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INTRODUCTION

As a key feature of quantum mechanics, quantum correlation has many different forms such as entanglement, discord, steering, nonlocality etc. Nonlocality was first pointed out in 1935 by Einstein, Podolsky and Rosen (EPR) [1], indicating that the nonlocality must be an artefact of the incompleteness of quantum mechanics. An interesting response to the EPR paradox was given by Schrödinger [2], who introduced another kind of correlation in entangled states - quantum steering: Alice’s ability to affect Bob’s state through her choice of measurement basis. Later, it was shown that the measurement outcomes from local measurements on entangled states may be nonlocal, in the sense that they violate a Bell inequality [3]. Let us briefly recall their definitions.

Quantum entanglement: Let \( \rho_{AB} \) be a bipartite state with subsystems A and B. \( \rho_{AB} \) is said to be separable if it can be expressed as

\[
\rho_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \rho_i^A \otimes \rho_i^B,
\]

where \( 0 \leq p_i \leq 1, \sum_{i} p_i = 1 \). \( \rho_i^A \) and \( \rho_i^B \) are states of the subsystems A and B, respectively. Otherwise it is called entangled. Basic aspects of entanglement including the characterization, detection, distillation and quantification have been reviewed in [4].

Bell nonlocality: Let \( \mathcal{M}_a (\mathcal{M}_b) \) denote the set of observables that Alice (Bob) performs measurement on the systems A (B). Let \( \lambda(A) (\lambda(B)) \) stand for the eigenvalues \( \{a\} (\{b\}) \) of \( A \in \mathcal{M}_a \) (\( B \in \mathcal{M}_b \)), and \( P(a;A;W) \) (\( P(b;B;W) \)) the probability that Alice (Bob) gets the measurement outcome a (b) when she (he) measures the subsystem A (B) of the state \( W \). We say that a state \( W \) is Bell local, if the following relation is satisfied for all \( \lambda(a), b \in \lambda(B), A \in \mathcal{M}_a \) and \( B \in \mathcal{M}_b \),

\[
\text{Tr}[\Pi^A \otimes \Pi^B]W = \sum_{\xi} P(a,b|A,B,W) P(b|B,\xi) p_{\xi},
\]

where \( \Pi^A (\Pi^B) \) is the projector satisfying \( A \Pi^A = a \Pi^A \) (\( B \Pi^B = b \Pi^B \)). \( p(a|A,\xi) \) and \( p(b|B,\xi) \) are some probability distributions involving the local hidden variable (LHV) \( \xi \). Recently, it has been realized that one can significantly expand the notion of quantum nonlocality by considering more complex causal structures going beyond the usual LHV models [5, 6].

Quantum steering: If Alice performs the measurement \( x \) and obtains the outcome a, then Bob’s subnormalized reduced state is given by \( \rho_{a|x} = \text{Tr}_A [ (A_{a|x} \otimes I) \rho ] \). And \( \sum_{a} \rho_{a|x} = \rho_B \) is independent of the measurement chosen by Alice. The whole collection of ensembles \( \{\rho_{a|x}\}_{a,x} \) is a state assembly. If there exists a local hidden state (LHS) model such that

\[
\rho_{a|x} = \sum_{\lambda} p(\lambda)p(a|x,\lambda)\sigma_\lambda,
\]

then Alice can not steer Bob’s system. Here, \( p(a|x,\lambda) \) are some conditional probability distributions, and \( \sigma_\lambda \) a collection of subnormalized states that sum up to \( \rho_B \) and satisfy \( \sum_{\lambda} \text{Tr}[\rho(\lambda)\sigma_\lambda] = 1 \) [7–9]. The steering problem is closely related to the joint-measurement problem [10, 11].

Quantum discord: Two classically identical expressions for the mutual information generally differ when the systems involved are quantum. This difference was defined as the quantum discord. It can be used as a measure of the quantumness of correlations [12]. Later Ref. [13] gives the mathematical definition of quantum discord of a state \( \rho \),

\[
\mathcal{D}(\rho) = \min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}C} S(\rho || \sigma),
\]

where \( \mathcal{C}C \) stands for the set of classically correlated states of the form \( \sum_{ij} p_{ij} |i\rangle \langle i| \otimes |j\rangle \langle j| \), where \( p_{ij} \) is a joint probability distribution and \( |i\rangle \) span the local orthonormal basis.

