, u(x)) \mid x \in \Sigma\}$  in  $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $u$  is not a constant.*

## REFERENCES

1. W. Allard, On the first variation of a varifold, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **95** (1972), 417-491.
2. L. Ambrosio, B. Kirchheim, Currents in metric spaces, *Acta Math.* **185(1)** (2000), 1-80.
3. Michael T. Anderson, Jeff Cheeger,  $C^\alpha$ -compactness for manifolds with Ricci curvature and injectivity radius bounded below. *J. Differential Geom.* **35** (1992), no. 2, 265-281.
4. E. Bombieri, E. De Giorgi and M. Miranda, Una maggiorazione a priori relativa alle ipersuperfici minimali non parametriche, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **32** (1969), 255-267.
5. E. Bombieri, E. Giusti, Harnacks inequality for elliptic differential equations on minimal surfaces, *Invent. Math.* **15** (1972), 24-46.
6. Luis Caffarelli, Robert Hardt and Leon Simon, Minimal surfaces with isolated singularities, *Manuscripta Math.* **48** (1984), 1-18.
7. S. Y. Cheng, Liouville theorems for harmonic maps, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **36**(1980), 147-151.
8. J. Cheeger, M. Gromov, and M. Taylor, Finite propagation speed, kernel estimates for functions of the Laplace operator, and the geometry of complete Riemannian manifolds, *J. Differential Geom.* **17** (1982), 15-53.
9. Jeff Cheeger and Tobias H. Colding, Lower Bounds on Ricci Curvature and the Almost Rigidity of Warped Products, *Ann. Math.* **144** (1996), 189-237.
10. Jeff Cheeger, Tobias H. Colding, On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. I, *J. Differential Geom.* **46** (1997), no. 3, 406-480.
11. Jeff Cheeger, Tobias H. Colding, On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. II, *J. Differential Geom.* **54** (2000), no. 1, 15-35.
12. Jeff Cheeger, Tobias H. Colding, On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. III, *J. Differential Geom.* **54** (2000), no. 1, 37-74.
13. Jeff Cheeger, Tobias H. Colding, William P. Minicozzi II, Linear growth harmonic functions on complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **5** (1995), no. 6, 948-954.
14. J. Cheeger, T. H. Colding and G. Tian, On the singularities of spaces with bounded Ricci curvature, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **12** (2002), no. 5, 873-914.

15. J. Cheeger and G. Tian, On the cone structure at infinity of Ricci flat manifolds with Euclidean volume growth and quadratic curvature decay, *Invent. Math.* **118** (1994), no. 3, 493-571.
16. Tobias H. Colding, Ricci curvature and volume convergence, *Ann. Math.* **145** (1997), 477-501.
17. Tobias H. Colding and William P. Minicozzi II, Large scale Behavior of Kernels of Schrödinger Operators, *Amer. J. Math.* **119**, no. 6 (1997), 1355-1398.
18. Tobias H. Colding and William P. Minicozzi II, Harmonic functions on manifolds, *Ann. Math.(2)*, **146** (3)(1997), 725-747.
19. Tobias H. Colding and William P. Minicozzi II, On uniqueness of tangent cones and Einstein manifolds, arXiv:1206.4929.
20. Qi Ding, The inverse mean curvature flow in rotationally symmetric spaces, *Chin. Ann. Math.* **32B**(1), 2011, 1-18.
21. Qi Ding, J. Jost and Y.L. Xin, Existence and non-existence of area-minimizing hypersurfaces in non-negative curved manifolds, arXiv. 1308.4963 [math.DG].
22. R. Finn, On equations of minimal surface type, *Ann. of Math.*, **60**(2) (1954), 397-416.
23. D. Fischer-Colbrie, R. Schoen, The structure of complete stable minimal surfaces in 3-manifolds of nonnegative scalar curvature, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **33** (1980), 199-211.
24. Fukaya, *Metric Riemannian Geometry*, Chapter 4 in: Franki J.E. Dillen and Leopold C.A. Verstraelen, *Handbook of Differential Geometry, VOLUME II*, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven Department of Mathematics Leuven, Belgium, (2006).
25. Enrico Giusti, *Minimal surfaces and functions of bounded variation*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., 1984.
26. D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, (1983).
27. R. E. Greene, H. Wu, Lipschitz convergence of Riemannian manifolds, *Pac. J. Math.* **131** (1988), 119-141.
28. M. Gromov, J. Lafontaine and P. Pansu, *Structures metriques pour les variete riemanniennes*, Cedec-Fernand Nathan, Paris (1981).
29. Robert Hardt, Leon Simon, Area minimizing hypersurfaces with isolated singularities, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **362** (1985), 102-129.
30. J. Jost, *Partial differential equations*, Springer, 3rd ed., 2013.
31. J. Jost and H. Karcher, Geometrische Methoden zur Gewinnung von a-priori-Schranken für harmonische Abbildungen, *manuscr.math.* **40** (1982), 27-77.
32. P. Li, Harmonic sections of polynomial growth, *Math. Res. Lett.* **4**(1) (1997), 35-44.
33. Peter Li, *Harmonic Functions and Applications to Complete Manifolds*, University of California, Irvine, 2004, preprint.
34. F.H. Lin, X.P. Yang, *Geometric measure theory: an introduction*, Science Press, Beijing/ New York; International Press, Boston, 2002.
35. J. Michael and L.M. Simon, Sobolev and mean-value inequalities on generalized submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **26** (1973), 361-379.
36. Ngaiming Mok, Yum-Tong Siu and Shing-Tung Yau, The Poincaré-Lelong equation on complete Kähler manifolds, *Compositio Mathematica* **44** (1981), 183-218.
37. Jürgen Moser, On Harnack's Theorem for Elliptic Differential Equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **14** (1961), 577-591.
38. S. Peters, Convergence of Riemannian manifolds, *Compositio Math.* **62** (1987), 3-16.
39. Harold Rosenberg, Felix Schulze and Joel Spruck, The half-space property and entire positive minimal graphs in  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ , *J. Diff. Geom.* **95** (2013), 321-336.
40. Leon Simon, *Lectures on Geometric Measure Theory*, Proceedings of the center for mathematical analysis Australian national university, Vol. 3, 1983.
41. Leon Simon, Entire solutions of the minimal surface equation. *J. Differential Geom.* **30** (1989), no. 3, 643-688.
42. Joel Spruck, Interior gradient estimates and existence theorems for constant mean curvature graphs in  $M^n \times \mathbb{R}$ , *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **3** (2007), no. 3, Special Issue: In honor of Leon Simon. Part 2, 785-800.
43. Y. L. Xin, *Minimal Submanifolds and Related Topics*, World Scientific Publ., (2003).
44. S. T. Yau, harmonic functions on complete manifolds, *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.* **28**(1975), 201-228.

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS IN THE SCIENCES, INSELSTR. 22, 04103 LEIPZIG, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* `qiding@mis.mpg.de`

*E-mail address:* `09110180013@fudan.edu.cn`

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS IN THE SCIENCES, INSELSTR. 22, 04103 LEIPZIG, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* `jost@mis.mpg.de`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, CHINA

*E-mail address:* `ylxin@fudan.edu.cn`