Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in den Naturwissenschaften Leipzig

Lefschetz (1,1)-theorem in Tropical Geometry

by

Kristin Shaw, Philipp Jell, and Johannes Rau

Preprint no.: 78 2017

LEFSCHETZ $(1,1)$-THEOREM IN TROPICAL GEOMETRY

PHILIPP JELL, JOHANNES RAU, KRISTIN SHAW

ABSTRACT. For a tropical manifold of dimension n we show that the tropical homology classes of degree $(n-1,n-1)$ which arise as fundamental classes of tropical cycles are precisely those in the kernel of the eigenwave map. To prove this we establish a tropical version of the Lefschetz $(1,1)$-theorem for rational polyhedral spaces that relates tropical line bundles to the kernel of the wave homomorphism on cohomology. Our result for tropical manifolds then follows by combining this with Poincaré duality for integral tropical homology.

MSC: Primary 14T05; Secondary 52B40, 55N35, 14C25, 14C22

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Lefschetz (1,1)-theorem characterises the cohomology classes of complex projective varieties which arise as Chern classes of complex line bundles. The theorem asserts that these classes are precisely the integral classes in the (1,1)-part of the Hodge decomposition. It implies the Hodge conjecture (over $\mathbb{Z}$) for the degree 2 cohomology classes of a complex projective variety. In this paper we establish analogous results for rational polyhedral and tropical spaces.

Tropical homology in the sense of Itenberg, Mikhalkin, Katzarkov, and Zharkov was introduced as an invariant of tropical varieties capable of providing Hodge theoretic information about complex projective varieties via their tropicalisations [IKMZ16]. Here we denote the tropical homology groups with Q coefficients of a rational polyhedral space X by $\mathrm{H}_{p,q}(X,Q)$. We also consider the tropical Borel-Moore homology groups, which are denoted by $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p,q}(X,Q)$. The corresponding Borel-Moore and usual tropical homology groups agree when X is compact.

To any tropical cycle Z in a rational polyhedral space X we can associate a fundamental class $[Z] \in \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{k,k}(X,\mathbb{Z})$. In this paper, we determine exactly which tropical homology classes in $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-1,n-1}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ of a tropical manifold of dimension n arise from codimension one tropical cycles. In order to characterise these tropical homology classes, we make use of the wave homomorphism

$$\hat{\phi}\colon \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p,q}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p+1,q-1}(X,\mathbb{R}),$$

which is defined for any rational polyhedral space X. This homomorphism was introduced by Mikhalkin and Zharkov in [MZ14] and generalises the action of the monodromy operator on the mixed Hodge structure of a family of complex algebraic varieties. On tropical cohomology, Liu constructed an analogous operator, which he relates to the monodromy operator in the weight spectral sequence [Liu17]. It was pointed out by Mikhalkin and Zharkov that the fundamental class of a tropical cycle in X is in the kernel of $\hat{\phi}$.

Theorem 1.1. *For a tropical manifold X of dimension n the kernel of the wave homomorphism*

$$\hat{\phi}\colon \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-1,n-1}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n,n-2}(X,\mathbb{R})$$

consists precisely of the fundamental classes of tropical cycles of codimension one in X.

The first author was for part of this work supported by the CRC 1085 "Higher Invariants" by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and for the other part by the DFG Research Fellowship JE 856/1-1.

To prove Theorem 1.1, we first establish an analogue of the line bundle version of the Lefschetz $(1,1)$-theorem for rational polyhedral spaces. To do so, we consider the sheaf $\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of integral affine functions, which play the role of invertible regular functions in tropical geometry. We also consider tropical cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^{p,q}(X,Q)$. The tropical Picard group of X is defined to be $\mathrm{Pic}(X) := \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathrm{Aff}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ and there is a Chern class map $c_1\colon \mathrm{Pic}(X) \to \mathrm{H}^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{Z})$. T hese notions in tropical geometry have also appeared in the context of curves [MZ08] and tropical complexes [Car13, Car15]. There is also a version of the wave homomorphism acting on tropical cohomology namely $\phi\colon \mathrm{H}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^{p-1,q+1}(X,\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a rational polyhedral space with polyhedral structure, then the image of $c_1\colon \mathrm{Pic}(X) \to \mathrm{H}^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is equal to the kernel of the wave homomorphism $\phi\colon \mathrm{H}^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^{0,2}(X,\mathbb{R})$.*

The tropical cohomology can be defined as cohomology of sheaves $\mathcal{F}^p_Q$. To prove Theorem 1.2, we use a short exact sequence of sheaves $0 \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aff}_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathcal{F}^1_{\mathbb{Z}} \to 0$, known as the tropical exponential sequence [MZ08]. This produces a long exact sequence in cohomology:

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{Pic}(X) \to \mathrm{H}^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^{0,2}(X,\mathbb{R}) \to \dots.$$

For $p = 0$ the sheaf $\mathcal{F}^0_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the constant sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{R}}$, so we can identity $\mathrm{H}^2(X,\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0,2}(X,\mathbb{R})$. In Proposition 3.5, we show that the boundary map $\delta\colon \mathrm{H}^{1,q}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^{q+1}(X,\mathbb{R})$ coincides up to sign with the wave homomorphism. For $q = 1$, this implies Theorem 1.2.

When X is an abstract tropical space of dimension n, the cap product with its fundamental class provides a map

$$\cap[X]\colon \mathrm{H}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-p,n-q}(X,\mathbb{Z}). \tag{1.1}$$

This allows us to describe the kernel of the wave homomorphism on homology groups.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a tropical space of dimension n which is regular at infinity. If $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^{1,1}(X;\mathbb{Z})$ is such that $\phi(\alpha) = 0$, then $\alpha \cap [X] \in \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-1,n-1}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is the fundamental class of a codimension one tropical cycle in X.*

To prove Theorem 1.3 we first show that any element $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ has a rational section in the sense of Definition 4.3. A tropical Cartier divisor is then a pair (L,s) where $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ and s is a section of L. We can then define a map $\mathrm{div}\colon \mathrm{CaDiv}(X) \to \mathrm{Z}_{n-1}(X)$, where $\mathrm{CaDiv}(X)$ is the group of Cartier divisors on X and $\mathrm{Z}_{n-1}(X)$ is the group of dimension one tropical cycles in X. We then show that the map given by capping with the fundamental class (1.1) is an isomorphism when X is a tropical manifold. This extends the version of Poincaré duality with real coefficients of Smacka and the first and second authors [JSS15, Theorem 2]. Combining this statement with Theorem 1.3, we are able to prove Theorem 1.1.

The last section presents corollaries and examples of our main theorems. In particular, we consider tropical abelian surfaces and Klein bottles with a tropical structure. We also calculate the wave map for two combinatorial types of tropical $K3$ surfaces. In particular we prove the following regarding the Picard ranks of tropical $K3$ surfaces. The Picard rank of a $K3$ surface is equal to the rank of the kernel of the wave map.

Theorem 1.4. *For every $1 \leq \rho \leq 19$ there exists a tropical $K3$ surface with Picard rank ρ. Moreover, such surfaces can be chosen to have the same combinatorial type.*

Acknowledgements. We are very grateful to Ilia Itenberg, Grigory Mikhalkin and Ilia Zharkov for useful discussions and also to Andreas Gross and Arthur Renaudineau for helpful comments on a preliminary draft. We would also like to thank the Max Planck Institute Leipzig for hosting us during a part of this collaboration. This project was started while the last two authors were visiting the University of Geneva. We would like to thank Grigory Mikhalkin for the kind invitation.

2. Preliminaries

We set $\mathbb{T} = [-\infty, \infty)$ and equip this set with the topology whose basis consists of the intervals $[-\infty, b)$ and (a, b) for $a, b \neq -\infty$. We equip $\mathbb{T}^r$ with the product topology. The set $\mathbb{T}^r$ is a stratified space. For a subset $I \subset [r]$ define $\mathbb{R}^r_I = \{x \in \mathbb{T}^r \mid x_i = -\infty \Leftrightarrow i \in I\}$ and $\mathbb{T}^r_I$ is the closure of $\mathbb{R}^r_I$ in $\mathbb{T}^r$. We then have $\mathbb{R}^r_I \cong \mathbb{R}^{r-|I|}$ and $\mathbb{T}^r_I \cong \mathbb{T}^{r-|I|}$. The *sedentarity* of a point $x \in \mathbb{T}^r$ is $\operatorname{sed}(x) := \{i \in [n] \mid x_i = -\infty\}$.

2.1. **Abstract polyhedral spaces and tropical varieties.** A *rational polyhedron* in $\mathbb{R}^r$ is a subset defined by a finite system of affine (non-strict) inequalities $\langle w_i, v\rangle \geq c_i$ with $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $w_i \in \mathbb{Z}^r$. A *face* of a polyhedron σ is a polyhedron which is obtained by turning some of the defining inequalities of σ into equalities.

A *rational polyhedron* in $\mathbb{T}^r$ is the closure of a rational polyhedron in $\mathbb{R}^r_I \cong \mathbb{R}^{r-|I|} \subset \mathbb{T}^r$ for some $I \subset [r]$. A *face* of a polyhedron σ in $\mathbb{T}^r$ is the closure of a face of $\sigma \cap \mathbb{R}_J$ for some $J \subset [r]$. A *rational polyhedral complex* $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathbb{T}^r$ is a finite set of polyhedra in $\mathbb{T}^r$, satisfying the following properties:

(1) For a polyhedron $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}$, if τ is a face of σ (denoted $\tau \prec \sigma$) we have $\tau \in \mathcal{C}$.
(2) For $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathcal{C}$, if $\tau = \sigma \cap \sigma'$ is non-empty, then τ is a face of both σ and σ'.

The maximal polyhedra, with respect to inclusion, are called facets. If all facets of $\mathcal{C}$ have the same dimension n, we say $\mathcal{C}$ is of pure dimension n. The support of a polyhedral complex $\mathcal{C}$ is the union of all its polyhedra and is denoted $|\mathcal{C}|$. If $X = |\mathcal{C}|$, then X is called a *rational polyhedral subspace* of $\mathbb{T}^r$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is called a *rational polyhedral structure* on X.

The relative interior of a polyhedron σ in $\mathbb{T}^r$, denoted $\operatorname{relint}(\sigma)$, is defined to be the set obtained after removing all of the proper faces of σ. Given a polyhedral complex $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathbb{T}^r$, for $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}$, the closed star of σ is $\overline{\operatorname{St}}(\sigma) := \{\tau \in \mathcal{C} \mid \exists \sigma' \in \mathcal{C} \text{ such that } \tau, \sigma \subset \sigma'\}$. The open star $\operatorname{St}(\sigma)$ of σ is the open set which is the relative interior of the support of $\overline{\operatorname{St}}(\sigma)$. Also, let $\mathcal{C}_I$ denote the union of polyhedra $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}$ for which $\operatorname{relint}(\sigma) \subset \mathbb{R}^r_I$. For a rational polyhedron σ in $\mathbb{T}^r$, we denote $\sigma \cap \mathbb{R}^r_I$ by σ_I.

A map $f\colon M \to N$, where $M \subset \mathbb{T}^m$ and $N \subset \mathbb{T}^n$, is an *extended affine $\mathbb{Z}$-linear map* if it is continuous and there exist $A \in \operatorname{Mat}(n \times m, \mathbb{Z})$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(x) = Ax + b$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Definition 2.1. *A* rational polyhedral space X *is a paracompact, second countable Hausdorff topological space with an atlas of charts $(\varphi_\alpha\colon U_\alpha \to \Omega_\alpha \subset X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ such that:*

(1) *The U_α are open subsets of X, the Ω_α are open subsets of rational polyhedral subspaces $X_\alpha \subset \mathbb{T}^{r_\alpha}$, and the maps $\varphi_\alpha\colon U_\alpha \to \Omega_\alpha$ are homeomorphisms for all α;*
(2) *for all $\alpha, \beta \in A$ the transition map*

$$\varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta^{-1}\colon \varphi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \to \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$$

are extended affine $\mathbb{Z}$-linear maps.

Definition 2.2. *Let X be a rational polyhedral space.* A rational polyhedral structure *on X is a finite family of closed subsets $\mathcal{C}$ such that the following conditions hold:*

(1) *$X = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}} \sigma$;*
(2) *for each σ there exists a chart $\varphi_\sigma\colon U \to \Omega \subset X$ such that $\overline{\operatorname{St}}(\sigma) \subset U$ and $\{\varphi_\sigma(\tau) \mid \tau \in \overline{\operatorname{St}}(\sigma)\}$ is a rational polyhedral complex in $\mathbb{T}^s \times \mathbb{R}^{r-s}$.*

2.2. **Multi-(co)tangent (co)sheaves.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a rational polyhedral complex in $\mathbb{T}^r$. For a face $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_I$, denote by $\mathbb{L}_\mathbb{Z}(\sigma) \subset \mathbb{Z}^r_I$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by the integral vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^r_I$ tangent to σ.