Although our understanding on different kinds of correlations has advanced greatly recently, many fundamental questions remain open, e.g., (1) How to quantify these correlations? (2) What is the relationship between them?
To the first question there are already a lot of literatures, see Ref.[4, 20] for entanglement of quantum states, Ref.[12, 13, 21] for quantifying quantum discord, Ref.[22-25] for the measure of nonlocality, and Ref. [26-28] for quantifying steering. There are many measures for different correlations. And the relationship between them is rather complicated. It is even difficult to compare the measures for a given correlation. Thus, one would ask if there is a unified quantification for all the above correlations.

In this work, we give a unified quantification for all these quantum correlations. We first give the definitions of relative entropy steering and relative entropy Nonlocality. Then we study the relationship among them for two-qubit states. At last, we discuss multipartite situations.

**UNIFIED QUANTIFICATION OF QUANTUM CORRELATIONS**

The von Neumann relative entropy is defined as [29]
\[ S(\rho|\sigma) \equiv \text{tr}\{\rho(\ln \rho - \ln \sigma)\} \] (in our text, we take \( \log_2 \) instead of \( \ln \)). In fact, Vedral et al. [30, 31] first introduced the relative entropy of entanglement, while the relative entropy of discord was first proposed by Modi et al. [13]. Let us list the above definitions [19]:

\[ E(\rho) = \min_{\sigma \in S} S(\rho \parallel \sigma), \]
\[ D(\rho) = \min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}} S(\rho \parallel \sigma), \]

where \( E(\rho) \) and \( D(\rho) \) are quantum entanglement and quantum discord of state \( \rho \), \( S \) and \( \mathcal{C} \) stand for the sets of separable states and classically correlated states, respectively.

In the following, we choose von Neumann relative entropy to measure the quantum steering and nonlocality of quantum states. We first give a lemma.

**Lemma 1.** The sets of all unsteerable states and LHV states are convex sets, respectively.

**Proof.** Any state admitting LHV models does not violate any Bell inequality. Let \( \rho_1 \) and \( \rho_2 \) be two such LHV states. They satisfy all Bell inequalities like \( \text{tr}(B\rho_1) \leq c \) and \( \text{tr}(B\rho_2) \leq c \), where \( B \) is any Bell operators and \( c \) some constant. Then one has \( \text{tr}[B(s\rho_1 + (1-s)\rho_2)] = s\text{tr}(B\rho_1) + (1-s)\text{tr}(B\rho_2) \leq c \), where \( s \in [0,1] \). This proves that the LHV states constitute a convex set.

Suppose that states \( \rho \) and \( \tilde{\rho} \) are unsteerable. From (1), there exists LHS model such that
\[ \rho_{a|x} = \text{tr}_A[(A_{a|x} \otimes I)\rho] = \sum_{\lambda} p(\lambda)p(a|x,\lambda)\sigma_{\lambda}, \]
where \( p(a|x,\lambda) \geq 0 \), and \( \sigma_{\lambda} \) are a collection of subnormalized states that sum up to \( \rho_B \) which satisfies \( \sum_{\lambda} \text{tr}[p(\lambda)\sigma_{\lambda}] = 1 \). Analogously for \( \tilde{\rho} \),
\[ \tilde{\rho}_{a|x} = \text{tr}_A[(A_{a|x} \otimes I)\tilde{\rho}] = \sum_{\mu} q(\mu)q(a|x,\mu)\sigma_{\mu}. \]

Then
\[ [s\rho + (1-s)\tilde{\rho}]_{a|x} = \text{tr}_A[(A_{a|x} \otimes I)[s\rho + (1-s)\tilde{\rho}]] = s[\text{tr}_A[(A_{a|x} \otimes I)\rho]] + (1-s)[\text{tr}_A[(A_{a|x} \otimes I)\tilde{\rho}]] = s \sum_{\lambda} p(\lambda)p(a|x,\lambda)\sigma_{\lambda} + (1-s) \sum_{\mu} q(\mu)q(a|x,\mu)\sigma_{\mu} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{m+n} p(\nu)p(a|x,\nu)\sigma_{\nu}, \]
where, \( p(\nu) = sp(\lambda), \sigma_{\nu} = \sigma_{\lambda} \) and \( \nu = \lambda \) for \( \nu = 1,2,\cdots,m; \) \( p(\nu) = (1-s)p(\mu), \sigma_{\nu} = \sigma_{\mu} \) and \( \nu = \mu \) for \( \nu = m+1,m+2,\cdots,m+n \). Then \( p(a|x,\nu) \geq 0 \), and \( \sigma_{\nu} \) are a collection of subnormalized states that sum up to \( sp_B + (1-s)\tilde{p}_B \) which satisfies \( \sum_{\nu} \text{tr}[p(\nu)\sigma_{\nu}] = s \sum_{\lambda} \text{tr}[p(\lambda)\sigma_{\lambda}] + (1-s) \sum_{\mu} \text{tr}[p(\mu)\sigma_{\mu}] = s + (1-s) = 1 \).

