

**Max-Planck-Institut  
für Mathematik  
in den Naturwissenschaften  
Leipzig**

**Analytical Expression of Quantum  
Discord for Rank-2 Two-qubit States**

by

*Xue-Na Zhu, Xianqing Li-Jost, and Shao-Ming Fei*

Preprint no.: 71

2018





# Analytical Expression of Quantum Discord for Rank-2 Two-qubit States

Xue-Na Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Shao-Ming Fei<sup>2,3,\*</sup> and Xianqing Li-Jost<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Mathematics and Statistics Science, Ludong University, Yantai 264025, China*

<sup>2</sup>*School of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University Beijing 100048, China*

<sup>3</sup>*Max-Planck-Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, 04103 Leipzig, Germany*

<sup>4</sup>*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hainan Normal University, Haikou, 571158, China*

Quantum correlations characterized by quantum entanglement and quantum discord play important roles in many quantum information processing. We study the relations among the entanglement of formation, concurrence, tangle, linear entropy based classical correlation and von Neumann entropy based classical correlation. We present analytical formulae of linear entropy based classical correlation for arbitrary  $d \otimes 2$  quantum states and von Neumann entropy based classical correlation for arbitrary  $2 \otimes 2$  rank-2 quantum states. From the von Neumann entropy based classical correlation, we derive an explicit formula of quantum discord for arbitrary rank-2 two-qubit quantum states.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Mn, 03.65.Ud

## I. INTRODUCTION

Correlations between the subsystems of a bipartite system play significant roles in many information processing tasks and physical processes. The quantum entanglement [1] is an important kind of quantum correlation which plays significant roles in many quantum tasks such as quantum teleportation, dense coding, swapping, error correction and remote state preparation. A bipartite state is called separable if it has zero entanglement between subsystems  $A$  and  $B$ : the probabilities of the measurement outcomes from measuring the subsystem  $A$  are independent of the probabilities of the measurement outcomes from measuring the subsystem  $B$ . Nevertheless, a separable state may still have quantum correlation – quantum discord, if it is impossible to learn all the mutual information by measuring one of the subsystems. Quantum discord is the minimum amount of correlation, as measured by mutual information, that is necessarily lost in a local measurement of bipartite quantum states. It has been shown that the quantum discord is required for some information processing like assisted optimal state discrimination [2, 3].

Let  $\rho_{AB}$  denote the density operator of a bipartite system  $H_A \otimes H_B$ . The quantum mutual information is defined by

$$I(\rho_{AB}) = S(\rho_A) + S(\rho_B) - S(\rho_{AB}), \quad (1)$$

where  $\rho_{A(B)} = Tr_{B(A)}(\rho_{AB})$  are reduced density matrices,  $S(\rho) = -Tr(\rho \log \rho)$  is the Von Neumann entropy. Quantum mutual information is the information-theoretic measure of the total correlation in bipartite quantum states. In terms of measurement-based conditional density operators, the classical correlation of bipartite states  $\rho_{AB}$  is defined by [4],

$$I^{\leftarrow}(\rho_{AB}) = \max_{\{P_i\}} [S(\rho_A) - \sum_i p_i S(\rho_A^i)], \quad (2)$$

where the maximum is taken over all positive operator-valued measure (POVM)  $P_i$  performed on subsystem  $B$ , satisfying  $\sum_i P_i^\dagger P_i = I$  with probability of  $i$  as an outcome,  $p_i = Tr[(I_A \otimes P_i)\rho_{AB}(I_A \otimes P_i^\dagger)]$ ,  $\rho_A^i = Tr_B[(I_A \otimes P_i)\rho_{AB}(I_A \otimes P_i^\dagger)]/p_i$  is the conditional states of system  $A$  associated with outcome  $i$ ,  $I_A$  and  $I$  are the corresponding identity operators.