Definition 2.3. *For $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_I$, the p-th* integral multi-tangent *and* integral multi-cotangent space *of $\mathcal{C}$ at σ are the $\mathbb{Z}$-modules*

$$\mathbf{F}_p^{\mathbb{Z}}(\sigma) = \sum_{\sigma' \in \mathcal{C}_I : \sigma \prec \sigma'} \bigwedge^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\sigma') \subset \bigwedge^p \mathbb{Z}_I^r \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(\sigma) = \left(\sum_{\sigma' \in \mathcal{C}_I : \sigma \prec \sigma'} \bigwedge^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\sigma') \right)^*,$$

respectively. If τ is a face of σ there are natural maps

$$\iota_{\tau,\sigma} \colon \mathbf{F}_p^{\mathbb{Z}}(\sigma) \to \mathbf{F}_p^{\mathbb{Z}}(\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{\tau,\sigma} \colon \mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(\tau) \to \mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(\sigma).$$

For Q any ring such that $\mathbb{Z} \subset Q \subset \mathbb{R}$ define $\mathbf{F}^p_Q(\sigma) = \mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(\sigma) \otimes Q$ and $\mathbf{F}_p^Q(\sigma) = \mathbf{F}_p^{\mathbb{Z}}(\sigma) \otimes Q$. When $Q = \mathbb{R}$ we drop the use of the sup- and sub-scripts on $\mathbf{F}_p(\sigma)$ and $\mathbf{F}^p(\sigma)$, respectively.

From the $\mathbb{Z}$-modules $\mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(\sigma)$, it is possible to construct a sheaf on $|\mathcal{C}| \subset \mathbb{T}^r$ following [MZ14, Section 2.3]. For each open set $\Omega \subset |\mathcal{C}|$, consider the poset $P(\Omega)$ whose elements are the connected components σ of faces of $\mathcal{C}$ intersecting with Ω. The elements of $P(\Omega)$ are ordered by inclusion and if $\tau \prec \sigma$ recall there are maps $\rho_{\tau,\sigma} \colon \mathbf{F}^p_Q(\tau) \to \mathbf{F}^p_Q(\sigma)$.

Definition 2.4 ([MZ14])**.** *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a rational polyhedral complex of $\mathbb{T}^r$. For an open set $\Omega \subset |\mathcal{C}|$ define the vector space*

$$\mathcal{F}^p_Q(\Omega) := \varprojlim_{\sigma \in P(\Omega)} \mathbf{F}^p_Q(\sigma).$$

The sheaves $\mathcal{F}^p_Q$ are constructible and do not depend on the polyhedral structure $\mathcal{C}$ but only on the support $|\mathcal{C}|$. For a polyhedral space X, the sheaves $\mathcal{F}^p$ are defined by gluing along charts. In fact, this definition does not require a polyhedral structure on X, see [JSS15].

2.3. **Tropical (co)homology.** In the following we always assume that X is a rational polyhedral space which admits a rational polyhedral structure $\mathcal{C}$. In this case, the $\mathbb{Z}$-modules $\mathbf{F}_p^{\mathbb{Z}}(\sigma)$ and $\mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(\sigma)$ and the maps $\iota_{\tau,\sigma}$, $\rho_{\tau,\sigma}$ are well-defined for any $\tau \prec \sigma \in \mathcal{C}$.

We let Δ_q denote an abstract q-dimensional simplex. Again Q will be a ring satisfying $\mathbb{Z} \subset Q \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.5. *A $\mathcal{C}$-*stratified q-simplex *in X is a continuous map $\delta \colon \Delta_q \to X$ such that*

- *for each face $\Delta' \subset \Delta_q$, we have $\delta(\operatorname{relint}(\Delta')) \subset \operatorname{relint}(\tau)$ for some $\tau \in \mathcal{C}$;*
- *if $\Delta_q = [0, \ldots, q]$ and φ is a chart containing $\delta(\Delta_q)$, then*

$$\operatorname{sed}(\varphi(\delta(0))) \supset \operatorname{sed}(\varphi(\delta(1))) \supset \ldots \supset \operatorname{sed}(\varphi(\delta(q))).$$

For $\tau \in \mathcal{C}$ let $C_q(\tau)$ denote the abelian group generated by stratified q-simplices $\delta \colon \Delta_q \to X$ that satisfy $\operatorname{relint}(\Delta_q) \subset \operatorname{relint}(\tau)$.

Definition 2.6. *The groups of* tropical (p,q)-chains *and* cochains *with respect to $\mathcal{C}$ and with Q-coefficients are respectively,*

$$C_{p,q}(X, Q) := \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbf{F}_p^Q(\tau) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} C_q(\tau), \tag{2.1}$$

$$C^{p,q}(X, Q) := \operatorname{Hom}_Q(C_{p,q}(X, Q), Q) = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbf{F}^p_Q(\tau) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_q(\tau), \mathbb{Z}). \tag{2.2}$$

The complexes of tropical $(p, \bullet)$-chains and cochains are respectively,

$$(C_{p,\bullet}(X, Q), \partial) \qquad \text{and} \qquad (C^{p,\bullet}(X, Q), d)$$

where the ∂ and d are the usual singular differentials composed, when necessary, with $\iota_{\tau,\sigma}$ and $\rho_{\tau,\sigma}$ respectively.

The tropical homology *and* tropical cohomology *groups with coefficients in Q are respectively,*

$$\mathrm{H}_{p,q}(X,Q) := \mathrm{H}_q(C_{p,\bullet}(X,Q)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{H}^{p,q}(X,Q) := \mathrm{H}^q(C^{p,\bullet}(X,Q)).$$

Definition 2.7. *The* tropical Borel-Moore *chain groups $C^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p,q}(X,Q)$ consist of formal infinite sums of elements of $C_{p,q}(X,Q)$ with the condition that locally only finitely many simplices have non-zero coefficients.*

The tropical Borel-Moore homology *groups are denoted $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p,q}(X,Q)$. They are the homology groups of the complex $(C^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p,\bullet}(X,Q),\partial)$.*

Remark 2.8. For computations, we will often use the simplicial version of the tropical (co)homology groups defined above. It is possible to construct a locally finite simplicial structure $\mathcal{D}$ on X such that all simplices are $\mathcal{C}$-stratified (see [MZ14, Section 2.2]). We call such a structure a $\mathcal{C}$-stratified simplicial structure. Following the standard conventions for simplicial (co)homology, we obtain simplicial tropical homology and cohomology groups, as well as the Borel-Moore variants respectively. By [MZ14, Proposition 2.2], the simplicial and singular (co)homology groups are canonically isomorphic. We use this isomorphism implicitly throughout the rest of the sections and pass leisurely between simplicial and singular tropical cohomology. We also use the same notation to denote both variants of the tropical (co)homology groups.

2.4. **The eigenwave homomorphism.** Throughout this section X is a rational polyhedral space equipped with a rational polyhedral structure $\mathcal{C}$. Before presenting the definition of the eigenwave homomorphism from [MZ14] we provide some notation. If $\delta\colon [0,\dots,q+1]\to X$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-stratified $q+1$-simplex, we denote the restriction of δ to the face $[0,\dots,q]$ by $\delta_{0\dots q}$ and by σ and τ the faces of $\mathcal{C}$ containing the image of the relative interior of $[0,\dots,q+1]$ and $[0,\dots,q]$, respectively. Moreover, the vector $v_{\delta[q,q+1]}\in \mathbf{F}_1(\tau)$ is defined to be the difference of the endpoints of $\delta_{q,q+1}$ in a chart φ containing σ. More precisely,

$$v_{\delta[q,q+1]} := \iota_{\tau,\sigma}(\varphi(\delta(q+1))) - \varphi(\delta(q)). \tag{2.3}$$

The vector $v_{\delta[q,q+1]}$ is in the linear space $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\tau)\otimes\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, given a vector $w\in \mathbf{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}_{p-1}(\tau)$ we have $w\wedge v_{\delta[q,q+1]}\in \mathbf{F}_p(\tau)$.

Definition 2.9. *The eigenwave homomorphism on singular tropical chains,*

$$\hat{\phi}\colon C_{p-1,q+1}(X,\mathbb{Z})\to C_{p,q}(X,\mathbb{R}),$$

is defined on a tropical (p,q)-cell $v\otimes\delta$ to be

$$\hat{\phi}(v\otimes\delta) = (\iota_{\tau,\sigma}(v)\wedge v_{\delta[q,q+1]})\otimes\delta_{0\dots q}.$$

Dually, the eigenwave homomorphism on singular tropical cochains,

$$\phi\colon C^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{Z})\to C^{p-1,q+1}(X,\mathbb{R}),$$

is defined on a tropical (p,q)-cocell α to be

$$\phi(\alpha)(v\otimes\delta) = \alpha(\hat{\phi}(v\otimes\delta)) = \alpha\left((\iota_{\tau,\sigma}(v)\wedge v_{\delta[q,q+1]})\otimes\delta_{0\dots q}\right).$$

A direct computation shows that these give morphisms $\hat{\phi}\colon C_{p-1,\bullet}(X,\mathbb{Z})[1]\to C_{p,\bullet}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ and $\phi\colon C^{p,\bullet}(X,\mathbb{Z})\to C^{p-1,\bullet}(X,\mathbb{R})[1]$. Therefore $\hat{\phi}$ and ϕ descend to maps on homology and cohomology, which we also denote by $\hat{\phi}$ and ϕ, respectively.

3. Tropical exponential sequence

Here we will prove Theorem 1.2 using the tropical exponential sequence (3.1). Throughout this section X is a rational polyhedral space with a rational polyhedral structure $\mathcal{C}$.

Definition 3.1. *The sheaf of real valued functions on X which are affine with integral slope in each chart is denoted by* $\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Definition 3.2. *Let x be a point in a polyhedral space X and $\varphi\colon U \to \mathbb{T}^r$ a chart such that $x \in U$ and* $\mathrm{sed}(\varphi(x)) \neq \emptyset$. *Then $v \in \mathbb{R}^r$ is a* divisorial direction at x *if there exists an $x_0 \in U$ with* $\mathrm{sed}\,\varphi(x_0) = \emptyset$ *such that for all $t < 0$ we have $x_t = \varphi(x_0) + tv \in \varphi(U)$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \varphi(x_0) + tv = x$.*

Note that any affine function $f \in \mathrm{Aff}(U)$ is constant along the divisorial directions to any $x \in U$ since the value $f(x)$ is a real number. Taking the differential of a real valued function provides a surjective map $d\colon \mathrm{Aff}_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathcal{F}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}$. The kernel is the sheaf of locally constant real functions $\underline{\mathbb{R}}$. The *tropical exponential sequence* is

$$0 \to \underline{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{Aff}_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathcal{F}^1_{\mathbb{Z}} \to 0. \tag{3.1}$$

After passing to the long exact sequence in cohomology for all q there is the coboundary map,

$$\delta\colon \mathrm{H}^{1,q}(X;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^{q+1}(X,\mathbb{R}).$$

Recall that $\mathcal{F}^0$ is the constant sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{R}}$ for all X, therefore we identify $\mathrm{H}^q(X,\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0,q}(X,\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-stratified simplicial structure and $\mathcal{U}$ denote the cover of X by the open stars of vertices of either $\mathcal{C}$ or $\mathcal{D}$. Then $\mathcal{U}$ is a Leray cover of X for the sheaves $\underline{\mathbb{R}}$, $\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathcal{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}$.*

Proof. Firstly, we show acyclicity of any open star U of a face for the sheaves $\underline{\mathbb{R}}$, $\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathcal{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}$. The open set U is contractible, thus acyclic for $\underline{\mathbb{R}}$. Furthermore, the contraction can be chosen so that it respects the simplicial structure on U. Following the arguments in the proof of [JSS15, Proposition 3.11], we see that U is acyclic for $\mathcal{F}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}$. The long exact sequence associated to (3.1) implies that U is acyclic for $\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ as well.

The intersection of stars of vertices is the star of the minimal face containing these vertices. Therefore, all intersections of the cover are acyclic and $\mathcal{U}$ is a Leray cover of X. □

Remark 3.4. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the open cover given by stars of vertices of a $\mathcal{C}$-stratified simplicial structure $\mathcal{D}$ on X. Then there is a canonical isomorphism between the tropical simplicial cohomology groups with respect to $\mathcal{D}$ and the Čech cohomology of the sheaves $\mathcal{F}^p_Q$ with respect to the cover $\mathcal{U}$. The Čech chain group $C^q(\mathcal{F}^p_Q,\mathcal{U})$ is canonically isomorphic to the group of q-simplicial cochains with coefficients in $\mathbf{F}^p_Q$, since $\mathcal{F}^p_Q(U_{i_0,\dots,i_q}) = \mathbf{F}^p_Q([i_0,\dots,i_q])$ for any q-simplex $[i_0,\dots,i_q] \in \mathcal{D}$. Also the differential maps in both cases agree. We also use this identification of simplicial and Čech cohomology groups throughout the following sections without using different notations.

Proposition 3.5. *The coboundary map $\delta\colon \mathrm{H}^{1,q}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^{0,q+1}(X,\mathbb{R})$ coincides, up to sign, with the eigenwave homomorphism. More precisely, we have $\delta = (-1)^{q+1}\phi$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a stratified simplicial structure on X. Let $\mathcal{D}_q$ denote the simplicies of $\mathcal{D}$ of dimension q. Write $[i_0,\dots,i_q]$ for the q-simplex with vertices $i_0,\dots,i_q \in \mathcal{D}$ with the orientation induced by the ordering of the vertices. For a q-simplex $[i_0,\dots,i_q]$, denote its open star by $U_{i_0\dots i_q}$.