From Lemma 1 we can define the following measure of steerability \( S(\rho) \) and the measure of nonlocality \( N(\rho) \) for a quantum state \( \rho \),
\[ S(\rho) = \min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{U}} S(\rho \parallel \sigma), \quad (1) \]
and
\[ N(\rho) = \min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}} S(\rho \parallel \sigma), \quad (2) \]
where \( \mathcal{U} \) and \( \mathcal{L} \) stand for the sets of unsteerable states and the LHV states, respectively. \( S(\rho \parallel \sigma) = \text{tr}(\rho \log_2 \rho - \rho \log_2 \sigma) \).

The measure \( S(\rho) \) satisfy the following conditions (analogously for \( N(\rho) \): (1) \( S(\rho) \geq 0 \), \( S(\rho) = 0 \) if and only if \( \rho = \sigma \). (2) Local unitary operations leave \( S(\rho \parallel \sigma) \) invariant. (3) For any completely positive trace preserving map \( \Theta \), \( S(\Theta(\rho)) \leq S(\rho) \) [29-31]. (4) \( S \) is convex, which can be proved in the following way. Let \( \rho_1,\rho_2,\sigma_1,\sigma_2 \) be four arbitrary states. From the convexity of the quantum relative entropy in both arguments [32], we have
\[ S(x\rho_1 + (1-x)\rho_2)||xy\sigma_1 + (1-x)\sigma_2) \leq xS(\rho_1)||\sigma_1) + (1-x)S(\rho_2)||\sigma_2), \]
where \( x \in [0,1] \). By definition (1) and Lemma 1, we have
\[ S(x\rho_1 + (1-x)\rho_2) \leq S((x\rho_1 + (1-x)\rho_2)||((x\sigma_1^* + (1-x)\sigma_2^*) \leq xS(\rho_1)||\sigma_1^*) + (1-x)S(\rho_2)||\sigma_2^*) = xS(\rho_1) + (1-x)S(\rho_2). \]
where $\sigma^*_t$ minimizes $S(\rho||\sigma^*_t)$ over $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}$.

Since the steerability is weaker than Bell nonlocality and stronger than nonseparability [7, 26], one has $CC \subset S \subset U \subset L$. Therefore, we have the following relation:

**Theorem 1.** For bipartite quantum states, the following relations hold:

$$D(\rho) \geq E(\rho) \geq S(\rho) \geq N(\rho).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

As an example, let us consider two-qubit Bell-diagonal states. Firstly, for two-qubit pure state $|\psi\rangle = \alpha|00\rangle + \beta|11\rangle$, the quantum discord [33] and quantum entanglement [30, 31] are given by $-|\beta|^2 - |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2$ and $2(1 - |\beta|^2)$. It has been proven that every entangled pure state is steerable [27], and all entangled pure states violate a single Bell’s inequality [34]. Therefore, a separable pure state is unsteerable and LHV. Now consider two-qubit Bell-diagonal states,

$$\rho_{AB} = \frac{1}{4} \left( I + \sum_{j=1}^{3} c_j \sigma_j^A \otimes \sigma_j^B \right) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a,b=0}^{1} \lambda_{ab} |\beta_{ab}\rangle \langle \beta_{ab}|,$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

where the $\sigma_j$’s are Pauli operators [35]. The eigenstates are the four Bell states $|\beta_{ab}\rangle \equiv (|00\rangle + (-1)^a|11\rangle) / \sqrt{2}$, with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{ab} = \frac{1}{4} [1 + (-1)^a c_1 - (-1)^a c_2 + (-1)^b c_3].$$

The quantum discord is given by $D(\rho) = 2 - S(\rho_{AB}) - C$, where $C = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 (1 + c) + \frac{1}{2} \log_2 (1 - c)$ with $c = \max |c_j|$ [33, 35]. For a Bell-diagonal state, when all $\lambda_{ab} \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $E(\rho_{AB}) = 0$. When $\lambda_{00} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ (analogously for other $\lambda_i \geq \frac{1}{2}$), $E(\rho_{AB}) = \lambda_{00} \log_2 (\lambda_{00}) + (1 - \lambda_{00}) \log_2 (1 - \lambda_{00}) + 1$ [30]. Hence

$$D(\rho_{AB}) - E(\rho_{AB}) = 2 - S(\rho_{AB}) - C - E(\rho_{AB}) \geq 0$$

(See Fig. 1).