The quantum discord is defined as the difference between the total correlation (1) and the classical correlation (2) [4, 5]:

$$Q^{\leftarrow}(\rho_{AB}) = I(\rho_{AB}) - I^{\leftarrow}(\rho_{AB}). \quad (3)$$

---

\*feishm@cnu.edu.cn

Generally it is a challenging problem to compute the quantum correlation  $Q^\leftarrow(\rho_{AB})$  due to difficulty in computing the classical correlation  $I^\leftarrow(\rho_{AB})$ . Analytically formulae of  $Q(\rho)$  can be obtained only for some special quantum states like Bell-diagonal states [6], X-type states [7] with respect to projective measurements, as well as some special two-qubit states [8]. In stead of analytical formulae, some estimation on the lower and upper bounds of quantum discord are also obtained [9, 10]. A lower bound of quantum discord for the 2-qutrit systems is obtained in [11]. In [12] a hierarchy of computationally efficient lower bounds to the standard quantum discord has been presented.

In this paper, by studying the classical correlations of  $d \otimes 2$  quantum states, we present the analytical formula of quantum discord for any two-qubit states with rank-2.

To derive an analytical formula of quantum discord for rank-2 two-qubit states under von Neumann entropy, we first study the classical correlation under linear entropy. The linear entropy  $S_2(\rho)$  of a quantum state  $\rho$  is given by  $S_2(\rho) = 2[1 - \text{Tr}(\rho^2)]$ . The linear entropy version of the classical correlation (2) of a bipartite state  $\rho_{AB}$  is given by  $I_2^\leftarrow(\rho_{AB}) = \max[S_2(\rho_A) - \sum_i p_i S_2(\rho_A^i)]$ .

Any  $d \otimes 2$  bipartite quantum state  $\rho_{AB}$  may be written as[13]

$$\rho_{AB} = A_\rho \otimes I_B(|r_{B'B}\rangle\langle r_{B'B}|), \quad (4)$$

where  $|r_{B'B}\rangle$  is the symmetric two qubit purification of the reduced density operator  $\rho_B$  on an auxiliary qubit system  $B'$  and  $A_\rho$  is a qubit channel from  $B'$  to  $A$ .

A qudit states can be written as the Bloch expression  $\rho = \frac{I_d + \vec{r}\gamma}{d}$ , where  $I_d$  denotes the  $d \times d$  identity matrix,  $\vec{r}$  is a  $d^2 - 1$  dimensional real vector,  $\gamma = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{d^2-1})^T$  is the vector of the generators of  $SU(d)$  and  $T$  stands for transpose. The linear entropy written in terms of the Bloch vector  $\vec{r}$  of a qudit state, is given by  $S_2(\frac{I_d + \vec{r}\gamma}{d}) = \frac{2d^2 - 2d - 4|\vec{r}|^2}{d^2}$ . The action of a qubit channel  $A$  on a single-qubit state  $\rho = \frac{I_2 + \vec{r}_B\sigma}{2}$ , where  $\vec{r}_B$  is the Bloch vector and  $\sigma$  is the vector of Pauli operators, has the following form,

$$A(\rho) = \frac{I_d + (L\vec{r}_B + l)\gamma}{d}, \quad (5)$$

where  $L$  is a  $(d^2 - 1) \times 3$  real matrix and  $l$  is a three-dimensional vect

## II. THE LINEAR ENTROPY VERSION OF THE CLASSICAL CORRELATION

Any  $d \otimes 2$  bipartite quantum state  $\rho_{AB}$  can be written as (4). Let  $\rho_B = \sum \lambda_i |\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i|$  be the spectral decomposition of  $\rho_B$ . Then  $|V_{B'B}\rangle = \sum \sqrt{\lambda_i} |\phi_i\rangle |\phi_i\rangle$ . One has [13],

$$I_2^\leftarrow(\rho_{AB}) = \max_{\{p_i, \psi_i\}} \left( S_2[A(\rho_B)] - \sum_i p_i S_2[A(|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|)] \right),$$

where the maximization goes over all possible pure state decompositions of  $\rho_B$ . Taking into account (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_2[A(\rho_B)] &= S_2\left[A\left(\frac{I_2 + \vec{r}_B\sigma}{2}\right)\right] \\ &= \frac{2d^2 - 2d - 4(L\vec{r}_B + l)^T(L\vec{r}_B + l)}{d^2}. \end{aligned}$$