We will compare the coboundary and the eigenwave maps using Čech cochains with respect to the cover $(U_i)_{i\in\mathcal{D}_0}$. An element $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^{1,q}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is given by a tuple $(\alpha_{i_0\cdots q})_{[i_0,\dots,i_q]\in\mathcal{D}_q}$ where $\alpha_{i_0\dots i_q} \in \mathcal{F}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}(U_{i_0\dots i_q})$. We choose a collection of functions $f_{i_0\dots i_q} \in \mathrm{Aff}_{\mathbb{Z}}(U_{i_0\dots i_k})$ such that $df_{i_0\dots i_q} = \alpha_{i_0\dots i_q}$ for all $[i_0,\dots,i_q] \in \mathcal{D}_q$. Since the functions $f_{i_0\dots i_q}$ are integer affine and the vertex i_q has minimal

sedentarity among all of $i_0 \dots i_q$, each function $f_{i_0 \dots i_q}$ extends uniquely by continuity to the vertex i_q. We normalise our choices in such a way that $f_{i_0 \dots i_q}(i_q) = 0$.

Write $f = (f_{i_0 \dots i_q})_{[i_0,\dots,i_q] \in \mathcal{D}_q}$. Since $(\alpha_{i_0 \dots i_q})$ is a closed Čech chain, the Čech boundary

$$(\partial f)_{i_0 \dots i_{q+1}} = \sum (-1)^k f_{i_0 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_{q+1}}$$

is a constant function. To compute this constant, we evaluate at i_{q+1} and find

$$(\partial f)_{i_0 \dots i_{q+1}}(i_{q+1}) = (-1)^{q+1} f_{i_0 \dots i_q}(i_{q+1})$$

because of our normalisation.

Note that if i_{q+1} has strictly lower sedentarity than i_q, then $f_{i_0 \dots i_q}$ is constant when moving along the divisorial direction at i_{q+1} towards i_q. Let $\pi_{\tau,\sigma}$ be the projection (along the divisorial direction) between strata containing the relative interior of two faces τ and σ. In particular, if the relative interiors of τ and σ are contained in the same strata this map is the identity. Then, whether or not i_q and i_{q+1} have the same sedentarity, we have $f_{i_0 \dots i_q}(i_{q+1}) = f_{i_0 \dots i_q}(\pi_{\tau,\sigma}(i_{q+1}))$, where τ and σ are the faces containing $[i_0, \dots, i_q]$ and $[i_0, \dots, i_{q+1}]$, respectively. Therefore,

$$\phi(\alpha)_{i_0 \dots i_{q+1}} = \alpha_{i_0 \dots i_q}(v_{\delta[q,q+1]}) = \alpha_{i_0 \dots i_q}(\pi_{\tau,\sigma}(i_{q+1}) - i_q) = f_{i_0 \dots i_q}(\pi_{\tau,\sigma}(i_{q+1})) = f_{i_0 \dots i_q}(i_{q+1})$$

since $f_{i_0 \dots i_q}(i_q) = 0$. This completes the proof. □

Definition 3.6. *The* tropical Picard group *is* $\mathrm{Pic}(X) := \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathrm{Aff}_{\mathbb{Z}})$. *The map from* $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ *to* $\mathrm{H}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ *provided by the tropical exponential sequence is called the* Chern class map *and is denoted by* $c_1 \colon \mathrm{Pic}(X) \to \mathrm{H}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof Theorem 1.2. The kernel of the boundary map δ is $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathrm{Aff}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ by the long exact sequence associated to (3.1) and by Propostion 3.5 this is also the kernel of the eigenwave homomorphism. This completes the proof. □

Remark 3.7. There is also a version of Sequence (3.1) with real coefficients namely,

$$0 \to \underline{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{Aff} \to \mathcal{F}^1 \to 0, \tag{3.2}$$

where Aff denote the sheaf of functions which are affine in each chart, not necessarily with integral slopes. By the same argument the boundary map of the long exact sequence is equal to the eigenwave map extended to cohomology with $\mathbb{R}$-coefficients $\phi \colon \mathrm{H}^{1,q}(X, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{H}^{0,q+1}(X, \mathbb{R})$. Mikhalkin and Zharkov conjecture that $\phi^{p-q} \colon \mathrm{H}^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{H}^{q,p}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is an isomorphism for all $p \geq q$ [MZ14, Conjecture 5.3]. This would imply that $\phi \colon \mathrm{H}^{1,q}(X, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{H}^{0,q+1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is surjective for all q. By the long exact sequence derived from the short exact sequence in (3.2) this happens if and only if $\mathrm{H}^{0,q}(X, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{H}^q(X, \mathrm{Aff})$ is zero for all $q \geq 1$, which would in turn imply that for all q the following sequence is exact

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^q(X, \mathrm{Aff}) \to \mathrm{H}^{1,q}(X, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{H}^{0,q+1}(X, \mathbb{R}) \to 0.$$

A conjecture similar to the one of Mikhalkin and Zharkov was made by Liu [Liu17] for tropical Dolbeault cohomology, which is a cohomology theory of non-archimedean analytic spaces defined using superforms in the sense of Lagerberg [Lag12]. We refer the reader to [CLD12, Gub16] for the construction of these forms on analytic spaces and to [JSS15] for the relation between the cohomology of superforms and the tropical cohomology groups considered here.

4. Tropical cycle class map

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3. To do this, we first prove the existence of sections of tropical line bundles, and that the construction of the divisor of a section is compatible with the Chern class map combined with capping with the fundamental class. We will restrict our attention to rational polyhedral spaces X with the following simple behaviour at their boundaries.

Definition 4.1. *A rational polyhedral space X is* regular at infinity *if it has an atlas of charts $\{\varphi_\alpha\colon U_\alpha \to \Omega_\alpha \subset X_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in A}$ such that $\Omega_\alpha \subset \mathbb{T}^{s_\alpha}\times\mathbb{R}^{r-s_\alpha}$ and $X_\alpha \cap (\mathbb{T}^{s_\alpha}\times\mathbb{R}^{r-s_\alpha}) = \mathbb{T}^{s_\alpha}\times X'_\alpha$, where X'_α is a rational polyhedral subspace in $\mathbb{R}^{r-s_\alpha}$.*

Given such an atlas and $x\in X$, the cardinality of $\operatorname{sed}\varphi_\alpha(x)$ *does not depend on α. We denote this number by* $\operatorname{sed}(x)$. *Given a subset $A\subset X$, the* finite part *of A is*

$$A^{\mathrm{fin}} := \{x\in A : \operatorname{sed}(x) = 0\}.$$

4.1. **Tropical line bundles and sections.** Throughout this section X is a rational polyhedral space which is regular at infinity and admits a rational polyhedral structure.

Definition 4.2. *Let $U\subset X$ be an open subset. A* tropical rational function *f on U is a continuous function $f\colon U^{\mathrm{fin}}\to\mathbb{R}$ such that there exists a polyhedral structure $\mathcal{C}$ on X for which $f|_{\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}}}$ is (the restriction of) an affine $\mathbb{Z}$-linear function for any $\sigma\in\mathcal{C}$. The set of tropical rational functions on U is denoted by $\mathcal{M}(U)$. Given $f\in\mathcal{M}(U)$, any rational polyhedral structure $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying the above condition is called* fine enough *for f.*

Definition 4.3. *A* section *$s=(s_i)_i$ of an element $L\in\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is a collection of tropical rational functions $s_i\in\mathcal{M}(U_i)$ which satisfies*

$$s_i - s_j = f_{ij} \tag{4.1}$$

for all $i\neq j$, where L is represented by a Čech cocycle $(U_{ij}, f_{ij})\in C^1(\mathcal{U},\operatorname{Aff}_{\mathbb{Z}})$. A rational polyhedral structure $\mathcal{C}$ which is fine enough for s_i for all i is called fine enough *for s.*

Note that since any finite number of polyhedral structures always has a common refinement, fine enough structures exist for sections represented on finite open covers.

A tropical line bundle $L\in\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ together with a section s is a *tropical Cartier divisor*. The set of tropical Cartier divisors $\operatorname{CaDiv}(X)$ forms an abelian group whose operation is given by addition of the Čech cocycles and the addition of the functions defining the section. There is a forgetful map $\operatorname{CaDiv}(X)\to\operatorname{Pic}(X)$.

The next proposition asserts the existence of a section of a tropical line bundle on a polyhedral space regular at infinity. A version of this proposition first appeared in the thesis of Torchiani in the case when X has no points of sedentarity [Tor10, Theorem 2.3.4].

Proposition 4.4. *Any line bundle $L\in\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ admits a section.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a rational polyhedral structure on X. By further subdividing, we can assume that each face in $\mathcal{C}$ contains a vertex. We denote the r-skeleton of $\mathcal{C}$ by $\mathcal{C}^r := \{\sigma\in\mathcal{C} \mid \dim\sigma\le r\}$ and $X^r := |\mathcal{C}^r|$. The open stars U_i for $i\in\mathcal{C}^0$ form an acyclic open cover of X by Lemma 3.3. Hence we can represent L by a Čech cocycle $(U_{ij}, f_{ij})\in C^1(\mathcal{U},\operatorname{Aff})$. The sets U_i for $i\in(\mathcal{C}^0)^{\mathrm{fin}}$ give a cover of the finite part X^{fin}. We first note that it is enough to construct a section $(s_i)_{i\in(\mathcal{C}^0)^{\mathrm{fin}}}$ for $L|_{X^{\mathrm{fin}}}$. Namely, given such a section and $j\in\mathcal{C}^0$ of higher sedentarity, we can define $s_j\colon U_j^{\mathrm{fin}}\to\mathbb{R}$ by setting $s_j(x) = s_i(x) + f_{ji}(x)$ for any $x\in U_j^{\mathrm{fin}}\cap U_i$, $i\in(\mathcal{C}^0)^{\mathrm{fin}}$. Since the f_{ij} form a Čech 1-cocycle, this is well-defined and produces a section of L on all of X.

We now restrict to the case $X = X^{\mathrm{fin}}$ and inductively define rational functions $s_i^r\colon X^r\cap U_i\to\mathbb{R}$ for all i such that Equation (4.1) is satisfied on $X^r\cap U_{ij}$. To begin it is easy to choose $s_i^0\colon X^0\cap U_i\to\mathbb{R}$. Assuming that the functions s_i^r are defined and satisfy the desired property, we construct a collection of functions $s_i^{r+1}\colon U_i\cap X^{r+1}\to\mathbb{R}$. Let σ be a face of dimension $r+1$ and choose a vertex $i(\sigma)$ contained in σ. We define a function $s^{\partial\sigma}$ on the boundary of σ as follows. For any proper face $\tau\prec\sigma$, we choose a vertex j such that $\operatorname{relint}\tau\subset U_j$ and set $s^{\partial\sigma}|_\tau = s_j^r|_\tau + f_{i(\sigma)j}|_\tau$. Again, since the f_{ij} form a cocycle, this defines a unique rational function $s^{\partial\sigma}\colon\partial\sigma\to\mathbb{R}$. Then Lemma 4.5 guarantees the existence of an extension of $s^{\partial\sigma}$ to a rational function on all of σ which we denote by $s^\sigma\colon\sigma\to\mathbb{R}$.

For any vertex $k \in \mathcal{C}^0$ we now define the function $s_k^{r+1}\colon U_k \cap X^{r+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ by requiring, for any $(r+1)$-face σ with $\operatorname{relint}\sigma \subset U_k$, the equation $s_k^{r+1}|_\sigma = s^\sigma + f_{i(\sigma)k}$ to hold. By construction, this gives rise to a well-defined function such that $s_k^{r+1}|_{X^r} = s_k^r$. Moreover, the collection of functions s_k^{r+1} for all k satisfies Equation (4.1) on X^{r+1}, which completes the induction step and proof. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let σ be a rational polyhedron in $\mathbb{R}^r$. If $s\colon \partial\sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ is a rational function, then s can be extended to a rational function on all of σ.*

Proof. We can assume without loss of generality that σ is of dimension r. For a codimension one face τ of σ, let H_τ denote the hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^r$ containing τ. We can construct a rational function $h_\tau\colon H_\tau \to \mathbb{R}$ which restricts to s on the face τ. To do this, notice that each point in H_τ can be uniquely written as $x + v$ where $x \in \delta \prec \tau$ and v lies in the normal cone of the face δ in the polyhedron τ with respect to the standard scalar product. Then $h_\tau(x+v) = s(x)$.

For each codimension one face τ choose a vector $v_{\sigma,\tau} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ pointing from τ to σ such that $\mathbb{L}_\mathbb{Z}(\sigma) = \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{Z}(\tau) + \mathbb{Z}v_{\sigma,\tau}$. Then let $\pi_\tau\colon \mathbb{R}^r \to H_\tau$ be defined by $\pi_\tau(x) = x - \operatorname{dist}(x, H_\tau)v_{\sigma,\tau}$. Choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and set

$$f_{m,\tau}(x) = h_\tau(\pi_\tau(x)) + m\operatorname{dist}(x, H_\tau).$$

We will show that for each τ there exists $m_\tau \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f_{m_\tau,\tau}(x) \le s(x)$ for all $x \in \partial\sigma$. Since $f_{m_\tau,\tau}(x) = s(x)$ for all $x \in \tau$, this implies that the piecewise affine function

$$h\colon \sigma \to \mathbb{R},\ x \mapsto \max_\tau f_{m_\tau,\tau}(x), \tag{4.2}$$

satisfies $h|_{\partial\sigma} = s$, as required.