**Fig. 1:** The quantity $D(\rho) - E(\rho)$ for $c_1, c_2 \in [-1, 1]$, $c_3 = 0.4$. In Ref. [36] it has been proved that any Bell-diagonal state with correlation matrix $T$ is steerable by two projective measurements iff $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 > 1$, where $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are the two largest eigenvalues of $TT^t$, where $t$ denotes transpose. For Bell diagonal state (4), the correlation matrix $T = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, c_3)$. Therefore, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ is the summation of the two largest $c_i^2$. Without loss of generality, we assume $c_1 = c_2 = \sqrt{2} > |c_3|$. In this case, the Bell-diagonal state is unsteerable, that is, $S(\rho) = 0$. But since $\lambda_{01} > \frac{1}{2}$, the entanglement is greater than zero, $E(\rho) = \lambda_{01} \log_2 (1 - \lambda_{01}) > 0$. For example, for $|c_3| < 0.4$, see Fig. 3.

Ref. [36] showed that a Bell diagonal state is steerable by two projective measurements if it violates the CHSH inequality. This means that the steerability coincides with NLHV for Bell diagonal states.

**CONCLUSIONS AND REMARKS**

We have studied some important correlations in bipartite systems. Based on the relative entropy, we presented a unified characterization of discord, entanglement, nonlocality and steerability. For two-qubit states we have showed that the quantities obtained from quantifying nonlocality, steerability, entanglement and discord have strictly monotonic relationship. Detailed investigations have been given to Bell diagonal states. The results can be generalized to the case of multipartite quantum systems. In fact, from geometric point of view, any distance measure can chosen as a candidate for quantifying quantum correlations. One can choose any possible distance measure instead of $S(\rho || \sigma)$ (relative entropy isn’t a distance) in Eq.(1) and (2) to quantify the Bell nonlocality and steerability. For example, we can choose the Bures metric $D(\rho||\sigma) = 2 - 2 \sqrt{F(\rho, \sigma)}$, where $F(\rho||\sigma) = |tr \sqrt{\sqrt{\rho} \sqrt{\sigma}}^\dagger|^2$ is the so-called fidelity [37].

Moreover, our approach also coincides with other methods in quantifying physical quantities besides quantum correlations, like coherence [18],

$$C(\rho) = \min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{I}} S(\rho || \sigma) = S(\rho_{\text{diag}}) - S(\rho),$$

where $\mathcal{I}$ and $\rho_{\text{diag}}$ stand for incoherent states and the diagonal version of $\rho$. Instead of (3), one has $C(\rho) \geq D(\rho) \geq N(\rho)$. For two-qubit Bell-diagonal
states (4), the diagonal version of $\rho_{AB}$ is $\rho_{(diag)} = \frac{1}{4}[I + c_3\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3]$, with eigenvalues $\Lambda_{(1,2)} = \frac{1}{4}[1 + c_3]$ and $\Lambda_{(3,4)} = \frac{1}{4}[1 - c_3]$. Therefore, quantum coherence $C(\rho) = S(\rho_{(diag)}) - S(\rho_{AB}) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \log_2(\lambda_{ab}) - \sum_{i=1}^{4} \Lambda_{i} \log_2(\Lambda_{i})$. Therefore

$$C(\rho_{AB}) - D(\rho_{AB}) = \frac{1 + c}{2} \log_2(1 + c) + \frac{1 - c}{2} \log_2(1 - c) - \frac{1 + c_3}{2} \log_2(1 + c_3) - \frac{1 - c_3}{2} \log_2(1 - c_3) \geq 0.$$  

see Fig. 3.

FIG. 3: $C(\rho_{AB}) - D(\rho_{AB})$ for $c_1, c_2 \in [-1, 1], c_3 = 0.5$.
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