In the Pauli basis, the possible pure state decompositions of  $\rho_B$  are represented by all possible sets of probability  $\{p_j\}$  and  $\vec{x}_j$  such that  $\rho_B = \sum_j p_j \frac{I_2 + \vec{r}_j\sigma}{2}$ . Set  $\vec{r}_j = \vec{r}_B + \vec{x}_j$ . One can easily check that the calculation of  $I_2^\leftarrow(\rho_{AB})$  reduces to determine  $p_j, \vec{x}_j$ , subject to the conditions  $\sum_j p_j \vec{x}_j = 0$  and  $|\vec{r}_B + \vec{x}_j| = 1$ , in the following maximization,

$$\frac{4}{d^2} \max_{\{p_j, \vec{x}_j\}} \sum_j p_j \vec{x}_j^T L^T L \vec{x}_j.$$

By using the method used in calculating the linear Holevo capacity for qubit channels [13], we have the follow Lemma.

**Lemma** For arbitrary  $d \otimes 2$  quantum states,

$$I_2^{\leftarrow}(\rho_{AB}) = \frac{4}{d^2} \lambda_{\max}(L^T L) S_2(\rho_B), \quad (6)$$

where  $\lambda_{\max}(L^T L)$  stands for the largest eigenvalues of the matrix  $L^T L$ .

By the Lemma, we have corrected a error in [10], where the factor  $4/d^2$  in (6) was missed.

### III. ANALYTICAL FORMULA OF QUANTUM DISCORD FOR RANK-2 TWO-QUBIT STATES.

To get the analytical formula of classical correlation  $I^{\leftarrow}(\rho_{AB})$  under von Neumann entropy from  $I_2^{\leftarrow}(\rho_{AB})$  under linear entropy for any bipartite states  $\rho_{AB}$ , we consider the relations among entanglement of formation, concurrence, tangle,  $I^{\leftarrow}(\rho_{AB})$  and  $I_2^{\leftarrow}(\rho_{AB})$ . The tangle  $\tau(\rho_{AB})$  is defined by

$$\tau(\rho_{AB}) = \inf_{\{p_i, |\psi_i\rangle\}} \sum p_i S_2(\rho_B^i),$$

where the infimum runs over all pure-state decompositions  $\{p_i, |\psi_i\rangle\}$  of  $\rho_{AB}$  and  $\rho_B^i = \text{Tr}_A(|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|)$ . Due to the convexity, one has  $C^2(\rho_{AB}) \leq \tau(\rho_{AB})$  for quantum states. Generally,  $\tau(\rho_{AB})$  is not equal to the square of the concurrence [14].

The entanglement of formation  $E(|\psi\rangle_{AB})$  [15–17] and the concurrence  $C(|\psi\rangle_{AB})$  [18–20] of a pure state  $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$  are defined by  $E(|\psi\rangle_{AB}) = S(\rho_A)$  and  $C(|\psi\rangle_{AB}) = \sqrt{2[1 - \text{Tr}(\rho_A^2)]}$ , respectively. They are extended to mixed states  $\rho_{AB}$  by convex-roof construction,  $E(\rho_{AB}) = \inf_{\{p_i, |\psi_i\rangle\}} \sum_i p_i E(|\psi_i\rangle)$ ,  $C(\rho_{AB}) = \inf_{\{p_i, |\psi_i\rangle\}} \sum_i p_i C(|\psi_i\rangle)$ , with the infimum taking over all possible pure state decompositions of  $\rho_{AB}$ .

For the two-qubit quantum states  $\rho_{AB}$ , the entanglement of formation  $E_f(\rho_{AB})$  and concurrence  $C(\rho_{AB})$  have the following relation [21]:

$$E_f(\rho_{AB}) = h\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - C^2(\rho_{AB})}}{2}\right)$$

where  $h(x) = -x \log_2(x) - (1-x) \log_2(1-x)$ .

For a tripartite pure state  $|\psi\rangle_{ABC}$ , one has the following relations [22],

$$E_f(\rho_{AC}) + I^{\leftarrow}(\rho_{AB}) = S(\rho_A). \quad (7)$$

In the following we denote  $f(x) = h\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1-x}}{2}\right)$  for simplicity.