To find m_τ for a fixed τ, we proceed as follows. Let $D \subset H_\tau$ be a domain of linearity of h_τ and $\delta \subset \partial\sigma$ be a domain of linearity of s. We will show that there exists an m such that $f_{m,\tau}(x) \le s(x)$ for all $x \in \pi_\tau^{-1}(D) \cap \delta$. Since there are only finitely many pairs D, δ to check we can find the desired m_τ.

Firstly, if $D \cap \delta = \emptyset$, then let

$$\operatorname{dist}(D,\delta) := \min_{x \in \pi_\tau^{-1}(D)\cap\delta} \operatorname{dist}(x, H_\tau) > 0$$

it can be verified that it suffices to choose $m \le \frac{-c}{\operatorname{dist}(D,\delta)}$, where c denotes $\max_{x\in\partial\sigma} s(x) - \min_{x\in\partial\sigma} s(x)$.

If $D \cap \delta \neq \emptyset$, then let

$$\operatorname{cone}(D,\delta) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^r \mid x + \varepsilon v \in \delta \text{ for some } x \in D\cap\delta \text{ and some } \varepsilon > 0\},$$

and take $v_1, \dots, v_l$ to be generators of this cone. Notice that the differentials $(df_{m,\tau})_x(v_i)$ and $ds_y(v_i)$ are constant over all $x \in \pi_\tau^{-1}(D)$ and all $y \in \delta$. Then choose an m satisfying $(df_{m,\tau})_x(v_i) \le ds_y(v_i)$ for all i, all $x \in \pi_\tau^{-1}(D)$, and all $y \in \delta$. Such a choice of m is possible since the left hand side can be made arbitrarily small except for when v_i lies in the lineality space of $\operatorname{cone}(D,\delta)$. In this case, both sides agree since f_τ and s agree on $D \cap \delta$. By linearity it follows that

$$(df_{m,\tau})_x(v) \le ds_y(v)$$

for any $v \in \operatorname{cone}(D,\delta)$, $x \in \pi_\tau^{-1}(D)$, and every $y \in \delta$. Finally, every $x \in \pi_\tau^{-1}(D) \cap \delta$ can be written in the form $x = x_0 + v$, $x_0 \in D \cap \delta$ and $v \in \operatorname{cone}(D,\delta)$. Then by choosing such an m, it follows that for all $x \in \pi_\tau^{-1}(D) \cap \delta$ we have $f_{m,\tau}(x) \le s(x)$. This completes the proof. □

4.2. **Tropical spaces and the fundamental class.** Throughout this section X is a rational polyhedral space of pure dimension n with a polyhedral structure $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-stratified simplicial structure on X.

A point x in a rational polyhedral subspace Y of $\mathbb{T}^r$ is *generic* if it admits an open neighbourhood in Y which is an open set of an affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^r_I$ for some I. Being a generic point is invariant under integral extended affine maps and hence this notion extends to rational polyhedral spaces. We denote the (open and dense) set of generic points by $X^{\mathrm{gen}} \subset X$.

Definition 4.6. *A rational polyhedral space X is* weighted *if it is equipped with a locally constant function $\omega\colon X^{\mathrm{gen}} \to \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$. For a maximal face $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}$, the function ω is constant on* $\operatorname{relint}\sigma$ *and we define $\omega(\sigma)$ to be this value. We also denote by $\omega(\Delta)$ its constant value on* $\operatorname{relint}(\Delta) \in \mathcal{D}_n$.

We can extend Definition 2.3 to simplicial structures. For any $\Delta \in \mathcal{D}_k$ whose relative interior is contained in $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}$ we define $\mathbb{L}(\Delta)$ to be the minimal linear subspace of $\mathbb{L}(\sigma)$ which is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and has the property that Δ is contained in a translate of $\mathbb{L}(\Delta)$. Note that in general $k \le \dim \mathbb{L}(\Delta)$. We set $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta) := \mathbb{L}(\Delta) \cap \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\sigma)$. For $\Delta \in \mathcal{D}_k$ with $\operatorname{rank}\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta) = k$, we define Λ_Δ to be the unique generator of $\bigwedge^k \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ compatible with the orientation of Δ. Then for a simplex Δ, we define $\mathbf{F}^1(\Delta)$ in the same way as for polyhedra in Definition 2.3.

Definition 4.7 (Fundamental chain)**.** *The* fundamental chain *of X is*

$$\operatorname{ch}(X) := \sum_{\Delta\in\mathcal{D}_n} w(\Delta)\Lambda_\Delta \otimes \Delta \in C^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n,n}(X,\mathbb{Z}).$$

We call X an (abstract) tropical space *if* $\operatorname{ch}(X)$ *is closed. In this situation, we call* $[X] := [\operatorname{ch}(X)] \in \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n,n}(X)$ *the* fundamental class *of X.*

Remark 4.8. The more conventional definition of a tropical space refers to the so-called balancing condition [MS15, Definition 3.3.1], [MR, Section 6.1]. To formulate this condition in our context, first let us use the notation $\Delta' \lhd \Delta$ to indicate pairs $\mathcal{D}_{n-1} \ni \Delta' \prec \Delta \in \mathcal{D}_n$ of the *same sedentarity*. Let $\Delta' \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$ be such that $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta')$ has rank $n-1$. A *primitive generator* of a pair $\Delta' \lhd \Delta$ is an integer vector $v_{\Delta,\Delta'}$ such that

$$v_{\Delta,\Delta'} \wedge \Lambda_{\Delta'} = \varepsilon_{\Delta,\Delta'}\Lambda_\Delta, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\varepsilon_{\Delta,\Delta'}$ is the sign with which Δ' appears in $\partial\Delta$. Primitive generators are unique up to adding an element in $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta')$. The rational polyhedral space X is called *balanced at* Δ' if

$$\sum_{\Delta:\Delta'\lhd\Delta} \omega(\Delta)v_{\Delta,\Delta'} \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta'), \tag{4.4}$$

where the $v_{\Delta,\Delta'}$ are primitive generators. The space X is called *balanced* if it balanced at all $\Delta' \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$ such that $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta')$ has rank $n-1$. It follows from [MZ14, Proposition 4.3] that $\operatorname{ch}(X)$ is a closed (n,n)-cycle if and only if X is balanced. In particular, whether or not X is a tropical space does not depend on the choice of simplicial structure $\mathcal{D}$.

Definition 4.9. *Given $l \in \mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(\sigma)$ and $v \in \mathbf{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}_{p'}(\sigma)$ with $p \le p'$, the* contraction $\langle l; v\rangle \in \mathbf{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}_{p'-p}(\sigma)$ *is induced by the usual contraction map $\langle\ ;\ \rangle\colon \bigwedge^p(\mathbb{Z}^r_I)^* \times \bigwedge^{p'}\mathbb{Z}^r_I \to \bigwedge^{p'-p}\mathbb{Z}^r_I$. More generally, given $\tau,\tau' \prec \sigma$ and $l \in \mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(\tau), v \in \mathbf{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}_{p'}(\sigma)$, the* contraction $\langle l; v\rangle$ *is given by*

$$\langle l; v\rangle := i_{\tau',\sigma}(\langle \rho_{\tau,\sigma}(l); v\rangle) \ \in \mathbf{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}_{p'-p}(\tau').$$

Definition 4.10. *The* cap product with the fundamental class of X *is the map*

$$\cap[X]\colon C^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to C^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-p,n-q}(X,\mathbb{Z})$$
$$\alpha \mapsto \sum_{[i_0,\dots,i_n]\in\mathcal{D}_n} \omega(\Delta)\langle\alpha([i_0,\dots,i_q]);\Lambda_\Delta\rangle \otimes [i_q,\dots,i_n],$$

where $\langle\ ;\ \rangle$ *denotes the contraction introduced in Definition 4.9. This map descends to a map between cohomology and Borel-Moore homology groups*

$$\cap[X]\colon \mathrm{H}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-p,n-q}(X,\mathbb{Z}).$$

which is independent of the simplicial structure $\mathcal{D}$*.*

4.3. **Subspaces, Divisors, and the Chern class map.** In this section X is a tropical space of dimension n, which is regular at infinity.

Definition 4.11. *A subset* $Z \subset X$ *is a* rational polyhedral subspace *if it is closed and the restrictions of the charts of an atlas of* X *provide an atlas as a rational polyhedral space for* Z*. Furthermore, a rational polyhedral subspace* $Z \subset X$ *is a* tropical subspace *or* tropical cycle *if it has a polyhedral structure and is equipped with a weight function which turns it into a tropical space.*

The tropical cycles of dimension k form a group under taking unions and adding up weights (see [AR10, Lemmata 2.14 and 5.15] and [MR, Section 7.1]), which we denote by $\mathrm{Z}_k(X)$. Note that since we assume $Z \subset X$ closed we get induced maps $\iota^Z_*\colon \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p,q}(Z) \to \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p,q}(X)$ for any polyhedral subspace Z. This gives rise to the following map.

Definition 4.12. *The* cycle class map $\mathrm{cyc}\colon \mathrm{Z}_k(X) \to \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{k,k}(X)$ *is defined by*

$$\mathrm{cyc}(Z) := \iota^Z_*([Z]) \in \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{k,k}(X).$$

We are interested in a construction which produces a tropical cycle of dimension $n-1$ from a Cartier divisor. Let (L,s) be a tropical Cartier divisor and consider the subset of X given by

$$\mathrm{D}(s) := \{x \mid \mathrm{sed}(x) > 0 \text{ or } s_i \text{ is not affine in a neighbourhood of } x\}.$$

It is a rational polyhedral subspace of dimension $n-1$. Next we define weights on this set to turn it into a tropical subspace following [AR10, Section 3] and [MR, Section 5.2].

Definition 4.13. *The* divisor map *is*

$$\mathrm{div}\colon \mathrm{CaDiv}(X) \to \mathrm{Z}_{n-1}(X),$$

where $\mathrm{div}(s)$ *is a tropical codimension one cycle supported on* $\mathrm{D}(s)$*. The weight of a generic point* $x \in \mathrm{D}(s)^{\mathrm{gen}}$ *is given as follows. If* $\mathrm{sed}(x) = 0$*, fix* i *such that* $x \in U_i$ *and choose*

- *a neighbourbood* $x \in U \subset U_i$ *and simplicial structure* $\mathcal{D}$ *on* U *such that* $\mathrm{D}(s) \cap U \subset |\mathcal{D}_{n-1}|$ *and* $x \in \operatorname{relint}\Delta', \Delta' \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$*,*
- *primitive generators* $v_{\Delta,\Delta'}$ *for any pair* $\Delta' \lhd \Delta$*,*

and set

$$w_{\mathrm{div}(s)}(x) = \sum_{\Delta:\Delta'\lhd\Delta} w_X(\Delta) ds_i|_\Delta(v_{\Delta,\Delta'}) - ds_i|_{\Delta'}\Big(\sum_{\Delta:\Delta'\lhd\Delta} w_X(\Delta)v_{\Delta,\Delta'}\Big). \tag{4.5}$$

If $\mathrm{sed}(x) > 0$ *in* X*, since* x *is generic in* $D(s)$ *we can assume that* $\mathrm{sed}(x) = 1$ *so that there exists a unique divisorial direction* v *at* x*. Fix a vertex* i *with* $x \in U_i$ *and* $x_0 \in U_i$ *such that* $\lim_{t\to\infty} x_0 + tv = x$*. Then the weight of* x *is*

$$w_{\mathrm{div}(s)}(x) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \omega_X(x_0+tv) ds_i|_{x_0+tv}(v). \tag{4.6}$$

The weights $w_{\mathrm{div}(s)}(x)$ may happen to be zero; such parts of $\mathrm{D}(s)$ are tacitly removed from $\mathrm{div}(s)$. For details on this construction and proof of its well-definedness we refer to [AR10, Sections 3 and 6] as well as [MR, Section 5.2].

Theorem 4.14. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{CaDiv}(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}(X) & \xrightarrow{c_1} & \mathrm{H}^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{div}} & & & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \cap[X]} \\ \mathrm{Z}_{n-1}(X) & & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{cyc}} & & \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-1,n-1}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \end{array} \tag{4.7}$$

commutes.

Let $s = (s_i)_i$ be a Cartier divisor. A simplicial structure $\mathcal{D}$ is *fine enough* for s if for any $\Delta \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists i with $\mathrm{relint}\,\Delta \subset U_i$ and such that $s_i|_{\mathrm{relint}\,\Delta}$ is the restriction of an affine $\mathbb{Z}$-linear map. Given a polyhedral structure $\mathcal{C}$ fine enough for s as in Definition 4.3, we can construct a simplicial structure fine enough by taking e.g. higher barycentric subdivisions. Given such $\mathcal{D}$, for any $\Delta \in \mathcal{D}_n$ and i such that $U_i \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$, we can consider the linear part $ds_i|_\Delta$ of the corresponding affine function, considered as an element in $\mathbf{F}^1(\Delta)$.