In Ref.[23] the authors presented a way to calculate the quantum discord of a rank-2 two-qubit state  $\rho_{AB} = \lambda_0 |\phi_0\rangle\langle\phi_0| + \lambda_1 |\phi_1\rangle\langle\phi_1|$ , where  $|\phi_0\rangle$  and  $|\phi_1\rangle$  are the eigenstates of  $\rho_{AB}$  with the corresponding eigenvalues  $\lambda_0$  and  $\lambda_1$ . By attaching a third qubit C the state  $\rho_{AB}$  is purified to be  $|\Psi\rangle = \sqrt{\lambda_0} |\phi_0\rangle |0\rangle + \sqrt{\lambda_1} |\phi_1\rangle |1\rangle$ . By local unitary operations one then transforms the eigenstates  $|\phi_0\rangle$  and  $|\phi_1\rangle$  simultaneously to the following forms:  $|\phi_0\rangle = a_0 |0\rangle |0\rangle + b_0 |\eta\rangle |1\rangle$  and  $|\phi_1\rangle = a_1 |1\rangle |0\rangle + b_1 |\eta^\perp\rangle |1\rangle$ , where  $|a_k|^2 + |b_k|^2 = 1$  for  $k = 0, 1$  and  $|\eta\rangle = c |0\rangle + d |1\rangle$  is a state which is orthogonal to  $|\eta^\perp\rangle$  with  $|c|^2 + |d|^2 = 1$ . The following results are obtained in [23]:

$$C^2(\rho_{BC}) = 2\lambda_0\lambda_1 [|a_0 b_1 c^* - a_1 b_0 c|^2 + 2|d|^2 (|a_0|^2 |b_1|^2 + |a_1|^2 |b_0|^2)] - 2\lambda_0\lambda_1 |(a_0 b_1 c^* - a_1 b_0 c)^2 - 4a_0 a_1 b_0 b_1 |d|^2|.$$

From the relation between  $E(\rho_{BC})$  and  $C(\rho_{BC})$ :  $E(\rho_{BC}) = h(C^2(\rho_{BC}))$ , one has the entanglement of formation  $E(\rho_{BC})$ . From the formula  $Q^{\rightarrow}(\rho_{AB}) = S(\rho_A) + E(\rho_{BC}) - S(\rho_{AB})$ , one obtains the quantum discord  $Q^{\rightarrow}(\rho_{AB})$ [23].

In the following we present a Theorem which gives an analytical formula of quantum discord for arbitrary rank-2 two-qubit quantum states  $\rho_{AB}$ . The formula can be directly calculated for given  $\rho_{AB}$  and no purifications are needed.

**Theorem 1** For rank-2 two-qubit quantum states  $\rho_{AB}$ , the quantum discord is given by

$$Q^{\leftarrow}(\rho_{AB}) = S(\rho_B) - S(\rho_{AB}) + f(S_2(\rho_A) - I_2^{\leftarrow}(\rho_{AB})). \quad (8)$$

**Proof :** For two-qubit quantum states  $\rho_{AB}$  with rank-2, they have spectral decompositions,  $\rho_{AB} = \lambda_1 |\psi\rangle_1 \langle\psi| + \lambda_2 |\psi\rangle_2 \langle\psi|$ , where  $\lambda_i$  and  $|\psi\rangle_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$ , are respectively the eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Then the purified

tripartite qubit state can be written as  $|\psi\rangle_{ABC} = \sqrt{\lambda_1}|\psi\rangle_1|0\rangle + \sqrt{\lambda_2}|\psi\rangle_2|1\rangle$ , satisfying  $\rho_{AB} = \text{Tr}_C(|\psi\rangle_{ABC}\langle\psi|)$ . We have the following monogamy relation [13],

$$\tau(\rho_{AC}) + I_2^{\leftarrow}(\rho_{AB}) = S_2(\rho_A). \quad (9)$$

As  $\rho_{AC}$  is a two-qubit state, one has  $\tau(\rho_{AC}) = C^2(\rho_{AC})$  [14]. Moreover,  $S(\rho_A) = E_f(|\psi\rangle_{A|BC}) = f(C^2(|\psi\rangle_{A|BC})) = f(S_2(\rho_A))$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_f(\rho_{AC}) &= f(C^2(\rho_{AC})) \\ &= f(S_2(\rho_A) - I_2^{\leftarrow}(\rho_{AB})). \end{aligned}$$

where the first and second equations are due to (7) and (9). From (7), we have

$$I^{\leftarrow}(\rho_{AB}) = S(\rho_A) - f(S_2(\rho_A) - I_2^{\leftarrow}(\rho_{AB})).$$

According to (3), we have the quantum discord for any rank-2 two-qubit states.  $\square$

Theorem 1 provides an analytical formula (8) of quantum discord in terms of the original Von Neumann entropy for arbitrary rank-2 two-qubit quantum states. Besides, the classical correlation  $I^{\leftarrow}(\rho_{AB})$  based on the Von Neumann entropy is also analytically presented. It should be emphasized that, the analytical formula of quantum discord (8) is only for rank-2 two-qubit quantum states, but the formula for classical correlation (6) is valid for any  $d \otimes 2$  bipartite states with any ranks. In the following, we give some detailed examples for quantum discords and also classical correlations.

Let us consider the rank-2 of two-qubit Bell-diagonal states,

$$\rho = \frac{1}{4} \left( I + \sum_{j=1}^3 c_j \sigma_j \otimes \sigma_j \right).$$

By Theorem 1, we have  $S(\rho_A) = 1$  and  $S_2(\rho_A) = 1$  and  $I_2^{\leftarrow}(\rho) = c^2$ . Then

$$I^{\leftarrow}(\rho) = 1 - f(1 - c^2) = \frac{1-c}{2} \log_2(1-c) + \frac{1+c}{2} \log_2(1+c),$$

which coincides with the result in Ref. [8].

**Example 1:** Now consider the following two-qubit states,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= \frac{2-x}{6} |00\rangle\langle 00| + \frac{1+x}{6} |01\rangle\langle 01| + \frac{1}{6} |01\rangle\langle 10| \\ &+ \frac{1}{6} |10\rangle\langle 01| + \frac{1+x}{6} |10\rangle\langle 10| + \frac{2-x}{6} |11\rangle\langle 11|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x \in [0, 2]$ . By computation we have  $S_2(\rho_B) = 1$ , and the qubit channel  $\Lambda$  is given by  $\Lambda(|0\rangle\langle 0|) = \frac{2-x}{3}|0\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{1+x}{3}|1\rangle\langle 1|$ ,  $\Lambda(|0\rangle\langle 1|) = \frac{1}{3}|1\rangle\langle 0|$ ,  $\Lambda(|1\rangle\langle 0|) = \frac{1}{3}|0\rangle\langle 1|$  and  $\Lambda(|1\rangle\langle 1|) = \frac{1+x}{3}|0\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{2-x}{3}|1\rangle\langle 1|$ . Therefore we obtain

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1-2x}{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $I_2^{\leftarrow}(\rho_1) = \max_{\{x \in [0, 2]\}} \left\{ \frac{1}{9}, \frac{(1-2x)^2}{9} \right\}$ , see Fig.1.

The rank of  $\rho_1$  is two when  $x = 2$ . In this case, we have  $S(\rho_B) = S_2(\rho_A) = 1$  and  $S(\rho_{AB}) = \log_2 3 - \frac{2}{3}$ . Hence  $Q^{\leftarrow}(\rho_{AB}) = \frac{5}{3} - \log_2 3$ .

**Example 2:** We calculate now the discord of the Horodecki state [24],

$$\rho^H(p) = p|\varphi^+\rangle\langle\varphi^+| + (1-p)|00\rangle\langle 00|,$$




FIG. 1: The classical correlation  $I_2^-(\rho_1)$  with  $x \in [0, 2]$ .

where  $|\varphi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle + |10\rangle)$ . The qubit channel  $\Lambda$  can be explicitly calculated:  $\Lambda(|0\rangle\langle 0|) = \frac{2(1-p)}{2-p}|0\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{p}{2-p}|1\rangle\langle 1|$ ,  $\Lambda(|1\rangle\langle 0|) = \sqrt{\frac{p}{2-p}}|1\rangle\langle 0|$ ,  $\Lambda(|0\rangle\langle 1|) = \sqrt{\frac{p}{2-p}}|0\rangle\langle 1|$  and  $\Lambda(|1\rangle\langle 1|) = |0\rangle\langle 0|$ . By applying Theorem 1, we get the matrix

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{p}{2-p}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{\frac{p}{2-p}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{p}{2-p} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that  $S_2(\rho^H(p)_B) = S_2(\rho^H(p)_A) = p(2-p)$  and  $S(\rho^H(p)) = h(p)$ . Thus, the discord of  $\rho^H(p)$  is given by

$$Q^{\leftarrow}(\rho^H(p)) = h\left(\frac{p}{2}\right) - h(p) + f(2p(1-p)),$$

see Fig.2.