Lemma 4.15. *Let $s = (s_i)_i \in \mathrm{CaDiv}(X)$ be a Cartier divisor and let $\mathcal{D}$ be a simplicial structure fine enough for s. Then*

$$\mathrm{cyc}(\mathrm{div}(s)) = \sum_{\substack{\Delta\in\mathcal{D}_n \\ \Delta'\in\mathcal{D}_{n-1} \\ \Delta'\prec\Delta}} \omega(\Delta)\cdot \iota_{\Delta',\Delta}\left(\langle ds_{i_{\Delta'}}|_\Delta; \varepsilon_{\Delta,\Delta'}\Lambda_\Delta\rangle\right) \otimes \Delta' \in \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-1,n-1}(X), \tag{4.8}$$

where $\varepsilon_{\Delta,\Delta'} = \pm 1$ is the relative orientation of Δ and Δ' and $i_{\Delta'}$ is chosen so that $\mathrm{relint}\,\Delta' \subset U_{i_{\Delta'}}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is a simplicial structure on X fine enough for s, the simplices $\{\Delta \in \mathcal{D} | \Delta \subset \mathrm{D}(s)\}$ form a simplicial structure for $\mathrm{D}(s)$. In particular, it follows that for any $\Delta' \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$ with $\Delta' \subset \mathrm{D}(s)$ the lattice $\mathbb{L}_\mathbb{Z}(\Delta')$ is of rank $n-1$. For any $\Delta' \lhd \Delta$ we choose primitive generators $v_{\Delta,\Delta'}$ (satisfying (4.3)) and use the notation $v_{\Delta'} \in \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{Z}(\Delta')$ for the balanced sum in (4.4). If $\Delta' \prec \Delta$ are of different sedentarity, then we choose $v_{\Delta,\Delta'}$ to be the unique divisorial direction for $\Delta' \prec \Delta$ analogous to the second case in Definition 4.13. We now want to show that for any $\Delta' \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$ its coefficients on the right hand and left hand side of (4.8) agree.

First assume $\mathrm{sed}(\Delta') = 0$ and $\Delta' \subset \mathrm{D}(s)$. Then for any facet $\Delta' \prec \Delta$ the rules of contracting wedge products along 1-forms applied to equation (4.3) provide

$$\langle ds_{i_{\Delta'}}|_\Delta; \varepsilon_{\Delta,\Delta'}\Lambda_\Delta\rangle = ds_{i_{\Delta'}}|_\Delta(v_{\Delta,\Delta'})\cdot\Lambda_{\Delta'} - v_{\Delta,\Delta'} \wedge \langle ds_{i_{\Delta'}}|_\Delta; \Lambda_{\Delta'}\rangle. \tag{4.9}$$

Moreover, since $v_{\Delta'} \wedge \Lambda_{\Delta'} = 0$, we have

$$ds_{i_{\Delta'}}|_\Delta(v_{\Delta'})\cdot\Lambda_{\Delta'} = v_{\Delta'} \wedge \langle ds_{i_{\Delta'}}|_\Delta; \Lambda_{\Delta'}\rangle.$$

Comparing with (4.5), this proves the equality of coefficients.

If Δ' is of higher sedentarity, choose a lift $\Lambda'_{\Delta'}$ such that $\iota_{\Delta,\Delta'}(\Lambda'_{\Delta'}) = \Lambda_{\Delta'}$. For the unique facet $\Delta' \prec \Delta$, we have $\varepsilon_{\Delta,\Delta'}\Lambda_\Delta = v_{\Delta,\Delta'} \wedge \Lambda'_{\Delta'}$. Using again formula (4.9) and applying $\iota_{\Delta',\Delta}$ afterwards, we obtain

$$\iota_{\Delta',\Delta}\left(\langle ds_{i_{\Delta'}}|_\Delta; \varepsilon_{\Delta,\Delta'}\Lambda_\Delta\rangle\right) = ds_{i_{\Delta'}}|_\Delta(v_{\Delta,\Delta'})\cdot\Lambda_{\Delta'}. \tag{4.10}$$

Note that the "second" term vanishes since $\iota_{\Delta',\Delta}(v_{\Delta,\Delta'}) = 0$. This agrees with the definition in (4.6).

Let us now consider $\Delta' \not\subset \mathrm{D}(s)$. Then $s_{i_{\Delta'}}$ is affine linear in a neighbourhood of $\mathrm{relint}(\Delta')$ and therefore $ds_{i_{\Delta'}}$ defines an element in $\mathbf{F}^1(\Delta')$ (independent of a choice of facet $\Delta' \prec \Delta$). The coefficient of Δ' in (4.8) is therefore equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{\Delta \in \mathcal{D}_n \\ \Delta' \prec \Delta}} \omega(\Delta) \langle ds_{i_{\Delta'}}|_\Delta ; \varepsilon_{\Delta,\Delta'} \Lambda_\Delta \rangle = \langle ds_{i_{\Delta'}} ; \sum \omega(\Delta) \varepsilon_{\Delta,\Delta'} \Lambda_\Delta \rangle.$$

But the sum on the right hand side is exactly equal to the coefficient of Δ' in $\partial\,\mathrm{ch}(X)$, so it is zero since $\mathrm{ch}(X)$ is closed. □

Proof of Theorem 4.14. Let (L, s) denote a Cartier divisor of X. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a simplicial structure on X fine enough for s. We can assume that each open star of $\mathcal{D}$ is fully contained in the domain U_i of s_i for some i. Hence, by fixing an appropriate choice and restricting to open stars, we can even assume that $s = (s_i)_{i \in \mathcal{D}_0}$ is labelled by the vertices of $\mathcal{D}$ and that U_i is equal to the open star around the vertex i. As usual we use the notation $U_{i_0 \dots i_n} = U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_n}$ for the open stars of higher-dimensional simplices $\Delta = [i_0, \dots, i_n] \in \mathcal{D}$.

We first compute the image of (L, s) following the upper right path. Since s is a section of L, the transition functions for L are given by $f_{ij} = s_i - s_j$ on U_{ij}. Using the identification of Čech cochains and simplicial cochains explained in Remark 3.4, we conclude that $c_1(L)$ is the simplicial $(1,1)$-cochain which, when applied to an edge $[i, j] \in \mathcal{D}_1$, provides $df_{ij} \in \mathbf{F}^1([i, j])$. Capping with the fundamental class then gives

$$c_1(L) \cap [X] = \sum_{\Delta = [i_0, \dots, i_n]} \omega_\Delta \iota_{\Delta,\Delta_0} \langle df_{i_0 i_1} ; \Lambda_\Delta \rangle \otimes [i_1, \dots, i_n], \tag{4.11}$$

where $\Delta_j := [i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_n]$. Let us now compute the effect of the lower left path using Lemma 4.15. To do so, we fix the choice of indices $i_{\Delta'}$ required in Lemma 4.15 by setting $i_{\Delta'} = i_1$ for any $\Delta' = [i_1, \dots, i_n] \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$. In other words, to compute the coefficient of Δ' we always use the function associated to the *first* vertex in Δ'. Let us now fix a maximal simplex $\Delta = [i_0, \dots, i_n] \in \mathcal{D}_n$. Then by Lemma 4.15 and with the convention just made, the contribution of Δ to $\mathrm{cyc}(\mathrm{div}(s))$ in (4.8) is

$$\omega_\Delta \left(\iota_{\Delta,\Delta_0} \langle ds_{i_1} ; \Lambda_\Delta \rangle \otimes [i_1, \dots, i_n] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \iota_{\Delta,\Delta_j} \langle ds_{i_0} ; \varepsilon_{\Delta,\Delta_j} \Lambda_\Delta \rangle \otimes [i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_n] \right).$$

Since the section s satisfies $s_{i_1} = f_{i_0 i_1} + s_{i_0}$ we obtain

$$w_\Delta \left(\iota_{\Delta,\Delta_0} \langle df_{i_0 i_1} ; \Lambda_\Delta \rangle \otimes [i_1, \dots, i_n] + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \varepsilon_{\Delta,\Delta_j} \iota_{\Delta,\Delta_j} \langle ds_{i_0} ; \Lambda_\Delta \rangle \otimes [i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_n] \right).$$

The first summand is precisely the sum which appears in Equation (4.11) and the second summand is homologous to zero (namely, equal to $\partial(\langle ds_{i_0} ; \Lambda_\Delta \rangle \otimes \Delta)$). Therefore the diagram is commutative. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ker}(\phi \colon \mathrm{H}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^{0,2}(X, \mathbb{R}))$. By Theorem 1.2 there exists L in $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ such that $c_1(L) = \alpha$. By Proposition 4.4 there exists a section s of L. By the commutativity of the diagram in Theorem 4.14, we have $\alpha \cap [X] = c_1(L) \cap [X] = \mathrm{cyc}(\mathrm{div}(s))$. The statement of the theorem now follows since $\mathrm{cyc}(\mathrm{div}(s))$ is the fundamental class of a codimension one tropical cycle. □

5. Poincaré duality with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. To do so, we restrict to tropical manifolds and establish a version of Poincaré duality over $\mathbb{Z}$. We first introduce tropical manifolds which are tropical spaces locally modelled on matroidal fans. We do not describe these fans here but refer the reader to the literature for their definition and properties [AK06, Sha13b, FR13].

Definition 5.1. *A* tropical manifold X *is a tropical space whose weight function is equal to one and which is equipped with an atlas of charts* $\{\varphi_\alpha \colon U_\alpha \to \Omega_\alpha \subset X_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in A}$ *such that* $\Omega_\alpha \subset \mathbb{T}^{s_\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}^{r-s_\alpha}$ *and* $X_\alpha \cap (\mathbb{T}^{s_\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}^{r-s_\alpha}) = \mathbb{T}^{s_\alpha} \times X'_\alpha$*, where* $X'_\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^{r-s_\alpha}$ *is the support of a matroidal fan.*

Definition 5.2. *A tropical space* X *satisfies* Poincaré duality with integral coefficients *if*

$$\cap[X] \colon \mathrm{H}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-p,n-q}(X,\mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism for all p, q*.*

Theorem 5.3. *A tropical manifold satisfies Poincaré duality with integral coefficients.*

The above theorem is a extension of the version of Poincaré duality with real coefficients for tropical manifolds previously proved in [JSS15]. This version related tropical cohomology and tropical cohomology with compact support with real coeffcients via a pairing given by integration.

We first prove this version of Poincaré duality for matroidal fans using a cellular description of tropical (co)homology. Let X be a polyhedral subspace in $\mathbb{T}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$ and $\mathcal{C}$ a polyhedral structure on X such that every cell contains a vertex. Then there are descriptions of tropical (Borel-Moore) homology and cohomology in terms of cellular chain complexes with respect to $\mathcal{C}$,

$$\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p,q}(X,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}_q(C^{\mathrm{BM,cell}}_{p,\bullet}(\mathcal{C},\mathbb{Z})), \text{ where } C^{\mathrm{BM,cell}}_{p,q}(\mathcal{C},\mathbb{Z}) = \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\mathcal{C}_p} \mathbf{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}_p(\sigma), \tag{5.1}$$

$$\mathrm{H}_{p,q}(X,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}_q(C^{\mathrm{cell}}_{p,\bullet}(\mathcal{C},\mathbb{Z})), \text{ where } C^{\mathrm{cell}}_{p,q}(\mathcal{C},\mathbb{Z}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\sigma\in\mathcal{C}_p \\ \sigma \text{ compact}}} \mathbf{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}_p(\sigma) \text{ and} \tag{5.2}$$

$$\mathrm{H}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}^q(C^{p,\bullet}_{\mathrm{cell}}(\mathcal{C},\mathbb{Z})), \text{ where } C^{p,q}_{\mathrm{cell}}(\mathcal{C},\mathbb{Z}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\sigma\in\mathcal{C}_p \\ \sigma \text{ compact}}} \mathbf{F}^{p}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\sigma). \tag{5.3}$$

These identifications follow by a direct comparison with simplicial homology after choosing a locally finite $\mathcal{C}$-stratified simplicial structure (cf. Remark 2.8).

Let V be a fan in $\mathbb{R}^s$ and $\mathcal{C}$ a polyhedral fan structure on V such that 0 is a vertex of $\mathcal{C}$. We then find using (5.2) and (5.3) that $\mathrm{H}^{p,0}(V,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(0) =: \mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(V)$, $\mathrm{H}_{p,0}(V) = \mathbf{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}_p(0) =: \mathbf{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}_p(V)$, $\mathrm{H}^{p,q}(V,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}_{p,q}(V,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for all $p \geq 0, q > 0$.

Let X be a tropical variety in $\mathbb{R}^r$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ be a tropical rational function. The graph $\Gamma_X(f)$ of f is a polyhedral complex in $\mathbb{R}^{r+1}$. For every face τ of $\mathrm{div}(f)$ denote by $\tau_\leq$ the polyhedron $\{(x,y)|x \in \tau, y \leq f(x)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$. The union $Y := \Gamma_X(f) \cup \{\tau_\leq | \tau \in \mathrm{div}(f)\}$ is a tropical cycle in $\mathbb{R}^r$ if we define the weights on $\Gamma_X(f)$ to be inherited from X and the weight on a face $\tau_\leq$ is defined to be equal to the weight of τ in $\mathrm{div}(f)$.