FIG. 2: The discord of the Horodecki state  $\rho^H(p)$ .

Now we consider some more general rank-2 states,

$$\rho_2 = x|\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi| + (1-x)|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|,$$

where  $|\varphi\rangle = \text{Sin}\theta|00\rangle + \text{Cos}\theta|11\rangle$ ,  $|\phi\rangle = \text{Sin}\eta|01\rangle + \text{Cos}\eta|10\rangle$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $\theta, \eta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . Direct computation shows  $L = \text{diag}\{L_1, L_2, L_3\}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 &= \frac{x\text{Sin}\theta\text{Cos}\theta + (1-x)\text{Sin}\eta\text{Cos}\eta}{\sqrt{[x\text{Cos}^2\theta + (1-x)\text{Sin}^2\eta][x\text{Sin}^2\theta + (1-x)\text{Cos}^2\eta]}}, \\
L_2 &= \frac{x\text{Sin}\theta\text{Cos}\theta - (1-x)\text{Sin}\eta\text{Cos}\eta}{\sqrt{[x\text{Cos}^2\theta + (1-x)\text{Sin}^2\eta][x\text{Sin}^2\theta + (1-x)\text{Cos}^2\eta]}}, \\
L_3 &= \frac{x^2\text{Sin}^2\theta\text{Cos}^2\theta - (1-x)^2\text{Sin}^2\eta\text{Cos}^2\eta}{[x\text{Cos}^2\theta + (1-x)\text{Sin}^2\eta][x\text{Sin}^2\theta + (1-x)\text{Cos}^2\eta]}, \\
L_4 &= 4x(1-x) + x^2\text{Sin}^22\theta + (1-x)^2\text{Sin}^22\eta - 4x(1-x)\text{Cos}^2(\theta - \eta) - 2x(1-x)\text{Sin}2\theta\text{Sin}2\eta, \\
L_5 &= 4[x\text{Sin}^2\theta + (1-x)\text{Cos}^2\eta][x\text{Cos}^2\theta + (1-x)\text{Sin}^2\eta],
\end{aligned}$$

$S(\rho_B) = h(x\text{Sin}^2\theta + (1-x)\text{Cos}^2\eta)$ ,  $S(\rho_2) = h(x)$ , and  $S_2(\rho_A) = L_4$ . Therefore we obtain

$$Q^{\leftarrow}(\rho_{AB}) = h(x\text{Sin}^2\theta + (1-x)\text{Cos}^2\eta) - h(x) + f(L_4 - \max_{\{i=1,2,3\}} \{L_i^2\}L_5).$$

The Horodecki state  $\rho^H(p)$  is a special case of  $\rho_2$  at  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $\eta = \frac{\pi}{4}$  and  $x = 1 - p$ .

#### IV. CONCLUSION

By analyzing the relations among the entanglement of formation, concurrence, tangle, linear entropy based classical correlation and von Neumann entropy based classical correlation, we have derived the analytical formulae of classical correlations under linear entropic for arbitrary  $d \otimes 2$  states and under von Neumann entropic for arbitrary  $2 \otimes 2$  rank-2 states. From the von Neumann entropy based classical correlation, we have presented explicit formula of quantum discord for arbitrary rank-2 two-qubit quantum states. If one can further get the relation between  $\tau(\rho_{AB})$  and  $E(\rho_{AB})$  for rank-2  $d \otimes 2$  systems, it would be possible to compute the quantum discord for rank-2  $d \otimes 2$  states. And if one is able to get the relation between  $\tau(\rho_{AB})$  and  $E(\rho_{AB})$  for  $4 \otimes 2$  systems, maybe one can compute the discord for any two-qubit states. However, for the rank-2 mixed states  $\rho_{AB}$ , the corresponding entanglement of formation satisfies the inequality  $E(\rho_{AB}) \leq f(\tau)$  [14]. The tangle  $\tau(\rho_{AB})$  is not, in general, equal to the square of concurrence  $C^2(\rho_{AB})$ . It is of difficulty to calculate the discord of any rank-2  $d \otimes 2$  quantum states and any two-qubit states.

**Acknowledgments** We thank Ming Li, Huihui Qin and Tinggui Zhang for helpful discussions. This work is supported by NSFC under numbers 11675113 and 11605083, and NSF of Beijing under No. KZ201810028042.

- 
- [1] Horodecki R., Horodecki P., Horodecki M. & Horodecki K. Quantum entanglement. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 865 (2009).
  - [2] Roa L., Retamal J. C. & Alid-Vaccarezza M. Dissonance is required for assisted optimal state discrimination. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 080401 (2011).
  - [3] Li B., Fei S.M., Wang Z.X. & Fan H. Assisted state discrimination without entanglement. *Phys. Rev. A* **85**, 022328 (2012).
  - [4] Ollivier H. & Zurek W. H. Quantum discord: a measure of the quantumness of correlations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 017901(2001).
  - [5] Henderson L. & Vedral V. Classical, quantum and total correlations. *J. Phys. A* **34**, 6899(2001).
  - [6] Luo, S. Quantum discord for two-qubit systems. *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 042303 (2008).
  - [7] Li B., Wang Z. X. & Fei, S. M. Quantum discord and geometry for a class of two-qubit states. *Phys. Rev. A* **83**, 022321 (2011).
  - [8] Pawłowski M. Security proof for cryptographic protocols based only on the monogamy of Bell's inequality violations. *Phys. Rev. A* **82**, 032313 (2010).
  - [9] Ou Y. C. Violation of monogamy inequality for higher-dimensional objects. *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 034305 (2007).
  - [10] Ma Z.H., Chen Z. H., Fanchini F. F. & Fei S. M. Quantum Discord for  $d \otimes 2$  Systems. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 10262 (2015).
  - [11] Uhlmann A. Fidelity and concurrence of conjugated states. *Phys. Rev. A* **62**, 032307 (2000).
  - [12] Piani M. Hierarchy of efficiently computable and faithful lower bounds to quantum discord. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 080401 (2016).



- [13] Osborne T. J.& Verstraete F. General Monogamy Inequality for Bipartite Qubit Entanglement. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 220503(2006).
- [14] Osborne T. J. Entanglement measure for rank-2 mixed states. *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 022309 (2005).
- [15] Mintert F., Kuš M. & Buchleitner A. Concurrence of Mixed Bipartite Quantum States in Arbitrary Dimensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 167902 (2004).
- [16] Chen K., Albeverio S.& Fei, S. M. Concurrence of Arbitrary Dimensional Bipartite Quantum States. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 040504 (2005).
- [17] Breuer H. P. Separability criteria and bounds for entanglement measures. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39**, 11847 (2006).
- [18] Li M., Fei S. M., Li-Jost X. Q.& Fan H. Genuine multipartite entanglement detection and lower bound of multipartite concurrence. *Phys. Rev. A.* **92**, 062338(2015).
- [19] Rungta P., Bužek V., Caves C. M., Hillery M.& Milburn G. J. Universal state inversion and concurrence in arbitrary dimensions. *Phys. Rev. A* **64**, 042315 (2001).
- [20] Albeverio S.& Fei S. M. A note on invariants and entanglements. *J Opt B: Quantum Semiclass Opt.* **3**, 223 (2001).
- [21] Wootters W. K. Entanglement of Formation of an Arbitrary State of Two Qubits. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 2245 (1998).
- [22] Koashi M.& Winter A. Monogamy of quantum entanglement and other correlations. *Phys. Rev. A* **69**, 022309 (2004).
- [23] Shi M. J., Yang w., Jiang F. J.& Du J. F. Quantum discord of two-qubit rank-two states. *J. Phys. A* **44**, 415304(2011).
- [24] Horst B., Bartkiewicz K. & Miranowicz A. Two-qubit mixed states more entangled than pure states: Comparison of the relative entropy of entanglement for a given nonlocality. *Phys. Rev. A* **87**, 042108(2013).