Definition 5.4. *We call* Y *the* open tropical modification of X along f *and* $\delta \colon Y \to X$ *an* open tropical modification. *The space* $\mathrm{div}(f)$ *is called the* divisor *of the modification.*

More details on this construction can be found in [AR10, Construction 3.3] as well as [MR, Chapter 5]. We provide some notation useful for modifications. Let $\delta \colon V \to W$ be an open tropical modification of fans along a function $f \in \mathcal{M}(W)$ with divisor D and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a polyhedral structure consisting of cones which contains a polyhedral structure for D. We always assume that δ is induced

by the projection $\pi\colon \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^r$ with kernel e_{r+1}. Denote by $\overline{V}$ the closure of V in $\mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{T}$. Then the polyhedra

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\sigma} &= (\mathrm{id} \times f)(\sigma) && \text{for all } \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \\ \sigma_{\leq} &= \widetilde{\sigma} + (\{0\} \times [-\infty, 0]) && \text{for all } \sigma \in \mathcal{C}, \sigma \subset D \\ \sigma_{\infty} &= \sigma \times \{-\infty\} && \text{for all } \sigma \in \mathcal{C}, \sigma \subset D \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

form a polyhedral structure on $\overline{V}$. The intersection of the first two types of cones with V form a polyhedral structure on V.

Proposition 5.5. *Let V be a matroidal fan in $\mathbb{R}^s$. Then V satisfies Poincaré duality with integral coefficients.*

Proof. We use induction on s, with base case being when $s = \dim(V)$. In this case the support of V is $\mathbb{R}^s$ and the statement can be verified using the fact that $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ and $\mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}$ are constant for all p.

If $s > \dim(V)$, by [Sha13b, Proposition 2.2] there exists an open tropical modification $\delta\colon V \to W$ with divisor D where W and D are matroidal fans in $\mathbb{R}^{s-1}$. The closure $\overline{V}$ of V in $\mathbb{R}^{s-1} \times \mathbb{T}$ satisfies $\mathrm{H}^{p,q}(W, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}^{p,q}(\overline{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p,q}(W, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p,q}(\overline{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ by Proposition 5.6. We have the short exact sequence

$$0 \to C^{\mathrm{BM,cell}}_{p,q}(D, \mathbb{Z}) \to C^{\mathrm{BM,cell}}_{p,q}(\overline{V}, \mathbb{Z}) \to C^{\mathrm{BM,cell}}_{p,q}(V, \mathbb{Z}) \to 0. \tag{5.5}$$

Using the notation from (5.4), the maps in (5.5) are given by

$$v \otimes \sigma \mapsto v \otimes \sigma_{\infty}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \begin{aligned} v \otimes \widetilde{\sigma} &\mapsto v \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}, \\ v \otimes \sigma_{\leq} &\mapsto v \otimes \sigma_{\leq}, \\ v \otimes \sigma_{\infty} &\mapsto 0. \end{aligned}$$

The same induction argument as in [JSS15, Lemma 4.26] proves that $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p,q}(V, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $q \neq n$ and that the long exact sequence obtained from (5.5) reduces to a short exact sequence which fits into the following commutative diagram (see (5.7))

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{p,0}(W, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{p,0}(V, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{p-1,0}(D, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cap[W] & & \downarrow \cap[V] & & \downarrow \cap[D] & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-p,n}(W, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-p,n}(V, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-p,n-1}(D, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array} \tag{5.6}$$

Arguing by induction we can assume that W and D satisfy Poincaré duality with integral coefficients. Then the five lemma shows that V does as well. This completes the proof. □

Proposition 5.6. *Let $\delta\colon V \to W$ be an open tropical modification with divisor D, such that W, V and D are matroidal fans. Then $\delta_*\colon \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p,q}(\overline{V}, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p,q}(W, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\delta^*\colon \mathrm{H}^{p,q}(W, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^{p,q}(\overline{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ are isomorphisms for all p, q.*

Proof. For cohomology, note that $\overline{V}$ has three compact cells, which we denote by τ_{∞}, τ_0 and $\tau_{\leq}$. We further have $\mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(\tau_{\infty}) = \mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(D)$, $\mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(\tau_0) = \mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(V)$ and $\mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(\tau_{\leq}) = \mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(D) \oplus (\mathbf{F}^{p-1}_{\mathbb{Z}}(D) \wedge w)$, where $w\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is any $\mathbb{Z}$-linear form such that $w(e_{r+1}) = 1$. By (5.1) we thus have to show that the cohomology of

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(D) \oplus \mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(V) \longrightarrow \mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(D) \oplus (\mathbf{F}^{p-1}_{\mathbb{Z}}(D) \wedge w) \longrightarrow 0$$

is equal to $\mathbf{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(W)$. This follows from dualising the sequence (5.7).

It remains to prove the statement about Borel-Moore homology. In the following, we always use σ to denote arbitrary cells of W and τ for cells of codimension at least 1. On the chain level δ_* is given

by the map $\Psi\colon C^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p,q}(\overline{V},Q)\to C^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p,q}(W,Q)$ defined by $v\otimes\widetilde{\sigma}\mapsto\pi(v)\otimes\sigma$, $v\otimes\tau_{\leq}\mapsto 0$, $v\otimes\tau_\infty\mapsto v\otimes\tau$. We want to show that this is a quasi-isomorphism.

Injectivity: Let C be a closed chain in $C^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p,q}(\overline{V},Q)$ with $\Psi(C)=\partial B$ being a boundary. By choosing an arbitrary lift $\widetilde{B}$ of B under Ψ, which is surjective, and subtracting $\partial\widetilde{B}$, we can assume $\Psi(C)=0$. Note that the cells τ_∞ can be moved in the interior by adding a suitable boundary of $\tau_{\leq}$ cells, and hence we can assume $C=\sum a_\sigma\widetilde{\sigma}+\sum b_\tau\tau_{\leq}$. Then $\Psi(C)=0$ implies that $a_\sigma\in\ker(\pi)$. From Lemma 5.7, it follows that if $q=n$ then $a_\sigma=0$, and if $q<n$ then $a_\sigma\in\mathbf{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}_p(\sigma_{\leq})$. Hence, by adding the boundaries of $a_\sigma\sigma_{\leq}$, we can assume $C=\sum b_\tau\tau_{\leq}$. But then $0=\partial C=\sum b_\tau\widetilde{\tau}+\sum c_\rho\rho_{\leq}-\sum\pi(b_\tau)\tau_\infty$ implies $b_\tau=0$.

Surjectivity: Let $C=\sum a_\sigma\sigma$ be a closed chain in $C^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p,q}(W,Q)$. We can obviously find a lift of C of the form $C_1:=\sum\widetilde{a}_\sigma\widetilde{\sigma}$. Its boundary is of the form $\partial C_1=\sum b_\tau\widetilde{\tau}$. Since $\Psi(\partial C_1)=0$, we get $\pi(b_\tau)=0$ and hence $b_\tau\in\mathbf{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}_p(\tau_{\leq})$ by Lemma 5.7. Hence by adding $b_\tau\tau_{\leq}$, we obtain C_2 with $\partial C_2=\sum_\rho c_\rho\rho_{\leq}$, where ρ runs through cells of dimension $q-2$. Let us compute c_ρ. By construction it is a sum over the flags $\rho\subset\tau\subset\sigma$, each contributing $\pm\widetilde{a}_\sigma$. But for each σ there are exactly two such flags, and they contribute with opposite sign, which implies $c_\rho=0$. Hence we get $\Psi(C_2)=\Psi(C_1)=C$ and $\partial C_2=0$, as required. □

Lemma 5.7. *Let $v\in\mathbf{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}_p(\widetilde{\tau})$ such that $\pi(v)=0$. Then $v\in\mathbf{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}_p(\tau_{\leq})$.*

Proof. The statement follows from the fact that the sequence

$$0\longrightarrow\mathbf{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}_{p-1}(D)\xrightarrow{w\mapsto w\wedge e_{r+1}}\mathbf{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}_p(V)\xrightarrow{v\mapsto\pi(v)}\mathbf{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}_p(W)\longrightarrow 0 \tag{5.7}$$

is exact. This sequence is obtained by combining a similar short exact sequence for Orlik-Solomon algebras from [OT92, Theorem 3.65] together with the relation between the Orlik-Solomon algebras and $\mathbf{F}_p$ from [Zha13]. □

Lemma 5.8. *Let Y be a polyhedral space in $\mathbb{T}^s\times\mathbb{R}^{r-s}$. Then $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p,q}(Y,\mathbb{Z})=\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p+1,q+1}(Y\times\mathbb{T},\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{H}^{p,q}(Y,\mathbb{Z})=\mathrm{H}^{p,q}(Y\times\mathbb{T},\mathbb{Z})$ for all p,q.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a polyhedral structure on V. Given a face $\sigma\in\mathcal{C}$, denote $\sigma_\infty:=\sigma\times\{-\infty\}$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}:=\sigma\times\mathbb{T}$. The collection of all these polyhedra forms a polyhedral structure on $Y\times\mathbb{T}$. The statement for cohomology now follows directly from (5.3) since the compact cells for the polyhedral structure on $Y\times\mathbb{T}$ are precisely of the form $\sigma\times\{-\infty\}$ for compact cells σ of $\mathcal{C}$.

For Borel-Moore homology, we prove the claimed isomorphism by constructing an explicit homotopy equivalence on the cellular chain complexes with respect to these polyhedral structures. Let us first look at the behaviour of the multi-tangent spaces. There are projection and lifting maps

$$\pi\colon\mathbf{F}_p(\widetilde{\sigma})\to\mathbf{F}_p(\sigma_\infty)=\mathbf{F}_p(\sigma)\text{ and }\wedge e_{r+1}\colon\mathbf{F}_p(\sigma)\to\mathbf{F}_{p+1}(\widetilde{\sigma}).$$

The map π is induced by the linear projection $\mathbb{R}^r\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}^r$ forgetting the last coordinate. The second map is given by $v\mapsto v\wedge e_{r+1}:=\widetilde{v}\wedge e_{r+1}$ where $\widetilde{v}\in\pi^{-1}(v)$ and e_{r+1} denotes the kernel of the map π. Note that the wedge product does not depend on the choice of preimage. Let $w\colon\mathbb{R}^r\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ be the linear form given by projecting onto the last factor, regarded as an element $w\in\mathbf{F}^1(\sigma)$, as in the proof of Proposition 5.6. We define

$$\Psi\colon C^{\mathrm{BM,cell}}_{p,q}(Y,\mathbb{Z})\to C^{\mathrm{BM,cell}}_{p+1,q+1}(Y\times\mathbb{T},\mathbb{Z});\ v\otimes\sigma\mapsto(v\wedge e_{r+1})\otimes\widetilde{\sigma}\text{ and}$$
$$\Phi\colon C^{\mathrm{BM,cell}}_{p+1,q+1}(Y\times\mathbb{T},\mathbb{Z})\to C^{\mathrm{BM,cell}}_{p,q}(Y,\mathbb{Z});\ v\otimes\widetilde{\sigma}\mapsto\pi(\langle w;v\rangle)\otimes\sigma\text{ and }v\otimes\sigma_\infty\mapsto 0,$$

where $\langle\ ;\ \rangle$ denotes the contraction from Definition 4.9.

It easy to check $\Phi\circ\Psi=\mathrm{id}$ since $\pi(\langle w;v\wedge e_{r+1}\rangle)=v$. We define

$$h\colon C^{\mathrm{cell}}_{p+1,q}(Y\times\mathbb{T},\mathbb{Z})\to C^{\mathrm{cell}}_{p+1,q+1}(Y\times\mathbb{T},\mathbb{Z});\ \ v\otimes\sigma_\infty\mapsto\overline{v}\otimes\widetilde{\sigma}\text{ and }v\otimes\widetilde{\sigma}\mapsto 0,$$

where $\overline{v}$ is the map $\mathbf{F}_p(\sigma) \to \mathbf{F}_p(\widetilde{\sigma})$ induced by mapping each vector $v \in \mathbf{F}_1(\sigma)$ to the unique preimage $\overline{v} \in \pi^{-1}(v)$ with $\langle w; v\rangle = 0$. Then h provides a chain homotopy between id and $\Psi \circ \Phi$, thus the lemma is proven. □

Corollary 5.9. *Let V be a matroidal fan in $\mathbb{R}^s$ and set $Y = V \times \mathbb{T}^r$. Then Y satisfies Poincaré duality.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 5.5 and Lemma 5.8. □

Remark 5.10. In the following proof we use a local gluing argument. To do so, we need to slightly extend our terminology. Let X be a polyhedral space with polyhedral structure $\mathcal{C}$. Let $U \subset X$ be an open subset. A *$\mathcal{C}$-stratified q-simplex* in U is a $\mathcal{C}$-stratified q-simplex δ in X such that $\delta(\Delta) \subset U$. Using this convention, Definitions 2.6 and 2.7 can be carried over to the open set U instead of X. In particular, we obtain groups $\mathrm{H}^{p,q}(U, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-p,n-q}(U, \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, if X is a tropical space any $\mathcal{C}$-stratified simplicial structure on U gives rise to a fundamental class $[U] \in \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n,n}(U, \mathbb{Z})$ and a map $\cap[U]\colon \mathrm{H}^{p,q}(U, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-p,n-q}(U, \mathbb{Z})$ which do not depend on the simplicial structure. Again we say that U satisfies Poincaré duality if $\cap[U]$ is an isomorphism for all p, q.

Proof of Theorem 5.3 . Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a polyhedral structure for X. The proof is completed in two steps.

Step 1: Open stars of faces satisfy Poincaré duality: A star U_σ of a face $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}$ of a tropical manifold is isomorphic as a tropical manifold to a connected neighbourhood U of $(0, (\infty)^r)$ in a polyhedral complex of the form $Y = V \times \mathbb{T}^r$, where V is a matroidal fan. Note that $\mathcal{C}$ induces a polyhedral structure $\mathcal{C}'$ on Y. There is a homeomorphism $f\colon U \to Y$ which preserves the stratification given by $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}'$. Hence if δ is a $\mathcal{C}$-stratified simplex in U, the push-forward $f_*(\delta) = f \circ \delta$ is a $\mathcal{C}'$-stratified simplex in Y. We obtain the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^{p,q}(U, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\cap[U]} & \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-p,n-q}(U, \mathbb{Z}) \\ {\scriptstyle f^*}\uparrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_*} \\ \mathrm{H}^{p,q}(Y, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\cap[Y]} & \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-p,n-q}(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

It is straightforward to check that the two vertical arrows are isomorphisms. Since $\cap[Y]$ is an isomorphism by Corollary 5.9, the map $\cap[U]$ is also an isomorphism.

Step 2: Finite unions of open stars of $\mathcal{C}$ satisfy Poincaré duality: We proceed by induction on the number of open stars in the union with the base case covered above. Suppose that a union of k open stars satisfy Poincaré duality. Let U be an open star and V be a union of k open stars of $\mathcal{C}$. Then $U \cap V$ is also a union of k open stars, so that U, V, and $U \cap V$ satisfy Poincaré duality. The following short exact sequence of complexes (with respect to $\mathcal{C}$)

$$0 \to C^{\mathrm{BM,cell}}_{p,\bullet}(U \cap V, \mathbb{Z}) \to C^{\mathrm{BM,cell}}_{p,\bullet}(U, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus C^{\mathrm{BM,cell}}_{p,\bullet}(V, \mathbb{Z}) \to C^{\mathrm{BM,cell}}_{p,\bullet}(U \cup V, \mathbb{Z}) \to 0$$

induces a Mayer-Vietoris sequence $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{p,\bullet}(U, V)$ for the tropical Borel-Moore homology groups. We further denote by $\mathrm{M}^{p,\bullet}(U, V)$ the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for tropical cohomology groups. We get a map of sequences

$$\mathrm{M}^{p,\bullet}(U, V) \to \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-p,n-\bullet}(U, V)$$

where in each degree we take the cap product with the appropriate fundamental class. Now the claim follows from the five lemma, since by our assumption U, V and $U \cap V$ satisfy Poincaré duality. □

Corollary 5.11. *If X is a compact tropical manifold of dimension n, then*

$$\mathrm{H}^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathrm{H}_{n-q,n-q}(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Remark 5.12. A tropical manifold X also satisfies $\mathrm{H}_c^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}_{n-p,n-q}(X,\mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathrm{H}_c^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ denotes cohomology with compact support. Capping with the fundamental class of a tropical manifold also produces a map

$$\cap[X]\colon \mathrm{H}_c^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}_{n-p,n-q}(X,\mathbb{Z}), \tag{5.8}$$

which again is an isomorphism for all p, q. This can be proven by essentially dualising the argument given in this section.

The last step in order to prove Theorem 1.1 is to relate the wave homomorphism on cohomology to its variant on homology.

Lemma 5.13. *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathrm{H}^{0,2}(X,\mathbb{R}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cap[X]} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cap[X]} \\ \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-1,n-1}(X,\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\hat{\phi}} & \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n,n-2}(X,\mathbb{R}) \end{array}$$

Proof. This follows on the level of individual simplices by the definition of ϕ (see Definition 2.9). □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It is easy to check $\hat{\phi} \circ \mathrm{cyc} = 0$ (see [MZ14, Theorem 5.4]). Conversely, let $\beta \in \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-1,n-1}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\hat{\phi}(\beta) = 0$. Since X satisfies Poincaré duality with integral coefficients, there exists $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ with $\alpha \cap X = \beta$. By Lemma 5.13 we have $\phi(\alpha) \cap X = 0$. Then, again by Poincaré duality, we get $\phi(\alpha) = 0$ and the statement follows from Theorem 1.3. □

6. Corollaries and Examples

In this final section we deduce some corollaries of the main theorems and present some explicit examples. In the case of tropical abelian surfaces and Klein bottles with a tropical structure, we show how to represent $(1,1)$-classes in the kernel of the wave map as fundamental classes of tropical cycles. We also calculate the wave map for two combinatorial types of tropical $K3$ surfaces. We start with some interesting consequences of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 6.1. *Let X be a tropical manifold. If $\mathrm{H}^{0,2}(X,\mathbb{R}) = 0$, then every class in $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-1,n-1}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is the fundamental class of a tropical cycle in X.*

Proof. By Poincaré duality 5.3 we find that $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n,n-2}(X,\mathbb{R}) = 0$ and thus every element of $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-1,n-1}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is in the kernel of $\hat{\phi}$. The corollary now follows from Theorem 1.1. □

Corollary 6.2. *Let X be a tropical manifold. If $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-1,n-1}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is a torsion class, then α is the fundamental class of a codimension one tropical cycle.*

Proof. We have $\hat{\phi}(\alpha) = 0 \in \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n,n-2}(X,\mathbb{R})$. Thus the corollary follows again from Theorem 1.1. □

Remark 6.3. In some instances the image of the wave homomorphism is contained in the appropriate cohomology group with rational instead of real coefficients. Then the dimension of the kernel of the extension $\phi\colon \mathrm{H}^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \mathrm{H}^{0,2}(X,\mathbb{Q})$ gives the rank of the free part of the kernel of $\phi\colon \mathrm{H}^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^{0,2}(X,\mathbb{Q})$. For example, for the $\mathbb{Q}$-tropical projective varieties as introduced in [IKMZ16] the wave homomorphism is always defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

6.1. **Tropical structures on the Klein bottle.** et K be a Klein bottle obtained from gluing a parallelogram $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with edges a, b, c, d as follows. The edges b and d are glued using the translation in the direction of a, and the edges a and c are glued using an (orientation-reversing) affine transformation h which sends a to c with flipped orientation. Let $H \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ be the linear part of h. Then the tropical structure given by $\mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ extends to K if and only if $H \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Note that $\det(H) = -1$ and one of its eigenvalues is -1, hence the second eigenvalue is $+1$. It follows that the eigenvectors have rational directions and a computation shows that the two primitive eigenvectors either form a lattice basis or generate a sublattice of index 2. We can normalise the two cases to the following matrices:

$$H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.1}$$

Correspondingly, the parallelogram P has vertices 0, $l_1 e_1$, $l_1 e_1 + l_2 v_2$, and $l_2 v_2$, where v_2 is either e_2 or $\binom{1}{2}$ see (Figures 1, 2). We denote the two Klein bottles by K_1 and K_2.

Note that $\mathrm{H}_{2,0}(K, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ so that $\mathrm{H}_{2,0}(K, \mathbb{R}) = 0$. Hence we are in the situation of Corollary 6.1 which says that any $(1,1)$-class can be represented by a tropical cycle of dimension one. Let $a = [0, l_1 e_1]$ and $b = [0, l_2 v_2]$ denote two oriented edges of P. Any $(1,1)$-class can be represented by

$$v \otimes a + \lambda v_2 \otimes b, \quad v \in \mathbb{Z}^2, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

As boundaries of $(1,2)$-chains we obtain

$$\partial(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \otimes P) = \begin{cases} 2y e_2 \otimes a & \text{if } H = H_1, \\ y\binom{1}{2} \otimes a & \text{if } H = H_2. \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

Hence we find $\mathrm{H}_{1,1}(K_1, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} = \langle e_2 \otimes a, e_1 \otimes a, e_2 \otimes b \rangle$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1,1}(K_2, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} = \langle \binom{1}{1} \otimes a, \binom{1}{2} \otimes b \rangle$. Among these generators, the chains $e_1 \otimes a$ and $v_2 \otimes b$ can obviously be represented by tropical cycles. Such representations are less obvious for the torsion class $e_2 \otimes a$ and the class $\binom{1}{1} \otimes a$. Explicit representations by tropical cycles are depicted for the two cases in Figures 1, 2. Here the chains are drawn in thin red lines with framing and orientation given by simple and double arrows respectively. The homologous tropical cycles are drawn in thick red lines and labelled with their respective weights if not equal 1.

For the sake of completeness let us briefly discuss the full classification of tropical Klein bottles. Instead of just a translation, we may glue the edges b and d via the affine transformation $x \mapsto Tx + t$, where t is the translation along a and $T \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ (before we assumed $T = \mathrm{id}$). Depending on H, the possible matrices T are of the following two types (see [Sep10])

$$T_{1,n} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ n & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \qquad T_{2,n} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+2n & -n \\ 4n & 1-2n \end{pmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{6.3}$$

The obtained Klein bottles $K_{1,n}$ and $K_{2,n}$ give a full list of Klein bottles with a tropical structure. Analogous to the case $n = 0$, we can compute the homology groups for $n \neq 0$ as $\mathrm{H}_{1,1}(K_{1,n}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \langle e_2 \otimes a, e_2 \otimes b \rangle$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1,1}(K_{2,n}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z} = \langle \binom{1}{2} \otimes b \rangle$. Again, it is clear that $v_2 \otimes b$ can be represented by tropical cycles, while for $e_2 \otimes a$ the same trick as for K_1, Figure 1, is needed.

6.2. **Tropical abelian surfaces.** A tropical abelian surface is $S = \mathbb{R}^2/\Lambda$ where Λ is a rank two lattice equal to $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Therefore $S \cong S^1 \times S^1$. The sheaf $\mathcal{F}^p_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the constant sheaf $\bigwedge^p \mathbb{Z}^2$ for $p = 0, 1, 2$, and tropical homology groups $\mathrm{H}_{p,q}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ are free $\mathbb{Z}$ modules whose ranks



FIGURE 1. Representing the torsion class in $\mathrm{H}_{1,1}(K_1)$ of a Klein bottle K_1 from Subsection 6.1 as a parallel class.



FIGURE 2. Representing a class in $\mathrm{H}_{1,1}(K_2)$ of the Klein bottle K_2 from Subsection 6.1 as a parallel class.

are given by the follow tropical Hodge diamond,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & 1 & & \\ & 2 & & 2 & \\ 1 & & 4 & & 1 \\ & 2 & & 2 & \\ & & 1 & & \end{array}.$$

We can choose a basis of $\mathrm{H}_{1,1}(S,\mathbb{Z})$ as $\alpha_{ij} = e_i \otimes \sigma_j$ where σ_1, σ_2 form a basis of $\mathrm{H}_1(S,\mathbb{Z})$ and e_1, e_2 are a lattice basis of $\mathbb{Z}^2$. Furthermore suppose that σ_i is the quotient of the oriented line in $\mathbb{R}^2$ in direction w_i. Then the eigenwave homomorphism is given by $\hat{\phi}(\alpha_{ij}) = e_i \wedge w_j$.

We can explicitly describe a parallel representative of $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}_{1,1}(S,\mathbb{Z}) \cap \ker(\hat{\phi})$. For $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}_{1,1}(S,\mathbb{Z})$ we can write $\alpha = v_1 \otimes \sigma_1 + v_2 \otimes \sigma_2$, where v_1 and v_2 are integer vectors. Then α is in $\ker(\hat{\phi})$ if and only if $v_1 \wedge w_1 + v_2 \wedge w_2 = 0$.

Suppose that v_1 and v_2 are linearly independent. Consider the triangle T in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with vertices $0, w_1$, and w_2. Firstly, we claim that if $v_1 \wedge w_1 + v_2 \wedge w_2 = 0$, then the lines in directions $v_1 + v_2$, v_1, and v_2 drawn from the vertices $0, w_1$, and w_2, respectively, are concurrent.

Since $v_1 \wedge v_2 \neq 0$, it forms a $\mathbb{R}$-basis of $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^2$ and hence there exists an $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha v_1 \wedge v_2 = v_1 \wedge w_1 = -v_2 \wedge w_2$. Then the three lines mentioned above intersect at the point $p = \alpha(v_1 + v_2)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. To see this notice that $(x - w_i) \wedge v_i = 0$ is the defining equation for the line from w_i. Then

$$(\alpha(v_1 + v_2) - w_i) \wedge v_i = \alpha(v_j \wedge v_i) + v_i \wedge w_i \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq j.$$

Consider the $(1,1)$-cycle $\alpha' = (v_1 + v_2) \otimes [0,p] - v_1 \otimes [w_1,p] - v_2 \otimes [w_2,p]$. Then $\alpha - \alpha' = \partial(\beta_1 + \beta_2)$, where $\beta_i = v_i \otimes \tau_i$ is a $(1,2)$-simplex based on the triangle $\tau_i = [0 w_i p]$ (with given orientation). Then α' is the fundamental class of a tropical 1-cycle and it is homologous to α, see Figure 3.

If v_1 and v_2 are linearly dependent and $\alpha \in \ker(\hat{\phi})$ then $w_1 + w_2 = \alpha v_1$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, $w_1 + w_2$ is a rational direction and α can be represented by a parallel cycle supported on the diagonal of a fundamental domain for S.



FIGURE 3. A $(1,1)$-cycle on a tropical abelian surface in red and green on the left, and a representative of its class by the fundamental class of a tropical 1-cycle.

6.3. **Tropical hypersurfaces.** A tropical hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ or in an $n+1$-dimensional tropical toric variety is the divisor (as in Definition 4.13) of a tropical polynomial function. It is an n-dimensional polyhedral complex which is dual to a regular subdivision of a lattice polytope. This implies the following statement.

Proposition 6.4. *A tropical hypersurface is homotopic to a bouquet of spheres.*

A tropical hypersurface is *non-singular* if it is a tropical manifold. This is the case if and only if it is dual to a regular subdivision of a lattice polytope which is *primitive*, i.e. if every top dimensional polytope in the subdivision is of lattice volume 1.

Corollary 6.5. *If X is a non-singular tropical hypersurface in an $n+1$-dimensional tropical toric variety for $n \geq 3$ then every class in $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}_{n-1,n-1}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is the fundamental class of a tropical cycle in X.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 6.4 that $\mathrm{H}^{0,2}(X,\mathbb{R}) = 0$, so the statement follows from Corollary 6.1. □

The first case of non-trivial wave maps for tropical hypersurfaces is given by tropical $K3$ surfaces. We now look at two specific examples.

Definition 6.6. *A tropical projective $K3$ hypersurface is dual to a primitive regular triangulation of a size 4 tetrahedron.*

There is one 2-dimensional polytopal sphere P contained in a $K3$ surface. It is dual to all cells of the regular subdivision of the size 4 tetrahedron which contain the unique interior lattice point of the size 4 simplex of dimension 3. The Betti diamond of a tropical projective $K3$ hypersurface is:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & 1 & & \\ & 0 & & 0 & \\ 1 & & 20 & & 1 \\ & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & 1 & & \end{array}$$

so the wave map sends a $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank 20 to a 1-dimensional real vector space. The *Picard rank* of a tropical $K3$ surface X is the rank of $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$.

Example 6.7 (A tropical surface with Picard rank 19)**.** A tropical hypersurface X with Newton polytope $n+1$-simplex of size d is floor decomposed if the relative interior of every top dimensional



FIGURE 4. The floor diagram of a tropical $K3$ surface with $\operatorname{rank}(\mathrm{H}^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \cap \ker(\phi)) \geq 18$.



FIGURE 5. On the left the branched path which is the support of a $(2,1)$-cycle whose boundary is $\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3$. On the right a depiction of the polytope P and the cycles β and γ from Example 6.7.

polytope in the dual subdivision of X does not intersect the hyperplanes $\{x_{n+1} = i\}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq d-1\}$. For examples see [Sha13a].

A floor decomposed $K3$ surface is determined (up to choice of constants regulating the height of the floors) by a collection of non-singular planar tropical curves C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 where each C_d is of degree d (i.e. dual to a primitive triangulation of the size d lattice triangle).

Given a floor decomposed surface X a basis of its $\mathrm{H}_{1,1}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ tropical homology was described in [Sha13a]. On a floor given by the curves C_i and C_{i+1}, there are $i(i+1)-1$ independent "floor cycles". This produces $11+5+1 = 17$ independent $(1,1)$-cycles. They can be chosen such that their support, after projecting to the plane, forms a minimal loop in $C_i \cup C_{i+1}$ not contained in C_i or C_{i+1}. By our particular choice of curves $C_1, \ldots, C_4$, any such cycle is disjoint from the cycle of C_3. Hence we can assume the floor cycles do not intersect the polytopal sphere. Additionally, there is a cycle h, a multiple of which is the hyperplane section, which can also be made disjoint from P. Together with the cycles α and β which are illustrated on the right hand side of Figure 5 and completely described in [Sha13a], these cycles form a basis of $\mathrm{H}_{1,1}(X,\mathbb{Z})$.

We now describe how $(2,0)$ cells behave when passing to homology depending on their supporting point. Orient each face of P so that it is the boundary of the 3-dimensional polytope. At any edge γ of X of sedentarity $\emptyset$ there are three faces adjacent to it: σ_1, σ_2, and σ_3. If τ_i is an appropriately oriented generator of $\mathbf{F}_2^{\mathbb{Z}}(\sigma_i)$ we can find a $(2,1)$-cell whose support is the branched path on the left of Figure 5 and whose boundary is $\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3$. Moreover, for any point x not on the polytopal



FIGURE 6. A tropical K3 surface and its bounded polytope from Example 6.8.

sphere we can find a branched path in X whose endpoints are x and points of positive sedentarity, thus showing that a $(2,0)$-cycle supported on x is homologous to 0. In addition, for two faces σ_1, σ_2 of the polytopal sphere, we have $\tau_1 \sim \tau_2$ where τ_i are appropriately oriented generators of $\mathbf{F}_2^{\mathbb{Z}}(\sigma_i)$ and such an τ_i generates $\mathrm{H}_{2,0}(X,\mathbb{Z})$. We denote the class of these τ_i by τ.

This implies that $\hat{\phi}(h) = \hat{\phi}(\gamma) = 0$ for all floor cycles γ. Moreover, using this description of $\mathrm{H}_{2,0}(X,\mathbb{R})$ we can explicitly compute $\hat{\phi}(\alpha) = l\tau$ and $\hat{\phi}(\alpha) = h\tau$, where l is the lattice length of the unique cycle in C_3 (i.e., the j-invariant of C_3) and k is the lattice height of the pentagonal prism P (or, the distance between the floors connected by C_3). Varying the coefficients of the defining tropical polynomial, these two parameters can be controlled independently. In particular, we can arrange both $\frac{l}{k} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\frac{l}{k} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

We conclude that $\mathrm{Ker}(\hat{\phi})$ has rank 19 or 18, depending on our choice and thus any tropical $K3$ surface with the combinatorial type of the one chosen above must have Picard rank equal to 18 or 19 by Theorem 1.1.

Example 6.8 (A tropical $K3$ surface with Picard rank 1)**.** The second example is dual to a cone triangulation. Fix a primitive regular triangulation of each of the four two dimensional faces of the size 4 tetrahedron. We obtain a unique primitive regular triangulation by considering the cone over this triangulation with the cone point being the unique interior lattice point $(1,1,1)$ of the size 4 simplex. See Figure 6 for an example.

In this case all 34 bounded 2-dimensional faces of X are faces of the polytopal sphere P contained in X. Each such face corresponds to a unique lattice point on the boundary of the tetrahedron. There are 3 types of such points: the 4 vertices of the tetrahedron, the $3 \times 6 = 18$ lattice points on the edges of the tetrahedron, and the $3 \times 4 = 12$ lattice points contained in the relative interior a 2-dimensional face of the tetrahedron. Any $(2,0)$-cycle whose support is not contained on the polytopal sphere P is homologous to zero since its support is then contained in unbounded faces. Orient each two dimensional face of P so that the collection of faces form the boundary of the bounded 3-dimensional polytope in the complement of X in $\mathbb{R}^3$. As in the previous example, equipping any $p \in \sigma \subset P$ with the unique generator of $\mathbf{F}_2^{\mathbb{Z}}(\sigma)$ oriented coherently with respect to σ, we obtain representatives of the (same) generator $\tau \in \mathrm{H}_{2,0}(X,\mathbb{Z})$.

Each bounded 2-dimensional face σ provides a $(1,1)$-cycle by taking its boundary and equipping each with a coefficient in $\mathbf{F}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}$ which is a vector generating $\mathbb{Z}^3$ together with the lattice parallel to σ. Each bounded two dimensional face of X corresponds to a lattice point on the boundary of Δ. We denote such a cycle by α_a where a is the corresponding lattice point in $\partial\Delta$. If a is in the interior of

a two dimensional face of $\partial\Delta$, then $\alpha_a = 0$ in homology. This leaves 22 such $(1,1)$-cycles. Suppose the defining polynomial of X is $f(x) = \text{“}\sum_{a\in\Delta} c_a x^a\text{”}$. Up to sign we have $\hat{\phi}(\alpha_a) = w_a\tau$ where,

(1) if a in the relative interior of an edge of Δ with primitive integer direction v, then

$$w_a = c_{a+v} - c_{a-v};$$

(2) if a is a vertex of Δ, let a_1, a_2, a_3 denote the three lattice points in the relative interiors of edges of Δ which are of lattice distance one away from a. Then

$$w_a = c_{a_1} + c_{a_2} + c_{a_3} - 3c_{(1,1,1)}.$$

Let $W \subset \mathrm{H}_{1,1}(X,\mathbb{Q})$ denote the subspace spanned by the 22 cycles. It turns out that $\dim(W) = 19$, and that W, together with the hyperplane section h, generate $\mathrm{H}_{1,1}(X,\mathbb{Q})$. By choosing a basis for W among the α_a's, we can identify $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(W,\mathbb{R})$ with $\mathbb{R}^{19}$. Let $V_{\mathrm{coef}} = \mathbb{R}^{\Delta\cap\mathbb{Z}^3}$ be the vector space of polynomials $f = (c_a)_a$ and let $C \subset V_{\mathrm{coef}}$ denote the cone of coefficients of tropical polynomials of the fixed combinatorial type. Then $\hat{\phi}$ induces a linear map $w\colon V_{\mathrm{coef}} \to \mathbb{R}^{19}$ which is explicitly given by formulas (1) and (2). It can be checked that s has full rank and hence $w(C) \subset \mathbb{R}^{19}$ has non-empty interior. For any $1 \le r \le 19$, let $Y_r \subset \mathbb{R}^{19}$ be the subset of vectors whose entries span a $20-r$-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-subspace of $\mathbb{R}$. Since Y_r is dense for any r, there exists a tropical polynomial $f \in C$ with $w(f) \in Y_r$. Such an f describes a tropical surface X with Picard rank equal to r. In particular, we can produce a tropical surface of Picard rank equal to 1. This proves Theorem 1.4 from the introduction.

References

[AK06] Federico Ardila and Caroline Klivans. The Bergman complex of a matroid and phylogenetic trees. *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B*, 96(1):38–49, 2006.

[AR10] Lars Allermann and Johannes Rau. First steps in tropical intersection theory. *Math. Z.*, 264(3):633–670, 2010.

[Car13] Dustin Cartwright. Tropical complexes. 2013. `https://arxiv.org/abs/1308.3813`.

[Car15] Dustin Cartwright. Combinatorial tropical surfaces. 2015. `https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.02023`.

[CLD12] Antoine Chambert-Loir and Antoine Ducros. Formes différentielles réelles et courants sur les espaces de Berkovich. 2012. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.6277`.

[FR13] Georges François and Johannes Rau. The diagonal of tropical matroid varieties and cycle intersections. *Collect. Math.*, 64(2):185–210, 2013.

[Gub16] Walter Gubler. Forms and currents on the analytification of an algebraic variety (after Chambert-Loir and Ducros). In Matthew Baker and Sam Payne, editors, *Nonarchimedean and Tropical Geometry*, Simons Symposia, pages 1–30, Switzerland, 2016. Springer.

[IKMZ16] Ilia Itenberg, Ludmil Khazarkov, Grigory Mikhalkin, and Ilia Zharkov. Tropical homology. 2016. `https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.01838`.

[JSS15] Philipp Jell, Kristin Shaw, and Jascha Smacka. Superforms, tropical cohomology and Poincaré duality. 2015. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.07409`, To appear in *Advances in Geometry*.

[Lag12] Aron Lagerberg. Super currents and tropical geometry. *Math. Z.*, 270(3-4):1011–1050, 2012.

[Liu17] Yifeng Liu. Monodromy map for tropical dolbeault cohomology. 2017. `https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.06949`.

[MR] Grigory Mikhalkin and Johannes Rau. Tropical geometry. Draft of a book available at: https://www.math.uni-tuebingen.de/user/jora/downloads/main.pdf.

[MS15] Diane Maclagan and Bernd Sturmfels. *Introduction to tropical geometry*, volume 161 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.

[MZ08] Grigory Mikhalkin and Ilia Zharkov. Tropical curves, their Jacobians and theta functions. In *Curves and abelian varieties*, volume 465 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 203–230. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

[MZ14] Grigory Mikhalkin and Ilia Zharkov. Tropical eigenwave and intermediate Jacobians. In *Homological mirror symmetry and tropical geometry*, volume 15 of *Lect. Notes Unione Mat. Ital.*, pages 309–349. Springer, Cham, 2014.

[OT92] P. Orlik and H. Terao. *Arrangements of hyperplanes*. Springer Verlag, 1992.

[Sep10] Daniele Sepe. Classification of Lagrangian fibrations over a Klein bottle. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 149(1):347–362, Dec 2010.

[Sha13a] Kristin Shaw. Tropical $(1, 1)$-homology for floor decomposed surfaces. In E. Brugallé, M. A. Cueto, A. Dickenstein, E.M. Feichtner, and I. Itenberg, editors, *Algebraic and Combinatorial Aspects of Tropical Geometry*, volume 589, pages 529–550, Providence, RI, 2013. American Mathematical Society.

[Sha13b] Kristin Shaw. A tropical intersection product in matroidal fans. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 27(1):459–491, 2013.

[Tor10] Carolin Torchiani. Line bundles on tropical varieties. 2010. Diploma thesis.

[Zha13] Ilia Zharkov. The Orlik-Solomon algebra and the Bergman fan of a matroid. *J. Gökova Geom. Topol. GGT*, 7:25–31, 2013.

Philipp Jell, Georgia Institute of Technology, 686 Cherry Street, Atlanta, GA 30332-0160
E-mail address: philipp.jell@math.gatech.edu

Johannes Raus, Universität Tübingen, Geschwister-Scholl-Platz, 72074 Tübingen, Germany
E-mail address: johannes.rau@math.uni-tuebingen.de

Kristin Shaw, Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, Inselstrasse 22, Leipzig, Germany.
E-mail address: kshaw@mis.mpg.de